

# Politics Chronicles of Afromerica

**From the areas of:**

*Bureaucracies*

*Civil Rights*

*Politic Parties*

*Nationalism*

*International*

## **A Personified Analysis of the Congressional Black Caucus (CBC)**

This is not about what CBC member voted for what bill or for which of the two evils a CBC member supports from a hidden agenda, no. This is about which stock of the Black America these people came from, their ideology concerning life and how they inherited it, and the self-serving agenda they impose on Black America and present to white America.

The CBC is a collection of bourgeoisie Blacks who have the primary goal of establishing an identity separate from that of the masses of Black America. Believe this, when video clip of the brother in New Orleans walking out of the store with a television on his shoulder played thirty times each day on mainstream media, these individuals of the CBC buried their faces in shame, which added to the chip on the shoulder of animosity toward the masses of their poor [Black] underlings.

Where is this coming from? The CBC public agenda itself, which if analyzed thoroughly enough, express those very sentiments and exposes an inherent psyche of the members collectively. The CBC is a conglomeration of individuals from the Black Greek letter organizations of America's colleges and Black colleges. Much of their support for Katrina victims come from Fraternal and Sorority societies along with more nationally known American relief and government organizations.

Psychoanalyzed years ago by the mind of E. Franklin Frazier, he says:

"These Greek letter societies are especially important in molding the outlook of the Black bourgeoisie. In the Negro colleges, membership in these organizations indicates that the student has escaped from his working-class background and achieved middle-class status. In their social activities these societies foster all the middle-class values, especially conspicuous consumption" (Frazier, *Black Bourgeoisie* pg. 85).

Each individual member shines to God in their own way and no one knows the heart but God, however, when gathered together to represent American Democracy on the utmost professional and boogie level, the light of God is smothered beneath the persona of mainstream pretentiousness.

In a Radio address entitled "Message to America" Representative William Jefferson (D-La.) issued this statement:

"Hello, this is Representative William Jefferson and I represent the city of New Orleans and the surrounding suburbs. I am happy to speak to you today on behalf of the Congressional Black Caucus.

"As you know, Hurricane Katrina devastated our city with its high winds and unprecedented flood waters. As you may have seen in media reports, many displaced New Orleans residents are our poorest citizens and most of them are African-American.

"We, as a community, need to rally around our people, our neighbors. I am issuing a call of action to our sororities, fraternities, civic organizations, professional and community groups, churches, school groups - everyone to lend a helping hand. The displaced people in Louisiana and neighboring states need clothes, shoes, canned food and juices, water, toiletries, feminine products and other items necessary for survival in their temporary situations.

"I urge you to host food and clothing drives; get out in your community. If you live in a community that is hosting a large number of Katrina refugees, please do all that you can to help them. If you have family from New Orleans, please take them in. This is a time for us to come together and support those in need, and we are in dire need.

"To find out more about how you can help, please call the Red Cross at 1-800-HELP-NOW. Contact the local Red Cross chapter in Houston to donate directly to those people transferred to the Houston Astro Dome.

"And finally, thank you all for your prayers and support. On behalf of the Congressional Black Caucus, I am Congressman William Jefferson and this has been the CBC Message to America."

This speech was mere public relations. Though appropriate for the occasion, it actually reveals the overall agenda for the organization as a whole, which is nothing more than a public relations front for the Black bourgeoisie. The speech was a shout in an empty tunnel because many grassroots organizations - without the help of any bureaucratic organization - jumped to relief efforts from a humanitarian motive, not a public relations motive as did the CBC.

Dropping down from the stage of Katrina and the CBC's efforts, we now look deep into their everyday activities and how they actually serve the Black community. According to the priorities of the CBC, they seek to accomplish the below list. Though these things can be accomplished, the way in which the CBC has gone about accomplishing them are questionable, which is through voting and legislation.

#### PRIORITIES OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS FOR THE 109TH CONGRESS

- CLOSING THE ACHIEVEMENT AND OPPORTUNITY GAPS IN EDUCATION
- ASSURING QUALITY HEALTH CARE FOR EVERY AMERICAN
- FOCUSING ON EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC SECURITY, BUILDING WEALTH AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT
- ENSURING JUSTICE FOR ALL
- GUARANTEEING RETIREMENT SECURITY FOR ALL AMERICANS
- INCREASING EQUITY IN FOREIGN POLICY

#### **Afromerica Interpretation**

The core mission of the CBC is simply unattainable when depending entirely on voting and politics. Suggesting these ideas to Congress is as far as the CBC or any political interest group ever gets when the political route is taken. Disaster and revolution is what this country's leaders understand and will ever actually react to.

## **(CBC): INTRODUCTION**

Since the formation of the Congressional Black Caucus (CBC), the core mission of the CBC has been to close (and, ultimately, to eliminate) disparities that exist between African-Americans and white Americans in every aspect of life. These continuing and troubling disparities make it more difficult, and often make it impossible, for African-Americans to reach their full potential. In pursuing the core mission of the CBC, the CBC has been true to its motto that "the CBC has no permanent friends and no permanent enemies, just permanent interests."

### **(AI) - Aframerica Interpretation**

Remember, when the CBC refers to African-Americans, they are referring to their constituency, not the masses. They speak of those that fall into their clique and can hold their own in social gatherings of the elite. They do not give heed to the actual needs of the poor Blacks in urban America because they too - like their elitist white counterparts - have become indifferent toward the poor, and we will prove this.

The CBC acknowledges the unfortunate fact that disparities between African-Americans and white Americans continue to exist in 2005 in every aspect of our lives and that the historical mission of the CBC has not yet been fully accomplished. Therefore, the members of the CBC have adopted this Agenda in the 109th Congress that recommits us to the historic mission of the CBC - working to close and eliminate disparities and toward the goal of full equality. Consistent with our motto, we will continue to work with all who are willing to work with us to help advance our Agenda and achieve this goal.

### **(AI)**

Their goal? To achieve equality for the middle-class when it pertains to public recognition and clout, not actual equality as in human equality. They have abandoned that idea when they pledged themselves to American Democracy and Capitalism. It is not about equality among the people, but survival of the fittest, they prove this when they seek to advance themselves above the impoverished and uneducated.

When they come together to address the public or have a public "town meeting," the activities automatically filter down and out the lower-income groups by means of economic ability. Take for instance the latest Conference held in Washington DC.

SEPTEMBER 21 - 24, 2005

Washington Convention Center

Washington, DC

The Annual Legislative Conference (ALC) is considered to be the premier African American conference on policy issues. The ALC provides the platform for the 43 African American Members of Congress to share the progress of their work on legislative items and also allows for the exchange of ideas correlated to policy issues that are of critical concern to their constituents.

**(AI)**

Forty-three African-Americans gather to discuss the "progress of their work on legislative items and...policy issues that are of critical concern to their constituents." Where does the critical concerns for the masses come in? What about the increase of Jailing of our Black youth? What about the man-made AIDS plague that threatens Black America? What about the biased family courts taking our children and destroying our families? What about drugs being pushed into Black communities? What about racist hiring practices, institutional discrimination, and mainstream stereotyping of low-income Blacks?

While the ALC provides the opportunity for attendees to acquaint themselves with the 43 Members of the Congressional Black Caucus (CBC), the ALC is also a time for people from across the nation to come together in one place, at one time to focus on the pressing issues that challenge Black America. During this conference, people from all walks of life can be found in the Washington Convention Center. Anyone who cares about the health, economic, educational and political state of Black communities is certain to be present.

**(AI)**

"Attendees, people from all walks of life, anyone who cares." In other words, the common folk aside from the CBC members themselves and their elitist constituency. Though these type people may attend, the agenda will remain the same, which is the agenda of the CBC and the interest of their secret societies and political lobbyist.

It is during the National Town Hall Meeting that a distinguished panel and audience members will further explore this year's theme as they engage in a lively dialogue about the most important issues that will help define a common agenda for Black people across the nation.

For those who want to understand the intricacies of our nation's policies, the ALC is an ideal conference to gather and process such information. Sessions such as the CPAR Future Focus Forums have been especially designed to equip participants with comprehensive information and analysis along with strategies that can be utilized to effect change. The topics that are presented during ALC cover just about all of the areas that are discussed within the halls of Congress.

**(AI)**

The areas discussed within the halls of Congress are areas that serve the interest of Congress, not the people. The people are not interested in what Congress has to say because they already know what that message is, "you're on your own." The people want change and an end to psychological oppression and discrimination, stereotyping, family destruction, drug agendas in Black communities and other such real life areas not on the plate of Congress or the CBC.

A preliminary list of Issue Forum and Braintrust sessions is an indication that the ALC is for everyone. Elected officials, educators, government agencies, researchers, policy practitioners, students, clergy, community leaders, business professionals, corporations, public servants, organizations, entrepreneurs, industry representatives, retirees, activists and advocates, youth and everyday citizens will all find something of interest during this 4-day conference.

After a full day of substantive sessions, registrants are provided opportunities to network with other attendees, conference speakers and panelists, sponsors and Members of Congress during such events as the CBCF Chair's Reception and the After Dinner Gala. The Exhibit Showcase also provides ample networking opportunities as companies and organizations distribute information and products tailored to the needs of our attendees. Entertainment is provided to registrants who attend the Jazz Concert and the Gospel Extravaganza.

**(AI)**

Notice the words Gala and Extravaganza, words adorned and idolized by the bourgeoisie. With guest who attended such as Halli Berry, Barack Obama, John Kerry, Jesse Jackson, Dick Gregory, Tom Joyner, and such of the liberal left along with the liberal media. It is this group whose agenda was on the plate, not Black America and certainly not the troubles of the poor of the Katrina catastrophe. It is this "Gala" type mind-set that the bourgeoisie clings to and flaunts in aftermaths and public forums for the publicity and glory, not to actually help build a better Black America.

The ALC also serves as the CBCF's main fundraiser. Your purchase of tickets to events such as the Prayer Breakfast, the Annual Awards Dinner and the CBC Spouses Events helps CBCF to fund its core initiatives and programs that are described throughout the CBCF website.

**(AI)**

This is where the elite are separated from the masses. When the tickets go on sale and when dinner is served at \$40, 70, 100 a plate. This is how the masses are weeded out and the elitist get to socialize amongst their own kind and discuss their true agenda, which is to remain atop the African-American scale of stratification. To hell with the victims of Katrina, we must maintain our status.

We hope that you will decide to be a part of this important educational and empowering 35th Annual Legislative Conference. It promises to be moving and momentous!

**Conclusion**

Not to suggest that the CBC does not care about the state of Black America, it does, but not the Black America you and I know of and live every day. They care about the Black world of make believe that E. Franklin Frazier refers to when he said:

"The delusion of power also appears to provide an escape for middle-class Negroes from the world of reality which pierces through the world of make-believe of the Black bourgeoisie. The positions of power which they occupy in the Negro world often enable them to act autocratically towards other Negroes, especially when they have the support of the white community. In such cases the delusion of power may provide an escape from their frustrations.

It is generally, however, when middle-class Negroes hold positions enabling them to participate in the white community that they seek in the delusion of power an escape from their frustrations.. Although their position may be only a "token" of the integration of the Negro into American life, they will speak and act as if they were a part of the power structure of America society. Negro advisers who are called

into council by whites to give advice about Negroes are especially likely to find an escape from their feelings of inferiority in the delusion of power" (Frazier, pg. 199).

Source from: <http://www.congressionalblackcaucus.net/>

September 2005 by CR Hamilton

## **Apparent Gender Biases of Child Support**

Speaking out against the child support system has become another one of America's taboo issues to challenge, much like abortion, race, and smoking. A challenger of such issues are deemed social misfits devoid of mainstream values, but there are many people who simply refuse to be a part of America's neo-liberal ideologies. If it were not for such people (people who challenge mainstream values) America would be a pit of immoral infamy, where everything abnormal is normal.

Because most of these issues are basically centered on personal preference and biases, according to race, gender, religion, and sexual preference, each of the issues are slapped with the label of de facto "minority issues." Minority issues are issues that stand in the face of the powerful majority, which are all things considered normal and or traditional. In the case of child support, fathers are the minority while mothers, butt-whipped men and husbands, and bitter female executives of state bureaucracies are the majority.

If any man or father attempts to challenge the child support system, they may as well shout to the world "I am an unfit father and infidel who hates my child!" simply because this is what people will consider him to be anyway. Fathers who have children not living with them, whether supporting the children or not, are systematically deemed unsuitable and a social misfit. It is an American cultural given to look upon the father of a fatherless child and wag your head, thanks to the gender biases of the child support system.

During the 80's and early nineties, women could walk into the state child support office and blurt out the name of any man in the vicinity of their neighborhood and without hesitation, the child support authorities would subpoena that man to court and convict him of child neglect, forcing him to pay child support. It had gotten to the point where the child did not even have to belong to the man, so long as the child support system bled money from some man who fit the description of the "deadbeat dad" stereotype.

In the late nineties the situation changed. However, bare in mind that the changes did not come as a result of men standing up for their rights (constitutional rights might I add), it came because more women were feeling the brunt of the system. They were being taken for child support as more and more of them began to take on the independent lifestyle and started making more money than their husbands and ex-husbands.

As the divorce rate skyrocketed and second wives started complaining about how much of their husbands checks were being garnished by child support, they began confronting the system, or encouraging their husbands to. The other bitter women in executive positions heard their voices and things started changing. Changing not for the better of the fathers, but for the better of the mothers who were now being taken for

child support. But in their wicked scheme to reorder the system for their own benefit, they opened a loophole in the system that could benefit men.

Now, a father can not only get child support from the mother, but they can refute the laws of the system based on constitutional injustices and the right to due process. Though not many men know this loophole exist, it is there and can be exploited. The loophole is that if a man has never had the opportunity to defend himself in a court of law against the accusing mother, the child support system has no constitutional right to judge and convict that man. If the man looks up one day and there is a court order in his front door from child support, he can fight it based on the Bill of Rights, Amendment XIV, which states:

"No State shall deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

This does not mean that the father does not have to support their child by being protected under the Constitution because all parents should support their children. It means that the child support system cannot force any man (or woman) to pay child support without first giving that person a fair trial. No more can the system enact child support enforcement based on the word of a distraught woman, but they have to hear and see both sides of the story. This is to stop any and all unjust enforcement of child support on innocent men.

To this day, the policies and procedures of the child support system are under review by the federal government. Many states have had to reconstruct their policies because more people are challenging them bases on Constitutional guidelines. The system must take under consideration the status of the father's social life, mental well-being, employment situation and family life. In addition to the social state and well-being of the mother, or custodial parent.

The child support system is just another American bureaucracy gone haywire, operating off the feelings and emotions of bitter feminist and hen-pecked men. Regardless of what American mainstream culture dictates, if a man loves his child and willingly wants to support it, there should be no state or government law that should be able to interfere with his chance to do so. The child support system is made for men who refuse to support their child, not men who are willingly to.

2003 by C.R. Hamilton

## **Challenging the Child Support System**

Here is an issue that truly needs to be addressed by our so-called Black leaders and abandoned by every Black couple. The child support system aside from the prison system is one of the most destructive systems of the Black man, woman, and child in the country. Yet Black leaders refuse to speak on this system because it may tarnish their images as being against a system that is supposedly helping children.



For whatever reason, couples break-up, but the remedy is not to go to the child support system, which has become the going trend. The answer is for the couple to work things out between themselves without intervention of this destructive system. Because once a woman files papers against the father, regardless of whether he intends on supporting the child or not after the break-up, that man's future, as well as the child's and the woman's, is now shot to hell.

The child support system does not help children no more than it destroys Black families, the future of Black children, the needs of Black women, and the efforts for success of Black men. This fact must be acknowledged and researched by our leaders and so-called law makers if any progress is to be made toward the social development of Black America. If researched thoroughly enough, one will find that this system is more destructive than constructive.

Black women are also being broken by this system because they lose something they truly need, which is the Black man, yet in his place they have supplemented their lives with the child support system. And in the near future, they eventually find another man who is also a victim of the system, so the reciprocal effect is obviously destructive because children lose fathers and Black men in turn lose their children to a system designed to break up the family.

How come Black leaders and Black women cannot see this defeatist system for what it truly is, one that has turned Black women against Black men not to mention Black women against each other, and causing frustration and indifference in Black men toward their families. We have ignorantly like dumb oxen absorbed the lie that Black men are negligent and irresponsible yet never questioning the motives and practices (and accomplishments) behind the system itself, when in fact, most Black men do not have to be forced to support their children.

In this case, the chicken came first. When the child support system was created and implemented into the lives of the American family after the destruction the welfare system did to the modern family, it pushed the man from the home and took his place. Once men discovered that there was a government system that would support their children instead of him taking the responsibility of doing it himself, he gave his family over to the system.

Likewise, once women discovered that they can get money from the government by doing nothing but having children while at the same time sticking it to the man who left them, they took complete advantage of the system and used it as a crutch against the breakdown of bad relationships. The system, in turned caused much friction among families and thereafter took its place. However, it disguised itself as the mender of families in place of negligent men.

The child support system does not necessarily have the well-being of the child at heart any longer. Instead it has the fundamental goal of collecting money from men who have been demonized and profiled as negligent, regardless of how they feel, act, or attempt to support their child(ren). It is in the business of collections, not intervention or family repair, but simple greed.

Black leaders are afraid to address this issue because it is better to place blame on Black men for the cause of child poverty than on the intervention of this government system. The issue is a politically correct time bomb waiting to sabotage any politician or prominent figure who wants to challenge the so-called good intentions of the system. It is not quite ready for public scrutiny so Black leaders avoid it.

Truth is, the child support system is biased, racist, and a feminist regime that has it out for men, (a man tax) and particularly Black men. If it can threaten men by suspension of licenses, business ventures, IRS return interception, credit destruction, and jail time, while at the same time deprive a man of good jobs and opportunity; it can cripple an entire generation of men, particularly Black men, and go about its business.

However, what Black women and Black leaders seem to forget is that there is another generation of Black men and women growing and the after effects of the destruction of this system will have a bearing on them also because they grow without fathers, in poverty, and dependent on the state. Black women, also, depend on government checks, enforcement of child support on men they simply had sex with and got pregnant, and they go unquestioned about their sexual negligence or choice of partners.

The system devalues the family structure, the father/child relationship, and the Black man/woman relationship. This system is most detrimental to development of Black families because Black families are caught up so deep in the system that it has and will continue to separate the male/female bond from here on, by reducing chances of success, self-development, and family relations.

Then we hear Black leaders stand up publically and bewail urban Black families and men for their behavior toward one another and toward their children while neglecting to mention or bring attention to the destructive force of the child support system on the family. This is blatant hypocrisy, mental laziness, and racist conformist on the part of Black leaders and women.

Continuing to ignore such a destructive system is extremely negligent on the part of so-called Black leaders and law makers. They must either, stand up against this system and demand change or they should keep their mouths shut from here on about the state of Black America, their shortcomings, lifestyle choices, and accomplishments. Own up or shut the hell up.

July 2007 By CR Hamilton

## **Liberal Leaders Make Liberal Followers**

The reason Black America has only liberal leaders who are continually spotlighted in the media is because it is an agenda purposefully planned that way. If the people see only liberal, indoctrinated Black leaders speaking the agenda of progressive white America, then the people will tend to believe liberal ideology.

There is no real Black militant leadership, a leadership that stands before the American public and pronounce truth and expose racism, or teach Black people to think and become independent of the U.S. government, or to form Black resistance to the social and political trends of society. The nation fears this. They feared it when Marcus Garvey spoke of Black Nationalism and when Malcolm X sought to teach Blacks how to resist Uncle Tomism.

It is not because Black America has lost its militant identity or that the nationalist concept is dead, but because there is no promotion of it to the masses through the media, it is censored and those voices are silenced. As the FCC regulates what Hollywood can and cannot produce, they and political and social gatekeepers regulate what message is sent to Black America. This is done through the long-standing concept of organized coercion.

### **Cointelpro 5 main objectives**

1. Prevent the coalition of militant Black Nationalist groups.
2. Prevent the rise of a "messiah" who could unify and electrify the militant Black movement.
3. Prevent violence on the part of Black Nationalist groups.
4. Prevent militant Black Nationalist groups and leaders from gaining respectability, by discrediting them.
5. Prevent the long-range growth of militant Black Nationalist organizations.

Orchestrated by the late sinister J. Edgar Hoover and carried out by the FBI, Cointelpro (counterintelligence program)

### **How to get Around Cointelpro Ideology**

Black America must not support liberal leaders promoted into the political arena. We must withdraw from the U.S. political party system altogether (meaning Democrat and Republican) and organize a system rooted in the interest of Black America only. Only then can we relate to other minority races and cultures genuinely, if we first organize for ourselves.

We must orchestrate a doctrine for our children and an agenda for our schools. We must address the problems of the Black family by building a moral foundation based on nature and not social trends. We must recycle our money back into our communities and resist giving it to suburban communities.

This will give Black America a strong moral identity and the media or American gatekeepers cannot undermine that. Though we would be watched thoroughly by U.S. Intelligence, we will have complete control over our own affairs and this is called, personal, or collective responsibility.

2005 by Afro Staff

## **How Your Credit-Rating Determines Your Future**

There is a television commercial about a man who is in an accident and rushed into the emergency room for a life-saving treatment, but before the doctors attempt to treat him using the heart machine, they

glance at a small screen in the room to see if his credit card clears. When it does, they proceed to save his life.

Though this is only a commercial, it is a reflection of what the future holds. A person's credit rating will at some point, determine your self worth, even to the point of your life or your death. Increasingly, more companies whether in the private sector or government, require people to have an at least decent credit rating before they will serve or assist you. For example, in some states, an automobile owner has to have good credit before they can purchase auto insurance. If they cannot furnish good credit, then they cannot purchase insurance, which puts them at the risk of losing their right to drive and at the risk of outrageous traffic fines, auto impounding, and license suspension.

Other entities of society also impose stringent credit regulations for services that at one time were automatic, such as renting an apartment, getting utilities turned on, renting a car, even renting movie videos at the local movie rental place. The need for good credit to rent an apartment will and has hurt many people who do not have good credit. It bars them from certain communities and limits them to apartment communities that are lax on their tenant policies, which are normally in lower-income neighborhoods. This is one way to keep the wealthy separated from the underclass. To have a bad credit rating says that you are not worthy to have the good things of life and that you are a risk for trusting with or lending money to.

Companies check job applicants credit rating now, to see if they pose a risk. No company wants to hire someone that has outstanding debt and creditors calling the job. If a person's credit shows outstanding debt, the employer can refuse to hire them, though they will not reveal the reason. Churches are now asking people for their social-security numbers on tithing envelopes. They too, check credit history because poor credit history says the member owes more than they can afford to give to the church's ministry. In relationships, people are asking about the potential spouses credit rating before they comment because they do not want to inherit a bad credit rating.

It is understandable that banks and lending institutions abide by a strict credit policy since they are lending their money, and not many want to lend to someone who will not likely repay them. However, when that same practice is put into effect concerning where and how a person can live, that begins another realm of social class exploitation. If credit ratings determine whether you can insure your vehicle, a law many readily abide by, then the system is actually working against itself because if more people cannot obtain auto insurance that means that many more will drive around without it, further increasing hazardous situations for other insured drivers. This will eventually come back to haunt auto insurance companies.

The innuendo in the commercial says that the credit industry will soon have control over many lives, literally. They already control many aspects of our lives, such as who can drive, who can live where and how, and who are credible enough to have what it is they want. Now they want to regulate who lives and who dies. If the guy's credit card had failed, he would have died because the doctors would have had no other choice but not to operate. They would not want to risk their standing in the credit society by taking that kind of risk.

Soon, there will be credit laws imposed on those who can buy food. If you were to walk into a grocery store, say, after society has gone to the cashless system, the grocer swipes your card through the credit

machine, and you have outstanding debts, this is grounds for refusal. Food, shelter, and clothing, are things that should be a given in any society, however, this is what it seems America is attempting to destroy: the givens of human rights, and to preserve these rights only for those who can afford them. The more America prospers and grows financially, the greedier and more cash-conscious it will become. When the day comes that tragedy strikes this country, and everyone, whether rich or poor, are faced with the same situation, it will be only then they will see that in life, there are some things that all humans deserve.

2003 by C.R. Hamilton

## **An Analysis of Deviance and Capitalism**

The following work describes how the practice of Capitalism retains control over its societal subjects, the people. Argued from an academic perspective (peppered with Afro Interpretations), the concept puts the entire United States social, political, and economical structure in plain view. It answers questions and conspicuously suggests solutions to the many problems in American society. If absorbed by the right minds, an implementation to combat this practice could point this country in another direction entirely.

The original topic of the work is Deviance, the recognized violation of cultural norms. When a person strays from the mainstream standards to practice their own culture and traditions, the society from whence they deviate consider them deviants and stigmatizes them into exile. For example, if a neighborhood kid is arrested and does time, the rest of the community considers that child no longer a part of their immediate culture.

This work talks about deviating from the Capitalist system and how people are stigmatized once they refuse to abide by the norms of a capitalist lifestyle, such is how the American society bared its foundation. Afromerica will input interpretations after each paragraph or concept of what the writer is saying. The interpretations are attempts to enlighten the Black mind as to how they can gain from this knowledge.

### **Article begins**

Deviant labels are applied to people who interfere with the operation of capitalism. First, because capitalism is based on private control of property, people who threaten the property of others - especially the poor who steal from the rich - are prime candidates for being labeled deviant.

### **Afromerica Interpretation**

One would first think of a common thug who would rob a rich person when reading the second sentence; however, this is not what elitist think. They think "the poor" as in welfare and underclass, not paying as much in taxes as they are, if any, and those who leach on to government programs that cater to the underclass as assistance programs.

In terms of assisting the poor, this is only a natural human desire, to help others. However, the capitalist does not see it this way, they would rather that underprivileged persons work for what they have, not realizing that it is their own system that causes underprivileged people in the first place.

#### **Article continues**

Conversely, the rich who exploit the poor are less likely to be labeled deviant. For example, landlords who charge poor tenants high rent and evict those who cannot pay are not considered a threat to anyone, they are simply "doing business."

Second, because capitalism depends on productive labor, people who cannot or will not work risk being labeled deviant. Many members of our society think people who are out of work - even if through no fault of their own - are somehow deviant.

#### **AI**

This is the going mindset in society because of the capitalist spirit. If a man (especially) is not working, that man is automatically labeled a sorry man, regardless of their situation. People do not always consider what could be the situation, because the capitalist spirit kicks in first before logic. This practice is unproductive because it lowers the moral of someone out of work.

#### **Article continues**

Third, capitalism depends on respect for authority figures, so people who resist authority are labeled deviant. Examples are children who skip school or talk back to parents and teachers and adults who do not cooperate with employers or police.

#### **AI**

Another example, which often goes unrecognized, is people who refuse to participate in calendar holidays they believe are pagan or demonic. They refuse to take part in commercialism and exploitation of sacred traditions and religious values. Many refuse to celebrate the anniversary of the very country that enslaved them and continues to psychologically do the same.

#### **Article continues**

Fourth, anyone who directly challenges the capitalist status quo is likely to be defined as deviant. In this category are antiwar activist, radical environmentalists, and labor organizers.

On the other side of the coin, society positively labels whatever enhances the operation of capitalism. For example, winning athletes enjoy celebrity status because they make money and express the values of individual achievement and competition vital to capitalism.

Additionally, we condemn using drugs of escape (marijuana, psychedelics, heroin, and crack) as deviant but promote drugs that encourage adjustment to the status quo (alcohol and caffeine).

**AI**

Challenging the system or deviating from the social norm means mainstream society will consider them an enemy rather than an asset. Anytime the systematic order of things is challenged, especially by people other than the ruling class and race, this doubles the stigma placed on those people. For example, blacks who protest against the social order and practices of subtle racism in everyday life.

These black people are immediately considered as threats and not taken seriously but laughed at based on this perception of them as deviant. This is another reason Black politicians and leaders cannot get any needful legislation through because it is not taken seriously, but winked at with the eye of derision from the white majority.

Instead, blacks - such as athletes and movies stars - who represent what the American capitalist system is all about, are spotlighted and praised by mainstream so to prove to the rest of the masses of Blacks that it is possible; if they made it, so can you. This is the most disillusioned psyche of mind that has blinded the Black leadership in this country and the illusion is spreading throughout to the lower classes of Blacks like a wild fire.

Drinking alcohol is socially acceptable yet alcohol related deaths and illnesses are as high as the murder rate and American society. It parallels the drug market in sales, crimes committed as a result of, and is higher in death rates than illegal drug addictions. However, because this is the capitalist tradition, "rum, tobacco, and human labor" this is what society upholds and protects as decent.

#### **Article continues**

The capitalist system also strives to control people who don't fit into the system. The elderly, people with mental or physical disabilities, and "retreatists" represent a costly yet relatively harmless burden to society. Such people are subject to control by social welfare agencies.

But people, who directly challenge the capitalist system, including the inner-city "underclass" and revolutionaries, innovators, and rebels, are controlled by the criminal justice system or, in times of crises, military forces such as the National Guard.

**AI**

Control is the key to the capitalist system. It is how they manage to keep Blacks and any other minorities at a certain level of the social ladder. They erect social governmental bureaucracies to keep these people in check and monitored through appointments, visits, and court dates.

The mainstream media has managed to indoctrinate the minds of television watchers to believe that the system is for them in the world of reality TV, but once a person challenges the system, they quickly find out that there is but one way, which is the way of the system.

The "inner-city" underclass knows what it is like to struggle against social agencies. These agencies were designed for them in particular. Elitist have no agency that controls them to an extent of contention, their systems are designed to protect them against the common person and to enrich their lives.

### **Article continues**

Note that both the social welfare and criminal justice systems blame individuals, not the system, for social problems. Welfare recipients are deemed unworthy freeloaders, poor people who vent rage at their plight are labeled rioters, anyone who actively challenges the government is branded a radical or a Communist, and those who attempt to gain illegally what they will never acquire legally are rounded up as common criminals.

### **Article ends...**

### **AI conclusion**

The capitalist spirit traces back to the early Puritans and founders of America. It is one based on the supposed biblical principle that "if a man does not work neither does he eat." Though this is biblical, the concept is staunch against any other humanitarian concept of the Bible, such as helping the poor and the feeble and the weak, and not persecuting or forgetting about them.

The founders practiced this capitalist spirit successfully for years under their own culture but neglected any biblical practice of human decency during slavery. Therefore, the entire capitalist spirit is one of blatant hypocrisy even from its start.

Today, however, it is in full-blown mode whereas the social structure of the country has parted society into the rich and the poor and the divide is growing larger everyday. Capitalist minded people do blame the poor for their plight and never consider the fact that it may be their own system that has begotten the poor. For which came first?

Blacks should realize that we are not a natural part of this system but are victims of it and are continued victims of it. There may be successful Blacks in America but remember that they were placed there for conscience sake, not because of the promise of equality. We must remember that as Blacks in America the capitalist's job is to make workers out of humans and regardless of the circumstances, that product of society must submit to authority or there are consequences.

Source from: Society the Basics: Macionis, 2004

2004 by Afro Staff

## **Economic Formula to Keep Black Men Down**

Think conspiracies are non-existent? Think again. The Federal Government in cahoots with research institutions has cold calculated a formula to keep young Black men from rising economically and socially. There is empirical evidence that unemployment among young Black men, incarceration rates,



and child support policies are significant variables in hindering Black men from reaching their full potential. And where does the Black woman fit in?

According to a study released from The Urban Institute entitled, *Declining Employment among Young Black Less-Educated Men: The Role of Incarceration and Child Support* By Author(s): Harry Holzer, Paul Offner, Elaine Sorensen, "The dramatic rise in the share of young black men who have been incarcerated during the past two decades; and Growing enforcement of child support orders in that time period...disproportionately affect young black men; and both are likely to limit the employment rates of those affected."

Not many Black, working, thinking people are mathematicians but butterflies in the stomach have a way of awakening the uncton of fear and truth. Below is a mathematical model used as sociological methodology to prove a theory. When something of this complexity is seen as pertaining to Black people, questions should be raised as to what the hell is going on.

Using these data, our estimated equations are as follows:

$$1) EMP_{ijkt} = f(X_{ijkt}; X_{jt}; INCARC_{k,t-3}; CS_{kt}; TIME_{t}; STATE_{k}) + u_{ijkt}$$

$$2) LF_{ijkt} = g(X_{ijkt}; X_{jt}; INCARC_{k,t-3}; CS_{kt}; TIME_{t}; STATE_{k}) + v_{ijkt}$$

Where EMP and LF denote whether the individual in question is employed or in the labor force respectively; the X refer to various personal or metropolitan-level characteristics; INCARC and CS refer to incarceration rates and the various child support policy variables respectively; TIME represents a set of year dummies and STATE represents a set of state dummies; and i, j, k, and t denote the person, metro area, state and year respectively. All versions of equations 1 and 2 are estimated as linear probability models (which differ very little from some legit models that we have estimated), and reported standard errors are adjusted for clustering at the state level (using Huber-White methods).

It is this type logic that places the sanity of whites in question. Why something so complicated for such a basic social issue such as Blacks and the oppression we face in America. A more simple formula, constructed from the Black mind would be thus: Young Black man + Urban life + The State = Jail, unemployment, life of poverty: Simple as that.

However one may see the situation, the crisis facing young Black males do stem from a collaboration of all three institutional systems: the courts, the State department of social services, and the workforce. Now it all starts to make sense. And where does the Black woman fit in? According to the study:

During the 1990s, employment rates among young and less-educated minority women-particularly African-Americans-increased quite dramatically. This increase is generally attributed to a combination of welfare reform policies, expansions of the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and other supports for working poor families, as well as a very robust labor market during that time period (Meyer and Rosenbaum 2001; Blank 2003).

No one can or will deny that the Black woman has become the apple of employer's eyes. They land jobs faster and more often than Black men. We know this. Black women know this. Now research has

acknowledged and proved this. The problem is getting Black women to see this as an added form of hindrance to their Black male counterparts.

Again, as proven by research, Black men are found wanting and lacking in economic stratification as compared to Black women. Not only are we at the bottom of the economic food chain, but there are reasons for us being there and not all because of our supposed stereotypical criminal minds and lack of educational attainment, but because there are systems that work against our success, systematically and in order. The study goes on:

In contrast, the employment rates of young less-educated black men continued their long secular decline during this time period. Though young less-educated black men did benefit from the economic boom of the 1990s, and the wages of those in the labor force seemed to rise in this period, the boom was not sufficient to offset the negative secular trend that has been reducing employment and labor force activity among these young men for the past several decades. Furthermore, there has been little good evidence to date about why this trend has continued in the 1990s, despite positive trends in educational attainment and reductions in criminal activity for this group.

So, even though "criminal activity for this group" has declined and "positive trends in educational attainment" have occurred amongst young Black men, they still lack employment and labor force activity. Now, to save white scholars months even years of research and money, a formula for this is not necessary because it would be obvious to any Black person that racism and fear of the Black man is the reason.

Despite the fact that we can advance in education and think twice before becoming criminals, the work force does not trust our progress enough to hire us, but will promote the Black woman in a second. Should not we as a people consider these discoveries and work from there?

For any reason the reader has missed the point of this article, here is a simple breakdown as to what discovery was made.

There is a cycle that hinders Black men from becoming productive in this society: first, deprive the urban areas of jobs limiting opportunities for Black youth, offer liberal- operated government programs such as welfare and legislatively enforce the system of child support to collect as supplement for repaying the welfare system: further strengthen the justice system to profile and incarcerate any young Black men on the urban street that have resorted to the underground economy of drugs, release him after 2-5 years in federal prison and see if he can get a job.

Once researchers discover that these men cannot get jobs or that non-custodial fathers have fallen too far behind on child support payments because of the poverty they live in themselves, which is a reciprocal result of lack of jobs in urban areas, publish a study for the public to see that places all the blame of this cycle on the abilities and willingness of the Black man.

To substantiate the above theory, again we will quote a passage from the research study, which reads: "the effects of previous incarceration and strict child support policy enforcement on the labor force behavior of young black men. We find that past incarceration is strongly and negatively associated with such behavior. We also find some evidence that child support enforcement limits labor force activity, especially among those age 25-34. In fact, our results imply that past incarceration and child support can

account for most of the declines over time in labor force activity for this age group, though somewhat less among those age 16-24."

Now we could offer numerous solutions to this problem in as simple a manner as possible to where an 8 year-old can understand, however, since Black intellectuals and Black women have decided to take every word of the white scholar as gospel and ignore the common knowledge and experience of the Black man, we leave the solution to come from those they worship:

Beyond programmatic efforts, some broader policy changes might be needed to address the negative effects of incarceration and child support policy on employment of young black men. Regarding incarceration, policymakers at the state level should review the barriers to employment that they generate for ex-offenders, perhaps distinguishing those that are sensible from those that are strictly punitive and perhaps counterproductive (like drivers' license revocation and other bans on occupational licensing for those with criminal records).

Efforts to link ex-offenders to the private-sector labor market should begin while they remain incarcerated, by lessening restrictions on the ability of private employers to use inmate labor, especially in tight labor markets. And the use of other tools, such as federally sponsored bonding and tax credits to employers, should be explored further as well.

Regarding child support, states should be encouraged and assisted in efforts to review the practices by which child support orders are developed for low-income men- often without any direct evidence of their potential earnings. Arrearage forgiveness efforts need to be explored for non-custodial fathers who make a good-faith effort to keep up with current orders-especially if arrears have accumulated while they were incarcerated or otherwise unable to work.

## **Conclusion**

Think about it. There is definitely something wrong with the way the Black man is being treated in this country and Black women and Black intellectuals must realize this and stop allowing themselves to be persuaded by white ideology that our people are a disgrace to society and deserve punishment. There is a cycle and that cycle turns constantly and grows ever larger, with help from those who fear and hate the Black man.

Source From: [http://www.urban.org/Template.cfm?](http://www.urban.org/Template.cfm?NavMenuID=24&template=/TaggedContent/ViewPublication.cfm&PublicationID=8936)

[NavMenuID=24&template=/TaggedContent/ViewPublication.cfm&PublicationID=8936](http://www.urban.org/Template.cfm?NavMenuID=24&template=/TaggedContent/ViewPublication.cfm&PublicationID=8936)

Full Report: [http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/411035\\_declining\\_employment.pdf](http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/411035_declining_employment.pdf)

August 2005 by C.R. Hamilton

**New Found Freedom - 7 Things Blacks Can Do that would Totally Change America**

I cannot stress this more: marches don't work, voting doesn't work, and protest and boycotts and complaining don't work. America is set in their racist views and totally mocks these unproductive approaches. We, and any minority group in America who wants equal treatment, has to get America's attention. Not that I condone what happen on 911, but that got the country's attention. America now knows that someone truly hates them and disagrees with their world views This is what Blacks have to do, not bomb a landmark, but make a serious statement.

Below are some definite tactics that if practiced by enough Blacks on a long enough time line, will get some attention and let this country's racist know that we are sick and tired of their subtle bigotry, practices of underdevelopment, and sick racist games.

**1. Do not buy any consumer goods for 6 months to a year.**

In 2000, the National GDP was \$9.9 billion. This was possible because people spend money everyday. In 2000, Personal Consumption Expenditures were \$6.9 billion, meaning if people did not spend the country would not gross as much and the country counts on American consumers to spend what they earn, this is the base for capitalism. If people do not spend it hurts the economy.

The question is how much do Blacks contribute to the overall American economy? In 1998, when the GDP was \$8.7 billion dollars, Blacks contributed \$25 million. Therefore, in 2000, when the National GDP was \$9.9 billion, Blacks contributed well over \$30 million. Thirty million dollars may not hurt the overall Nation GDP very much but it would hurt individual corporations that manufacture certain goods and services. If Blacks choose those goods and services carefully, we could make a serious statement.

**Certain goods and services not to purchase:**

- a. Automobiles - pay your car off and keep it running.
- b. Designer Clothing - arrogance is a sin.
- c. Eat-out dining - cook at home.
- d. Alcoholic beverages and cigarettes - save your liver and lungs.
- e. Electronics, including cell-phones, televisions, and stereo systems.
- f. Personal care items such as, expensive perfumes, hair products, and make-up.

Basic necessities such as food, utilities, and health care are essential for survival however, some things we can do without. After 6 months to a year of at least 80% of the Black population refraining from making these type purchases, America would see a significant drop in the GDP. Not that they would care much one way or another, but many companies that manufacture these goods and who most likely practice racist employment tactics would definitely feel the blow as well as hear the statement.

**2. All Black actors, singers and rappers, and filmmakers refrain from the industry.**

The entertainment industry thrives because of Black artist and entertainers. From the Harlem Renaissance to Motown to all the rap record labels, Black music is the soul of this country. The Black writers and performers possess a positive energy that brings soul to the screen in Hollywood and in television.

If these entertainers took that same energy [and money] and invested in a conglomerated self-governed Black community of business and education, it would not only make the statement that we do not need the praise and spotlight of white America, or to be paraded as Sambos, but we can create and grow among ourselves with the right leadership.

### **3. All Black sports figures refrain from the exploitation of the sports business.**

This is one area that white America would suffer without and miss the most since Blacks dominate the top two sports, and since more money is in sports than in the most prosperous corporations in the nation. No more football and no more basketball; not only would this drive young Black men to concentrate on more academic and productive fields, but it would destroy the stereotype of Black incompetence in anything other than physical competition.

If the Black sports figures used what money they have earned to put into lower-income areas and schools instead of being monopolized by charities to donate and never see a real change, then their humanitarian efforts would be more appreciated by the Black community and not just a conscience soother for the capitalist marketing company.

### **4. All Black students leave white schools and go to Black schools.**

This may seem like an effort to segregate but if pondered ethically, this is what whites would prefer anyway. So why not give them what they want instead of complaining about quotas and preferences and defending the myth of reverse racism. At some point a logical approach to racism must be used by Black freedom fighters instead of the old marches and protest. Logic does this and more.

Appeal to the conscience is the strategy. If we give whites what they want and they are happy, it will prove that they are racist beyond a doubt. Many whites could live without it bearing on their conscience but many would never be able to endure because they work hard to prove they are not racist. This is a logical strategy that we should seriously consider.

Furthermore, leaving white colleges would do much more for the sinking Black colleges around the nation. It would bring more skills and expertise to the Black colleges, which would draw more students and more Black money. A specialized curricula could be designed that address Black culture and does away with the philosophical theories and myths of Western culture that do nothing more than catechize Blacks into believing that Western culture is more civilized and precious than any other culture in the world.

### **5. Stop all cases of child support.**

Believe it or not, the child support system is set up first, as a feminist regime and second as a racist regime. It dehumanizes men and fathers regardless of guilt or not and it neutralizes Black males and females. It drives a wedge between Black men and women and sets the stage for Baby mama drama and all other stereotyped images of Black America.

If all child support cases were dropped, the bureaucracy of the child support system would crash like no other because it is upheld mainly by Black cases. This would demand fatherly roles for many Black children, which could be fulfilled by many prominent Black people who have the desire to strengthen the characteristics and ethical principles of Black children.

In addition to the child support system, the foster and adoption systems go hand in hand. If Blacks adopted out and fostered one Black child away from the system, all Black children would have a home and no need for government dependency.

#### **6. All Black women get off welfare all at once.**

Of course this is a dream of white America because it totally blames Blacks for destroying the America economy through the use of welfare. It would answer the prayers of many conservatives at the same time crushing the dreams of liberals, who live from the programs of government dependency. If Blacks do not need them and their programs, they have no grounds for an agenda.

An abrupt move away from the welfare system would also crash another huge entity of the American economy. The bureaucracy would fall and they would have no one to serve and support but whites, then the rest of America could see who really drains the economy through welfare. Medicaid would not be missed if Black physicians dedicated their practices to the health of Blacks, which could lead to an established hospice system for Blacks.

#### **7. Establish a Black political party.**

Never again vote for the Republicans or the Democrats but start a totally independent Black party. This would definitely cut the Democratic Party down but at the same time give rise to the Republican Party. If we have done all the above before we begin this new Black party, the republicans would not be a threat to us, but they would be an ally. An ally because we would not be a so-called burden to them and they would be free to pass all the racist laws they want.

If we allow them to pass racist laws [remember the laws of logic] they would prove to be the racist they are. This is what we want and I cannot stress this more. We want racist to be racist because it reveals their true nature and lays heavy on their conscience, which would not be good for their image and would start the process of elimination.

If all the above are accomplished, the Black nation in America would rise like never before. Be not deceived, however, at first there would be a grand mockery of what we do because most arrogant whites will act as though what we are doing has no bearing on the structure and progress of America. This may be true for the first year, but if continued religiously there would be a major change in American society. Not only economically and socially, but ethically and even religiously.

We must think long term in this goal and prepare to sacrifice many things. If our forerunners could sacrifice their lives and own bodies, surely we can sacrifice a few luxuries to gain respect as a nation and natural human rights. This plan will work all the more if the whole of Black America participated and not just a select few. There is more power in numbers and more to be accomplished through unity.

If you think this feat far fetched, think again. This is a national uprising, non-violent, and a new wave of unbinding the shackles, and more logically effective than the traditional march, protest, and urban riot. This is using our brains and strength through unity, our creativity and our desire to be and remain free. It is also to better American society by ridding it of the ugly practice of racial bigotry that plague out children and white children.

At some point we can convince some of the richer and more prominent Black Americans that it is time to refrain from American shackles and to bring in a new day with new ideas in order to lay the foundation of a new America. One that we truly share the wealth, happiness, and right to liberty.

## **Guns and Butter: The Future of the American Economy**

By CR Hamilton

Over the next few months, hundreds of military and economic experts will crowd our cable news channels and discuss the future of the American economy in light of the War on Terror. With thousands of American troops in Iraq, not to mention Afghanistan and other parts of the middle east, and the crises the Iraqi people are facing after the overthrow of the Iraqi government in addition to the crises here at home, expect the economy to either remain the same or decline even more.

There is no doubt that the U.S will continue to spend extraordinary amounts of money on the international crises, such as military expenses, foreign aid, and on rebuilding Iraq. The question is, what will become of the national economy? Do we sacrifice our "butter" in terms of producing more consumer goods, which would increase employment, or do we support protection of our coast from terrorist by encouraging the increase of "guns" in terms of military firepower and intelligence? And should we further fulfill a duty to spend money and help rebuild a normal society for our new, sister country, Iraq?

Because resources are scarce relative to the virtually unlimited wants they can be used to satisfy, people must choose among alternatives. More "guns" means less "butter." In the current U.S. crises, the amount of "butter" that must be given up to get more "guns" is the opportunity cost according to the needs of our economy. In other words, the more money spent to support our troops overseas; to rebuild Iraq, and to increase foreign aid cost us something - a luxury or even a necessity - here at home.

Many believe that too much money is being spent elsewhere other than here at home, and that money could be used to increase government spending on programs that assist the poor and working poor in America. Instead, the money is being used to finance a war that those same people feel was unnecessary from the start. These people want "butter." However, the fact that the war did happen and now that America has demolished Iraq and reeked havoc in the lives of Iraqi people, these anti-war people have to realize that America now has an obligation to help rebuild that country. They cannot have the "butter" they want right now, they are going to have to wait it out.

Others believe that since we did launch the war on Iraq and have now since occupied it, we have to support our troops and live up to our obligation to rebuild a normal society in Iraq. However, of those who believe this way, they too want "butter" but realize that "guns" are necessary too. They are more willing to sacrifice goods and programs here at home in return for protection from terrorism in the long run. The bottom line is can we endure an economy in decline over a period of time to secure a long-term plan for safety.

Either the Bush administration extremely underestimated the aftermath of the war, not expecting a looting problem and lawless society after the government regime collapsed, or they had planned to spend money regardless of the outcome as part of a long-term occupation of Iraq. Not only do the U.S. have to fight to secure the borders of Iraq from surrounding nations who may be anxious to get a piece of the Iraq pie, but they have to police the streets, build an Iraqi government base, and provide for the civilians of Iraq. This means long-term spending away from home no matter how one looks at it; the American economy will have to suffer for a while.

On the other hand, America could altogether abandon the Iraq crises, like they did after the Gulf war, which is something no one expects them to do since they are so deep in it now. They cannot abandon the Iraqi people now so they must spend money on "guns." At the same time, they cannot allow the American economy to continue to decline, this would for sure bring animosity against the present administration.

Expect the economy to either stay the same or decline in the future. There is no way that this government can build this economy while at the same time commit to the Iraqi crises. If anything in America gets better, it will be the gas prices. Think about that.

April 2003 by CR Hamilton

## **The Reality of American Democracy: The Power of the Interest Group**

The concept of American Democracy is pushed into the minds of people from grade school until adulthood. It is a concept that political leaders and patriotic advocates assure us is the best governmental system in the world and we should be proud and thank God we live under the American system of Democracy. We have been told that no other country has a system of government in which ultimate political authority is vested in the people. This is theory only, however, so let us look at reality.

Individuals have the right to vote and the freedom of speech and that is about as far as our ultimate political authority actually goes. What happens after we cast our vote and what impact it actually has on national policies that govern our lives is a different story? Yes, we have the right to freedom of speech, but how many news anchors and national media reporters are beating down your door wanting to hear what you have to say? By what medium does the average person have to voice their concerns and someone at the level of high authority listens?



Admit it, there is a huge gap between those in authority who hold the keys to national change and those who awake everyday to go to wage occupations in their neighborhoods. In the mist of that gap, however, are systems of bureaucracy that regulate our every move according to long, drawn out policies and procedures. Some of these bureaucracies have some persuasive powers to make change but many merely abide like robots to government regulation.

So who really holds the keys to change? Interest groups, that's who. The significant role played by interest groups in shaping national policy has caused many to question whether we really have a democracy at all. To be sure, most interest groups have a middle-class or upper class bias. Members of interest groups can afford to pay the membership fess, are generally fairly well educated, and normally participate in the political process to a greater extent than the average America.

Furthermore, leaders of interest groups tend to constitute an "elite within an elite" in the sense that they usually are from a higher social class than their members. The most powerful interest groups - those with the most resources and political influence - are primarily business, trade, or professional groups. In contrast, public interest groups or civil rights groups make up only a small percentage of the interest group lobbying Congress.

In elitist politics, the theory of politics presumes that most Americans are uninterested in politics and are willing to let a small, elite group of citizens (the Electoral College) make decisions for them. In contrast, other theories view politics as a struggle among various interest groups to gain benefits for their members and competing interests as the essence of political decision-making. In reality, neither theory describes American politics very accurately. If interest groups led by elite, upper-class individuals are the dominant voices in Congress, then what we see is a conflict among elite groups - which would support the elitist theory, not a democracy.

The people leave national policy in the hands of a very few elect elitist who do nothing more than lobby Congress for their own interest. If Congress meets their concerns then policies are passed and laws are created that support these interests regardless of what the people actually want. In other words, only the elitist in this country feels they know what is best for the people.

Some of the most well known interest groups are below. Think of how they could make or have already made a difference in your life

### **Civil / Constitutional Rights Groups**

- AIDS Coalition
- American Association of Retired Persons (AARP)
- American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)
- Handgun Control, Inc.
- National Association of the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP)
- National Abortion Rights Action League

- National Gay and Lesbian Task Force
- National Organization for Women, Inc. (NOW)
- National Rifle Association (NRA)
- National Right to Life Committee Inc.
- National Urban League
- Planned Parenthood Federation of America

### **Community / Grassroots**

- American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals
- Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN)
- Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD)

### **Environmental**

- Environmental Defense Fund
- Greenpeace
- National Wildlife Federation
- Sierra Club
- The Wilderness Society

### **Tactics Used By Interest Groups to Influence Congress**

Lobbying Congress is the most used tactic by interest groups, which entails the activities of private citizens (mainly interest group leaders) regularly congregating in the lobbies of legislative chambers before a session to petition legislators. Detaining and engaging in conversation senators and representatives in state capitols and in Washington while they are moving from their offices to the voting chambers is a standard lobbying activity. Others include:

1. Engaging in private meetings with public officials, including president's advisors, to make known the interests of the lobbyist clients. It is to the lobbyist advantage to provide accurate information that senators and representatives could not attain on their own.

2. Inviting legislators to social occasions, such as cocktail parties, boating expeditions, and other events, including conferences at exotic locations.
3. Testifying before congressional committees for or against proposed legislation being considered by Congress.
4. Providing political information to legislators and other government officials about other officials.
5. Supplying nominations for federal appointments to the executive branch.
6. Provide workers for political campaigns, including precinct workers to get out the vote, volunteers to put up posters and pass out literature, and people to staff telephone banks for campaign headquarters.
7. Providing endorsements for certain political candidate in which the interest group publicizes its choice for political offices.
8. Provide campaign contributions in large amounts of money to officials seeking election or reelection.

Unless a community movement of average citizens untie and raise enough funds to practice some of the above tactics of interest lobbying, it seems that the policies that govern this nation will continuously come from the opinions and views of the elitist class, who does not and never can represent the lower class or the people who deserves the Democracy once promised.

2003 by C.R. Hamilton

## **One Reason Blacks Lack Respect**

According to WorldNetDaily, the congresswoman Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (D-Texas) apparently feels that the current names of hurricanes are too "lily white," and is seeking to have better representation for names reflecting African-Americans and other ethnic groups.

"All racial groups should be represented," Lee said, according to the Hill. She hoped federal weather officials "would try to be inclusive of African-American names."

A sampling of popular names that could be used includes Keisha, Jamal and Deshawn, according to the paper.

This woman has nothing more important to do for minority issues than to come up with something this menial. This is one of the reasons Blacks are not respected for their views and stance on real issues facing the country. Who can take anyone serious who feels this would advance the position of Black America or America as a whole concerning race relations?

The sad thing is that many whites and other leaders are narrow-minded and would suppose that all Blacks feel hurricanes should have black names. How can we escape negative views about ourselves if we have representation such as this?

Too many Black leaders are worried about the wrong things. They are the ones totally out of touch with reality and the plight of Black America. They have gotten comfortable and have lost sight of what the struggle is all about. Why should we vote for someone who is not in touch with reality?

2003 by AfroStaff

## **The Bureaucratic Monopoly of Necessities**

Afromerica is a firm believer of people having rights to the necessities of life, such as food, water, shelter, and of the more recent modern necessities of electricity and gas, which are not actually necessities in the sense of human survival but needed in today's society for a decent life. Food, water, and shelter are necessities that if a person is deprived of could result in health problems, which in turn could lead to death. Thus, these necessities should never be withheld from anyone.

The human body is made up of 75% water thus we need water to sustain us. We need water to drink, bath, and to use for cooking. There is no reason anyone should have to pay for water simply because it is a God-given resource. The government should provide water services free of charge and no company should ever attempt to maintain a monopoly on water.

Some foods grow from the earth and these are also God-given and should not cost a dime. Though farmers work hard to bring forth the crop, they should be paid for their labors and once again, the government should handle that. Cattle, livestock, and fish are all God-given resources of protein meant for the consumption of human beings, what right does any company have to charge for what is naturally free.

Shelter is another necessity. Without adequate shelter, humans are susceptible to the elements. The current state of society in developing housing is outrageously selfish. Not only are many eliminated from owning shelter but home-owning privilege has been set-aside for people belonging only to the labor force. However, every year families lose their homes to banks and mortgage companies even after a job layoff.

If people cannot meet the expectations of the banking world, they are forced to rent or live in someone else's home until they can meet the standards. In other countries, the government pays for family's homes for the year or give them a home to pass on to their children. The understanding is that people need shelter and cannot produce effectively without it, as with water and food.

In a society where survival of the fittest reigns as the social norm, anyone who cannot attain these necessities by way of contributing to the labor force will go without, which means that if a person cannot

afford to pay for these necessities, they are not worthy of them. By what means and behind what logic do they justify this theory?

Based on the old founding father's philosophy of hard work and thrift and survival of the fittest, America has chosen to ignore the basics of humanity. This is the consequence of a predominantly capitalist society, however. The more money one has the more they qualify for basic human needs.

Though there are humanitarian organizations that specialize in providing assistance for these services, the overall social standard is to provide these services to people who will pay for them. The resources of the earth are here for all to live from and when these resources are withheld from the people the leaders will be cursed, not only by the people, but also by the God who gave the resources.

Hunger and homelessness is a problem created by social standards not by individual competence. In the richest nation in the world, there should be no hunger or homelessness. No researchers conduct studies or write reports that reveal the fact that more people are hungry and homeless because of social standards than are because of incompetence. This type study would not look good for lawmakers and politicians.

Money is the bottom line. Companies need money to cover cost, families need money for these services, and the government needs money for international trade. To produce electricity and gas-powered vehicles are man-made inventions and does require substantial amounts of money, but water, food, and shelter is not under the authority of man, but of God.

America is one of the few countries that charge for all necessities whereas many other countries provide at least one freely. Thus, the American economic system of capitalism is a crime against humanity.

2003 by C.R. Hamilton

## **The Powerless Underclass in American Society**

A bad marriage is one in which one spouse constantly reminds the other spouse of how fortunate they are while at the same time limiting their options, manipulating their actions, directing their future, and choosing their vocabulary. The big picture looks good with the nice house, the new car, and a nest egg for the future, and many would be content with this picture of life. This is the image of life that has been fed to them as a successful one and some people can take this image for what it is but there are others who see beyond the big picture and realize there is a wall of bondage around this image.

Eat your pees, graduate high school, go to college, get a good job, get married, raise a family, pay taxes and die. It seems that if you had never began obeying this cycle of life by eating your pees, you would never die. This is the big picture. Do these things and people will look at your obituary and say something nice. Do not these things and there are consequences.

You do not necessarily have to do these things in that sequential order, however if you neglect one there is a government bureaucracy or community organization awaiting you, which has been given the power over you to regulate your weakness for you. This is the wall of bondage. For instance, if you do not eat your pees, the FDA will publish humiliating articles about you and your peers on how fat you are and how irresponsible you are for not adhering to the social rules of healthy eating.

If you do not graduate high school or go to college the welfare agency seeks your dependence. If you do not get a good job the banks and credit bureaus snub you. If you do not get married and have children the social community and church frowns on you, and if you do not pay taxes, the IRS digs your grave. On the other hand, if you do happen to get involved with any of the above powers that be but you do not follow their long drawn out policies and procedures, there is no one to appeal to if they wrong you.

How do you fight the following if you do not have the money to fight with?

1. Health organizations that control medicines and hospitals, which are services everyone needs regardless of how much money they have.
2. Food processing companies that produce foods that are harmful to your body causing medical problems over many years.
3. Educational institutions that teach us curricula that has no bearing on real life at all and that forces into our brains lessons of Western propaganda.
4. Corporations that underpay minorities from the billions of dollars collected through mindless advertising, which destroys social morality.
5. Television and Hollywood medium that constantly pushes immorality on our children and ourselves.
6. News media that lie to us about anything that really matters and who portray minorities as idiots and the cause of social ills.
7. Science research studies that tell the public of the new discoveries only to change the discovery 10 years later.
8. Banking institutions that pick and choose who to lend to based on how much money they have loaned them in the pass.
9. Credit agencies that charge whatever they want for their own profit in their own legalized world of loan-sharking with the ability to make or break one life.
10. Judicial systems that target minorities simply because they have reason (scientific reason) to believe they are more violent and prone to criminal activity.
11. Prison systems that toss the key after they confine someone they thought committed a crime and which are overcrowded for that reason feeding criminals 3 meals a day when millions of people go without food for days.

12. Child support authorities that are biased toward men in general and award money to women who lie about who the fathers of their bastards are.

13. Lawyers who charge way more than any working person can afford to pay them only to lose a case because of lack of that of money.

14. Government agencies that want their money owed them regardless of anyone's situation if they find a single flaw in your recent annual pay, and will jail you if you have no reasonable excuse.

15. Government agencies that withdraw money from your paycheck until the day you die and in return offer little in return once you stop working.

16. Politicians that do not represent the working poor but represent the rich only and who make laws for the rich and not enforce laws for the working poor.

How do you fight these bureaucracies? Who do you appeal to when there is no bureaucracy to defend you? Who do you go to when you have been wronged and do not have the money to pay for a lawyer to even break even? Who do you appeal to when you have been wrongfully jailed or have been cheated by a bank or misrepresented by a major news media? Who do you appeal to when the only voice speaking for you is lying? Who do you appeal to when you have no money to do so?

People will argue the above questions by saying that you do not have to eat the fatty food or get a credit card or go to the public school or watch television or work for anyone but yourself or be treated by a local physician. That it is your right under the Constitution to choose what you will and how you live your life. However, once you stray from the norms of life is when you are ostracized and subbed the most. Once you decide not to live by society's rules is when you can see the wall.

People are constantly reminded that we live in a great country and that the opportunities here are nowhere else in the world. People flock here from around the world to live in such a free society and to make money and to get educated. These things are true, many people have succeeded in America but many have not. Who speaks for the have-nots and who has done anything to change the situation?

The powerless underclass in American society is the poor, and they have no mouthpiece so how can they ever be heard. The majority of the poor are Black, and Blacks are misrepresented and exploited by the news media and Hollywood, in politics, in educational institutions and in banking operations. To be heard in America, you have to either have money, be white, from another country, or crazy.

2003 By C. R. Hamilton

**Tuna is Good, Be Thankful**

The Bed Buddies of government and oil companies have pulled another one over the eyes of the people. They have slapped us while we sleep and have played us like violins. We have settled for \$2 + gas prices as normality and no one has said a damn thing.

Before 911, gas prices were anywhere from \$1.20 to \$1.40 a gallon. After the crises, terrorism and hurricanes, the prices jumped to over \$3 a gallon, almost four. Then they threw us a bone and the prices dropped to \$2 and we felt relief. But that bone was the beginning of the deception. It's the old, bait and switch.

Feed your child chicken for dinner then claim hard times and afterward feed it peanut butter and jelly for a few years, then when things return to normal for you, give it tuna and hope the child is dumb enough to be thankful for the tuna and not remember the chicken. If the child has any sense at all, it would become curious as to why there is no more chicken.

Once the child is convinced that chicken will never again exist in their diets even though chicken farm stocks are rising and chicken farmers are prospering, that child will at some point inquire if they have a mind of their own.

Obviously, the American people have no minds because no one has complained, the media has said nothing, nor have politicians raised the point. If they go long enough without saying anything, low gas prices will never return again but rise even higher right under our noses. And as long as they can convince us that tuna is good and we continue to lick that bone, we will never know the difference.

Feb 2007 by C.R. Hamilton

## **Affirmative Action: The Elitist Welfare Program**

Addressing the issues of Affirmative Action could take us on a long, historical journey into the Civil Rights movement, the justice system, and race relations; however, Aframerica will skip the preliminaries and get right to the point. Attacking the subject purely from a racial perspective, Affirmative Action is merely an elitist government program that gives handouts to privileged Blacks who otherwise lack confidence in themselves, or what we know today as, the Uncle Tom consumed with self-hatred.

Do we not realize that the term self-hatred is one that describes elitist Blacks and not the masses? To prove this, we will analyze Affirmative Action. Taken from a mainstream definition, the basic concept of Affirmative Action is thus:

"Born of the civil rights movement three decades ago, affirmative action calls for minorities and women to be given special consideration in employment, education and contracting decisions.

Institutions with affirmative action policies generally set goals and timetables for increased diversity - and use recruitment, set-asides and preference as ways of achieving those goals.



In its modern form, affirmative action can call for an admissions officer faced with two similarly qualified applicants to choose the minority over the white, or for a manager to recruit and hire a qualified woman for a job instead of a man. Affirmative action decisions are generally not supposed to be based on quotas, nor are they supposed to give any preference to unqualified candidates. And they are not supposed to harm anyone through 'reverse discrimination.'"

Let us compare government welfare - where low-income Blacks receive payment assistance from the government each month - with the practice of Affirmative Action, where elitist Blacks receive employment and educational preference assistance from the government. Furthermore, let us reveal the duplicitous act of elitist Blacks who revile low-income Blacks for accepting government assistance in the first place, or their choice and or circumstances of lifestyle.

One thing learned from living among well-to-do Blacks is that they can be a vicious people once becoming owners of anything depicting the "American Dream." After they receive a piece, (or better yet crumb), of the so-called America pie, elitist Blacks suddenly develop a pseudo character of success that somehow deceives them into looking down on where they come from and the people they escaped.

Affirmative Action is deceptive that way. Little does many of those elitist Blacks know, however, that they were merely an addition to the realm of success not because of their hard work and wit, but because of what the laws of Affirmative Action demands employers and America institutions to do. Not only employers, but also schools of elitist descent, country clubs, neighborhoods and organizations that were at one time all white.

We all understand that Black people should be treated like human beings instead of property and second-class citizens, but realize that as long as white America is in power, Blacks will never be accepted. No law or government orders can make whites love Blacks anymore or any longer, nor give them the same opportunities that whites are born with. Knowing this fact saves a person from hating the fact that they are Black.

It is time to realize that Affirmative Action is a law that basically says Blacks can never do anything on their own so the government must help them by enacting laws, (as if laws [Jim Crow] are written in favor of Blacks). It is not because whites believe Blacks cannot attain anything because of racism, no, whites think Blacks cannot earn the things they earn and live the way they live because Blacks are not as intellectually superior as them.

This is the truth about Affirmative Action. If the government gives you money each month, it is because you cannot do for yourself enough to survive in society, not because you are a woman or because you are of a certain race. This is the same with Affirmative Action. It is enforced because Blacks are believed to be in need socially and intellectually, not because they are Black. If this were the case, whites would be admitting they are racist, which they will never do.

"But defenders of affirmative action say that the playing field is not level yet - and that granting modest advantages to minorities and women is more than fair, given hundreds of years of discrimination that benefited whites and men."

This issue is not a question of Blacks nor women being given an opportunity to get gain, it is an issue of whites facing the fact that they are racist in nature. Blacks and women are people, and any other minority

or culture of people non-white, the fact that white men designed, structured, and completely rule America is the problem. It began with racism and the issue remains to be racism, not opportunity. When we face this fact and decide to build for our own selves a future, we, and no other group of people, will need assistance from the government.

Source from: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/politics/special/affirm/affirm.htm>

2004 by CR Hamilton

## **Black Rights and Gay Rights**

Long ago on the continent of Idiomerica, there lived a cruel king who stole and enslaved an entire nation of Gay people. He brutally beat them and stripped them of their human dignity and God-given rights and forced them to slave and toil, and he beat their men and raped their men, and made their women to perform acts of sexual pleasure with the men of his armies. Sound ridiculous? Well consider the current mainstream claim that Gay rights are equivalent to Black rights.

Equating rights for Blacks with rights for Gays can never be done, logically; maybe technically, but never logically without a dark vapor of condescending racism permeating from the principles of the one who equates the two. And for the Blacks who endorse this analogy, it is not without an allusion of self-hatred. But let us break this down one interest group at a time.

Gays have misplaced themselves as victims of discrimination under the principles of Civil Rights thus equating their struggle with that of Black-America, which attempt is chaotically pathetic. This says that they have no realistic means by which to justify their life choice. They cannot justify themselves separately because their struggle is not a struggle against human oppression but against life-choice oppression.

Blacks, women, and other racial-ethnic groups of people have faced discrimination of the worst kind, that of discrimination as humans to have rights to be whom they are, not what they practice. Religion itself is questionable as a natural right but one of personal choice. And so is freedom of opportunity to education, housing, voting, immigration, and even criminal activity, which are all beneath the umbrella of Civil Rights and are all practices and choices of personal lifestyle.

Speaking of choice, it has yet to be scientifically proven that homosexuals are "born" homosexuals thus punching a hole in the argument that homosexuals are victims of discrimination just like Blacks were victims of discrimination therefore requiring laws to free them. And since Western science trust more in science than in common sense and reason, should not the leading advocates of Gay rights await this scientific decision? What's a double life sentence under the realm of Western logic?

If the argument comes from the depths of natural law whereas Gays claim that if natural law has anything to do with human rights then their point should be considered because they were "by nature" born gay,

this also is flawed. Flawed because within the realm of natural law comes the basic instinct of reason and common sense.

Common sense says that once a person opens their eyes and sees a male and female standing with a child beneath them, then nature works for a specific reason. Now if the laws of nature support common sense and from common sense, come the traditional union of male and female, which brings forth the family, then any other arrangement other than that would be, by nature, null and void.

Man can ignore the reality of common sense to enforce their own laws but that does not make those laws a part of nature. If one believes that the right for a man and a man - or woman and woman - to be joined and recognized as a union of nature should be accepted by all as natural, this request is one of absolute non-sense (no pun intended). But if they simply want to be acknowledged by the laws of man so be it. The cosmos will not lose sync.

But be it unto the logic and philosophy of Western thought to defy all that is universal to fulfill a self-centered agenda. By nature we are all human-beings and by nature there are many different shades of people. We all think and breathe, we all feel and love and we were all birthed from the union of a male and a female. However, not all of us were born with the abnormal desires for the opposite sex.

Blacks should not have fallen under the laws of Civil Rights, but human rights. All others go under Civil. For anyone to mistake the two says they have little regard for human life. Life is not about politics, it is about survival. Politics placed Blacks under the laws of Civil Rights, Blacks did not choose this position but it was given to us, just like the position of slavery and inequality.

Blacks were oppressed because of the greediness of white supremacist not because of a lifestyle they chose. Blacks did not ask to come to America. Gays are not asked to be gay nor are they forced to be, they are freely. If Blacks had chosen to be Black, from behind the eyes of whites this would mean they would have chosen to be slaves because whites have and probably always feel and believe that Blacks should be slaves or at least beneath them.

Black rights and Gay rights can never be equated because even though Blacks were born Black they did not chose slavery and oppression, so if Gays were born gay, they were never forced to be or do anything against their God-given will. Any and all Blacks (and Black leaders who support this view) have a deep hatred for their own selves. They live and breathe the U.S. Constitution and have no knowledge of what is actually real, or of who they are.

Self-hatred begins with denying who you are and ends with confusion of the same. This leads to a confusion of life in itself and who everyone else is. This further leads to a denial of reality within the laws of nature. Afterward, anything goes. If no Black leader will stand to refute this ridiculous analogy this means that we as Blacks in America are on a downhill drop even further to the bottom of the social food chain. Do not support Black leaders who endorse this theory, for they have no identity.

2004 by CR Hamilton

## What Has Voting Accomplished

Before reading this article please ask yourself this question: do you consider yourself Black first or an American first? If you consider yourself an American first and do not see race as a factor, then you would never see any reasoning to the point of this article. In fact, you probably do not belong on this site. However, if you consider yourself as Black first then prepare to think twice, twice.

How long will Black America be defrauded by this voting conspiracy? Psychology has been used against us and we cannot even see what it has done and in what ways we have contributed to our own continued oppression. Need an explanation?

God forbid this article suggest that Black America commit the ultimate sin in American citizenship by refusing to vote, or that anyone who does not vote will have forsaken all that our brave and courageous leaders fought and died for. Those leaders themselves had Black opponents against voting in American Democracy.

The message of this article is not to encourage Blacks to abandon the ideas and goals of leaders past, but unless the words and basic concept break through the God-forsaken "must vote" mindset it will have no impact whatsoever and will remain null until its prophetic debut twenty maybe 50 years from now. The ultimate message is to awaken Black voters to the possibility that of all the voting Blacks have done over the "emancipated" years we were allowed to vote, what exactly have improved by reason of our vote and not by reason of self-determination alone.

This is going to be difficult to explain. However, if viewed from the opposite direction, instead of our voting power actually improving our lot in American democracy, we have merely been reinforcement for both political parties. If we are not upholding the ideas of one then we are giving strength to the concepts of the other. If they had never received our votes, would the country be any different? If neither political party had ever received our votes, would it matter a whole lot? How would our lives be different?

If we, in fact, refuse to vote in the next 4 elections for any federal, state, or local office, would anything be different? If you believe it would, ask yourself why. Your answer would most likely be because if we do not speak up or support our own people running for office, then no one will and that would give whites all the more reason to do to us whatever they want. You are right. Now ask yourself another question.

If we never voted and whites took advantage of this non-voice of Black America, do you think they would enjoy this? If you believe they would then this strongly sustains the first theory as to whether or not anything has changed. Whether we vote or not, we can never change the mindset of white America or its political structure. They will do unto us what they will regardless.

By voting, we only strengthen their control. We are doing exactly what they want us to do, depend on them and give control over to them. If we do not vote we do not give them control but take a large portion of that control: mostly the control of our own identities and destinies. If we take all that voting power and shift it in another direction, politicians have to take heed. Shift in what direction you ask? Into a national petition or organized charter.

How much clout does our Black political representatives really have? Yes, we have the Mayorships and one or two Governorships, and we have a few Black leaders run hard for the Presidency, but once in high positions, are they there because they earned it or are they there for show of tokenism? The higher they are the harder you have to bite down. And once they reach a position of power, do they not spoil after learning the ways of Democracy?

What Black leaders, Congressional laws or policies have dramatically changed our ability to live among whites? Whenever we move to where they are they move out, or white flight. What economic policy has changed when or how much a bank will loan us on a mortgage? Have these laws enabled us to own property wherever we want or start businesses as often or on an equal scale as most white businesses?

What Legislative rule has changed how law enforcement officers treat our young Black men and women as compared to young white offenders? Or what laws have taken notice and changed the rate of the Black prison populations? What great Amendment has ended the racial tension in University decisions to fairly admit our Black students without a stigma on IQ, performance, or mere personal achievement?

What President has actually made things better for the Black population pertaining to Hollywood stereotypes, hiring and "first" firing practices, wage and or housing discrimination, church burnings, police brutality, racial profiling, urban education degradation, inner-city blight, the poor and medically deprived, and all other current racial issues that plague the Black community.

What makes anyone think that continuing to vote for the same people who have no power to change the racial mind set of white America will ever actually make any difference in our lot in American society? Why is it that Blacks cannot see that voting is a pacifier for Black America and gives false hopes for a long anticipated dream that will never come true at the ballot box. Gaining respect and power in American society does not come from the ballot box, but from Black unity and from the pocket.

No one white man or group of white men is going to miraculously grant Black America all that we deserve by passing a bill. Nor will Black America gain that recognition by lining up every four years or every two years to vote for the same majority that has the power to withhold. From 1967 to now, the only thing America politics has done for Black America is condition them to depend on and trust in their method of control. American Democracy: Is this what we really want?

2004 by CR Hamilton

## **A Debate On Black Feminism**

Among all the "isms" of American society, the ism of feminist is being introduced to the Black community as one that should be considered and possibly embraced as everyday Black thought. Unsure of the greater public opinion on the issue, Aframerica will explore two theories, one from an advocate of Black Feminist thought and one who questions the need for the concept entirely.

### **Feminist Contributions to Black Family Life (pro-feminism)**

By Carolyn M. West

### **Article Begins**

Some African Americans express suspicion and contempt for feminist beliefs. Common misconceptions are that feminism primarily addresses the concerns of middle-class white women; that feminists are mostly angry, man-hating lesbians, whose attitudes undermine Black male-female relationships; that feminism isn't relevant to black women because racism is the primary form of oppression they experience; and that black women don't need feminism because they are already "liberated."

### **Afromerica Interpretation (AI)**

Black Women Have No Reason To Be Feminist (con-feminist)

First, feminism in its current meaning is something that women believe in if they feel men are oppressing them. Second, Black men have never had the resources to blatantly oppress Black women, and last, we must understand what brought the feminist mind-set to the forefront from the start.

Originally, feminism was a white woman's grievance. It was they who rebelled against the oppressive rule of the white male. And if anyone had an authentic grievance for female oppression, it should have been Black women not white ones, because Black women were the ones actually reared the children (black and white) and cleaned the toilets. White women raised their voices based mostly on money and power, not equality.

Equality for women gained momentum during the Civil Rights movement when all whites feared they would have to share everything with Blacks. That is actually when white women cried "oppression." The first women's movement for rights came in the late 1800s for the right to vote only because white women wanted to own property and have more power over the laws of the nation. At that time Blacks were not even in the equation - so to speak - when it came to equality.

The author "Ms. West," suggest that among Blacks "common misconceptions are that...black women don't need feminism because they are already 'liberated.'" Who says this? Who believes this? Black women are not liberated in any sense of the word simply because they are Black. They are not free to expand in any area of America life like white males are. In fact, no one - neither Black men, women, nor white women - have that liberation fully.

Thus, there is no real or viable support for suggesting that Blacks have any type of real view of Black feminism simply because it is not even an issue among any secular Black social groups other than the author's own group, which is more than likely the "elitist" group of Blacks.

### **Article Continued**

But the feminist perspective does not ignore race or class oppression. Instead, by examining gender oppression, it illuminates the multiple forms of oppression, including racism, classism, sexism, and discrimination based on sexual orientation that influence the lives of African Americans.

**AI**

Feminism alone can never shine light on or abolish other forms of oppression. First come human bondage, then racial oppression, then class oppression, and then gender oppression. And this is how America was built. Therefore, any milestones in the fight for gender equality could never actually lend anything to the fight for human or racial equality without human or racial equality first being dissolved. In other words, Black-Americans must first deal with and defeat racial oppression before discussing gender oppression.

### **Article Continued**

In fact, however, African Americans have a rich feminist history, and since the appearance of the feminist movement in the nineteenth century numerous African-American scholars and activists, male and female, have articulated a feminist world view that addresses the diversity and complexity of the black experience.

Today, according to the National Survey of Black Americans, substantial proportions of black men and black women hold positive attitudes about gender equality, even as the responses to specific benchmark of attitudes toward feminism vary based on economic class and level of education.

**AI**

What is Black's feminist history? Black men never had the resources to oppress Black women, and from what? The second-rate jobs they got from the white man? Furthermore, how can Blacks have a world view when their world was limited within the wider white world? Pertaining to the National Survey of Black Americas, who are these people but overly educated extensions of the white world view So of course they would slant the stats of gender equality toward an elitist agenda.

### **Article continues**

For example, about 50 percent of men and women agreed that sex discrimination was a problem for both black and white women. Women who had a higher educational level and higher household income, and who were politically active, were more likely to view sex discrimination as a problem-evidence, most likely, that their experiences in the work force raised their awareness of gender inequality.

Among men, those who were married and had higher levels of education were also more likely to agree that gender discrimination was a problem. The more limited economic opportunities for their wives, generally speaking, may have raised these men's awareness of sex discrimination.

**AI**

Anyone who has a higher educational level will get better jobs, mainly in corporate America where white males dominate. If Black men and women of that stature were more likely to view sex discrimination as a problem, it is because they are dealing with the problem face to face everyday, which is white men. And of course in any political arena gender issues will be a factor. If these people had no knowledge of gender inequality before they obtained a higher degree of education and involved themselves in politics, then they were not living in the real world, not in the Black one anyway.

In addition, if the men who were married and had higher levels of education agreed that gender discrimination was a problem, what did they think before then? Could it be possible that they - and all men who currently are not married or have higher levels of education - were focused on the real issue, which is racial discrimination? Does having the knowledge of gender discrimination make racial discrimination null and void, or of somehow now equal importance if any at all?

### **Article Continues**

Although women as a group were significantly more supportive, African Americans as a whole believe that housework and paid employment should be shared equally. Among women, those born after 1947, and employed women were more likely to endorse egalitarian gender role attitudes.

This finding is not surprising. Working outside the home and coming home to a "second shift" of housework and child-care can be challenging. Among men, those with high black group affinity-that is, feelings of closeness to other African Americans-and men who had contact with unemployment agencies were also more likely to endorse egalitarian gender roles. It's possible that group affinity makes these black men more aware that African Americans share a common fate, and that unemployment makes them more aware of their own economic marginalization. Consequently, embracing egalitarian gender roles may reflect their belief that survival of the group requires men and women to work together.

### **AI**

First of all, no one said women had to work outside of the household. That was their choice. Traditional family values, (and biblical ones) encourage women to be chaste, keepers of the home, and that men and fathers provide for their families. Feminism has all but destroyed traditional values thus if women - any women - feel that a "second shift" is overbearing, it is because of their own doing. Not because men have forced this burden on them. It is a catch 22 for men.

As far as "group affinity and these Black men" are concerned, confined to ghettos was and is more than enough to get acquainted with and share a "common fate" with other Blacks. If a study had to be conducted for these findings, the researchers had nothing more to do with their time and have no real view of life whatsoever. When the popular saying "it takes two to make it" took root in the Black vocabulary as a proverbial concept, no one had to question whether or not an egalitarian lifestyle should uphold the Black family, they knew it. But that does not make feminism a contribution of Black advancement.

### **Article Continues**

Taken together, the findings indicate first, that both black men and black women generally recognize sex discrimination exists significantly, believe in the importance of equal gender roles, and endorse political activism to reduce gender discrimination. Secondly, they show that African Americans' support for feminism is influenced by such factors as gender, age, income, education, marital status, experience with racism, and even contact with public service agencies. Thus, a person's demographic background and life experiences can be a catalyst or a barrier to the development of a feminist ideology.



**AI**

In other words only elitist Blacks fit the profile. If Blacks opposite this profile of higher educational, social, and political status were to be surveyed, according to the findings based on those who were, other Blacks would not see a real need for feminism and would less likely support or endorse it through political activism. Not because they do not care about women's roles, but because they are faced with more realistic issues such as racial-economic discrimination.

### **Article Continues**

Are black families truly egalitarian in their daily lives? Certainly, some families equally divide work and family responsibilities. However, in other families there is a contradiction between the expectations of equality and the actual activities performed in the home: the wives work outside the home while maintaining their role as family nurturers and performing household chores.

In contrast, both wives and husbands expected black men to conform to societal expectations of the competent male provider role. However, because economic inequities make this role unattainable for some black men, some wives and husbands act in ways that obscure the reality that the husband is not the primary breadwinner.

**AI**

Unfortunately most Black families are egalitarian in their daily lives. This is not how it was meant to be thus makes this type of lifestyle in essence dysfunctional. Why complain about a situation that you have put your own self into? When did the purpose become to push for egalitarian families? Why is this type of lifestyle desired? The delusion is so deep-seated that people cannot see that they are actually fighting a monster they have created for themselves.

If nature were to take its course, the family would remain traditional. White America has strayed from the traditional family life, and mind you, that it was not due to any efforts of Black people, but by the efforts of white women and hen-pecked white men. The immorality of America has driven this country into a disillusioned tailspin without end. Everything natural has diminished and everything immoral is surfacing, thus people are complaining about problems they have themselves created.

### **Article Continues**

This neo-patriarchy must be addressed with deserves caution: It can create a "second shift" of work for black women who, saddled with the "superwoman image," are expected to effortlessly balance full-time employment with housework and child-care. Feminism may also offer black families guidance on child-raising, helping parents better understand how children develop their perceptions of what is "appropriate" and "valued" gender-related attitudes and behavior.

**AI**

This is how these ideas gain strength. They are taught to children as normal and acceptable and the next generation takes it a step further. Black men do not have a history of gender oppression. If there is documented evidence of this it definitely comes from Black men who have emulated the white man. In

Africa, it was never considered "sexism" for the women to carry out normal motherly duties and for the man to provide for his family, it was simply human nature and tradition.

Only in America has family tradition declined as a result of a movement that questions tradition and history. Only from the mouths of women who had to sit and watch an entire race of people beaten and oppressed by their own husbands and brothers have the concept of gender oppression surfaced as a social issue?

### **Article Continues**

Violence can occur in any family or community, regardless of ethnic background. However, a disproportionate number of African-American families experience domestic abuse. Black feminist researchers have devoted considerable effort to examining how the combination of race, class, and gender inequalities have historically exposed African Americans to many forms of violence—from racially- and sexually-motivated violence of the Slave and Jim Crow eras to contemporary violence in the home (incest, child abuse), in intimate relationships (dating abuse, marital rape), in the workplace (racial and sexual harassment), and community violence. In order to address the unacceptably high rates of black family violence, feminists contend that we must address this web of trauma.

### **AI**

Legal action will never eliminate domestic violence simply because domestic violence is not a legal problem but a domestic problem, therefore it must be met with domestic solutions. How do we address domestic problems using domestic solutions? We search for the principles of domestic life. If roles are switched and values are confused, in addition to outside racial oppression on economic, political, and social fronts, again, how can the feminist concept alone solve the other main problems without confronting those problems first?

### **Article Continues**

Thus, a feminist perspective has much to offer Black America: It can help us to understand diversity among black families, gender and family roles enacted by men and women, childhood gender socialization, and exposure to violence. In order to heal the distension in black male-female relationships and strengthen the foundations of our families, there is an urgent need for a critical discussion of gender and sexism in the black community. By beginning a respectful and loving dialogue, feminism can truly become a Black thing.

### **AI**

Feminism should not become a Black thing by any means. We must first heal ourselves as a people, as one. We must first build a foundation and a structure and this can only happen with the family first. If the family is based on what America has to offer now, what can we expect as an end result but dysfunction. No. We must not look ahead in the sense of a feminist world view, but must look back at the traditional concept of the family, only then can we focus on what is ahead and have promise and substance in our future.

Source article from: Abstracts for The State of Black America 2003, National Urban League

## **The Pros and Cons of a Black President**

After serious consideration to the possibility of a Black president, once the public has ventured beyond the five mental and emotional stages of shock; denial, anger, bargaining, depression, and acceptance, and reality sets in, we will actually know the benefits and possible setbacks to having a Black president.

Though polls misleadingly reveal that America may be ready for a Black president, we all know polls lie; so before Black America get their hopes up too high, the fact still remains that America may never elect a Black president for the simple reason of the history of race privilege. The idea can possibly become a reality, but remember, this is America.

However, for the sake of argument, let us pretend that a Black man (or woman) were to become president of this country, ask yourself this, would it be the result of the true sentiments of the people or would it be part of some grand scheme? Thus we have the pros and cons of a Black president.

### **Pros**

If the people were to elect a Black man for the presidency, it would be for one of two reasons; either America in its maturation of a new and up-coming generation have truly learned to become color blind, or they are so desperate to rid the country of the good ole boy network who have always run the country that they will take anyone besides a white male.

If the former were true, then this transformation was one destined from afar off with the changing of generational positions about the world and life in general. Once the old generation of rulers dies out in any society, there is always a new, more advanced generation of minds ready to take its place. This would mean that racism, as Black Americans and the world knows it, will at some point recede and give way to true racial tolerance and diversity.

Moreover, if the latter is true and America has truly become fed-up with the good ole boy network running the country, then again, this generation is becoming wiser than their ancestors and realize that leadership should represent the people and not the interest of a certain clique, regardless if that leadership is a man (black) or a woman (white). This would also mean that the current state of the country has dropped to its lowest point ever and the people have finally gotten a chance to speak out against age-old cronyism.

In the best case scenario, the concept, reality and then actual reign of a Black president would place America under the ideology of a Black male, which is by God-given is an extremely different one than a white male, and not in any negative way. But a Black man by nature - and if he is in his right mind - tend to look out at the entire social atmosphere of the people and consider their troubles and needs whereas white men look only at his surroundings and how to benefit himself and those around him. This does not mean that all US presidents had their own interest at heart, but when we look at the current state of

America and its foreign and domestic affairs, one must take into consideration that someone, people, and nations had to be shafted to make room for those currently at the top.

Neither is this to suggest that a Black man would bring complete world peace and racial harmony to pass or that he could solve all the world's problems, (which is something whites would hold to the task of a Black president just for the failure factor, even though they know solving the world's problems to be impossible even with one of their own), but a Black man, any Black man - in his right mind - would first dedicate his time to the current domestic problems of the country, making sure everyone is warm, safe, and fed before yielding to the demands of foreign lands.

He would then reach out to the world more as an ally to anyone in need instead of as a threat. A Black man as president of the United States would make sure that America has more friends and is well-liked and respected morally and ethically instead of militarily by making enemies because Black men in this day in age feels a need for friends more than enemies, and the concept of unity more than division. So any Black man - in his right mind - would do the same when he is a leader.

Money would be another factor on the to-do list of a Black leader, in terms of it flowing in and around the domestic community as opposed to the world. Spirituality, peace, and integrity would also play crucial roles in the leadership ideology of a Black man as president. He would definitely stand and protect the country in times of military need because most Black men will defend themselves against any type of attack when provoked to no end, but he would not exert his powers unnecessarily for the sake of show. Defending the country's best interest at home would be top priority for a Black president.

The people would rise higher behind a Black president because the spirit of the underdog would permeate throughout the country giving rise to new and more logical voices that have been drowned out for decades even centuries, not only Black voices, but other minority voices including that of whites who want only the best for the country. But in the mist of that rise of peace would come turmoil just as powerful. Thus we have the cons of a Black president.

## **Cons**

America having a Black man as president means more attacks on Black America from the racist faction of America. Not only would the president's life be at stake 24/7 from the threat of jealous and angry whites, but his image, personality, and dignity would be trashed constantly by mainstream media. And because white America has a tendency to think in terms of generalizations when it comes to Blacks and other minorities, any attack on the president would be an attack on all Blacks, and Civil Rights leaders would be in complete hysteria defending those attacks, which would only bring more animosity on the Black community.

The negative political and Jim Crow cartoons, the reference to stereotypical Black culture by white writers in Hollywood, television, and advertising, the negative portrayal of Black men, women and children in mainstream society would increase dramatically because whites have an evil yet playful hatred in their hearts for Blacks that they cannot control or conceal.

Black America would hear more Don Imus type comments and insults, bear the brunt of public humiliation over and over from the old racist regimens and it would at some point stir anger in all whites against Blacks. Any retaliation against young Black men from police would be fire power for racist

newscasters and political pundits to make accusations that there is no difference between a Black leader and a white one and that maybe the country would be better off under white rule after all. This would be a major talking point in mainstream media.

To make Black people believe that a white man would be a better leader would be top priority. To destroy the self-esteem and confidence of every Black child and student would become an objective in the mainstream and among scholars who publish reports for the public to see. So when the next election roles around, the people could vote a white man back into office and never again want a Black man president because we did such a horrible job and de facto the Black president did nothing for Blacks in general according to their reports. In fact, the media would attempt to make the Black president seem so bad in every area of social life and would probably be successful at it because of the media's power to influence, that by the time the next election roles around, the current Black president would be toast, a scorned and mocked byword, and then a mere memory.

Furthermore, think for a moment. What other reason would a Black man become president of America for? (Ever heard that term, The President of America? Ever wonder why you have never heard that term used in the media? Because there is no President of America, only the President of the United States).

The only other reason a Black man would become president is because the country is in such bad shape right now and has such a negative image around the world, that if the face that represent this country is a Black one, then all the world can look upon Blacks as negative. With the falling American dollar, the threats of war against America, the slumping market, the housing and economy recession, and all the racial tension mounting world-wide, it would be better to blame the Black man instead of a white one. The psychological warfare continues.

Think hard and long about having a Black president people. On the one hand it is a prideful moment but on the other it could mean the future elimination of the Black race altogether. Do we really want to be the cause of the fall of America? Many whites already blame Blacks for the sub-prime mortgage fall; do we want the entire fall of Rome (America) laid on us too? Are we ready to take the blame for all that the white man has destroyed for the sake of having a Black man in office, to make a statement of Martin Luther King's dream? Furthermore, is having a Black man as president the fulfillment of that dream or the beginning of the end?

A Black president would not in any way be the result of the dream of King, because the dream of King was for Blacks to live without fear in a country they helped build, not to have a Black man as president. To tie a Black man as president to the dream of King would be ludicrous as well as foolish. A Black man as president would be the result of a changed society in terms of power, not race. If race were the determining factor, as stated earlier, a Black man will never become president based solely on race. Would it be a result of King's Dream? No. Until Blacks and whites live together in harmony, King's dream will never come to fruition, yet Blacks and whites are not living in harmony and probably never will as long as racist live in America.

A Black president would be the direct result of a change of power. People are tired of power resting in the hands of the few while the populous suffer. The people are tired of having laws passed without their approval, knowledge, or vote. The people are tired of being drained of domestic resources such as jobs, resources, and simple liberties while a few enjoy all that and more while having free reign to the

resources of the world. The people want a leader that has the best interest of the country at heart regardless if they are Black, white, or a woman.

Black leaders today are so distant from the realities of life that they are stuck on stupid. They believe that a Black president means more jobs, opportunities and power for Blacks and possibly even equality, not realizing that a Black president actually means trouble is coming our way. They are so stuck on the fulfillment of the Dream that they have not taken the time to think ahead and weigh the pros and cons of having a Black president.

With one Black man in the Whitehouse yet hundreds of thousands, even millions of Blacks still living as substandard compared to whites and even other racial-ethnic groups in America, how can anyone believe that a Black president makes well for a Black America? Or that Black America has arrived. If one Black so-called Civil Rights leader suggests that Blacks have arrived because of a Black president or the run of a Black candidate, they are truly sadly mistaken and disillusioned by white misinformation and deceit.

Yes, it is good that a Black man can possibly reach this far and make president but it does not, have not, and will not eliminate the racial tension and hatred that stems from the white mind. We will continue to face threats, public humiliation, discrimination, and second-hand treatment as long as whites have the money. When Blacks have the money, we will have the power. One of us can become president but if economic power and social liberty does not reach the hands and lives of all other Blacks. Is that something to be proud of?

Jan 2008 By CR Hamilton

## **Preserving the Black Vote in 2007**

"Massa, are yous going to allow us ta vote in 2007, suh?"

With all due respect to those who fought, were hurt and died in the Civil Rights Movement - for a cause they saw as worthy - to eat and be educated along side whitey, remember, there was a whole nother mass of Blacks who also fought and died for the struggle, but from underneath the umbrella of another Black perspective. They chose other ways in which to demand those rights, instead of fretful pleading.

Like a headline drawn from 1960, the article below is a plea from today's Black leaders, who are a descendant generation of the Civil Rights crowd. In an Aframerica Interpretation of the article, we will provide an in-depth deliberation against their plea.

### **Aframerica Interpreted Analysis**

It seems that the United States Congress has the choice of whether to re-grant Blacks the right to vote in 2007, but there are advocates for Black America who are determined to challenge this decision and

pressure Congress to do the right thing. How in the world will they do this without jeopardizing what little integrity they have left?

**Article says**

Representatives from several national organizations announced the goal of collecting 1 million signatures in a petition drive to encourage Congressional reauthorization of the 1965 Voting Rights Act.

**AIA (Afromerica Interpreted Analysis)**

This seems like a very organized effort to make the case, which could possibly "encourage" Congress to reauthorize the Voting Rights Act (as if there should be a Voting Rights Act in the first place seeing this is the land of the free). So whose going to lead this effort and what in the world makes them think it will work against such a vehement group of white supremacist racist?

**Article says**

At a press conference convened in the nation's capital, the Rev. Jesse Jackson, president of the Rainbow Push Coalition, discussed the petition as one of several strategies to ensure the reauthorization of the Act.

**AIA**

Well don't that beat all, a well-planned strategy to change the world of racism here in America. Is not the Voting Rights Act a thing of the past and should be thrown from the books anyway, seeing "there is no racism in the U.S. anymore because everyone has the right and opportunity to do and get what they want according to political correctness?" By the way, Jesse, according to Democrats, it seems Blacks are not counted anyway during election time so why should we care what happens in the next few years?

**Article says**

'There will be three critical parts of the Voting Rights Act to expire in 2007 unless reauthorized by Congress,' said Jackson. 'We must be ever vigilant to protect our right to vote and not be swayed by media campaigns highlighting events that divert our attention [from] our mission.'

**AIA**

Even if we protect our right to vote, does that mean that Blacks will be counted in elections thereafter? Or is the election fraud complaint campaign just a defeated front to disgrace and disarm the Republican Party. It seems that with or without the right to vote Blacks are not considered important in the election process, so why do we participate?

**Article says**

Attendees at the press conference made a call to defenders of civil and human rights. 'Unless we want to go back to the old days when we were not allowed to vote because of our gender, race, culture and spoken language, this law must stay intact,' said Murphy.

## **AIA**

Do we not continue to complain about such matters even today? So what makes this day any different from the "old days?" The fact is, this is a racist country and there is no and probably never will be racial harmony - as part of the "Dream" of the Civil Rights Movement - in the form of equality. Who are these Black people who are concerned about such a ridiculous threat any way? Are they all over 65 or is there a new generation up and coming that fears and depends on the white man the way Civil Rights leaders do.

### **Article says**

'We hope to activate the people on and off campuses. We want to engage fraternities, sororities, HBCUs, people at the beauty salons and barbershops in this effort,' said Fernandes.

## **AIA**

If there were a chance that Congress disannuls the right for Blacks to vote, what more proof would we need that we live in a racist society? This effort at political advocacy is a waste of time and human energy and a continued compromise of Black dignity. Let them take Black's right to vote away, WE WANT THEM TO!

Source From: <http://www.blackamericatoday.com/article.cfm?ArticleID=763>

June 2005 By CR Hamilton

## **Civil Rights Chickens Come Home to Roost**

Now that the NAACP is being challenged as a socially obsolete movement, far removed from technological and logistical advancements concerning the issues facing Black America, will they reevaluate their mission by transforming their ideology from victim hood to self-empowerment?

According to Bruce Gordon, former president of the NAACP, the organization is behind the times, both technologically and socially as pertaining to advancing the plight of Black America and the issues facing them.

As a polished businessman – former Verizon CEO - Mr. Gordon sought to streamline the movement by bringing it up to date; unfortunately, the other 65-member board cannot see the future of Black America from behind Bootsy Collins or Foster Grant sunglasses. Indirectly, Gordon suggested that the organization is not prepared to handle what Black America needs.

For years, the Aframerica movement has tried to awaken Blacks to the need for a new movement not based on Civil Rights ideology, but on a more progressive form of Black Nationalism. We have desperately fought to educate the people to think outside the Civil Rights box; away from the concept that Blacks need government to do for us what we can do for ourselves.



We do not have to force the political hand of politicians and laws that gives us God-given rights; we must simply take advantage of the opportunities this society presents. According to Gordon, the organization has numerous posts throughout the nation yet is not utilizing them efficiently enough.

Many argue the point believing the NAACP should not change its colors to fit the times but remain an organization that fights for the Civil Rights of all. However, the mentality of people have a lot to do with what they need, and those who believe they can handle racism in America more effectively themselves, would probably feel they do not need organizations such as the NAACP to fight for them.

“If racism were not here, then yeah, I’d say turn it into more of a social service, but the fact is that racism is still here, and we need to fight it...and changing the organization to become some type of economic organization is not going to help that,” said Ronald Walters, a political science professor at the University of Maryland.

Economic strength is what Black America needs right now, not protection against racism. Once Blacks get over the 60s victim mindset and focus on self-sustaining economic empowerment in Black communities, then we could use that power to fight racism instead of depending on the government, Aframerica suggest.

Black so-called leaders such as Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson are no longer needed if Blacks themselves know how to handle racism. What is needed is an organization that will unify Blacks economically, politically, and socially who have the goal of strengthening Blacks and not subjecting them to political rhetoric such as the mocking promises of presidential candidates in Black churches every four years.

Furthermore, 50 years after the dismantling of legal segregation, more Blacks and others are beginning to realize that integration did more harm to the Black community than good by stifling the potential advancement of Blacks when more Black families moved from their communities to white communities.

Because the Civil Rights movement and organizations such as the NAACP fought hard for the right to vote and live and go to school with whites, it does not mean that those are the answers for today. Though the social atmosphere is not much different – we still fight racism – the tools to win can and should be upgraded.

Mar 2007 By CR Hamilton

## **Blacks Lack Criminal Underground**

For hundreds of years the fight for human and civil rights have been based on economic, social, and Constitutional freedom from oppression. Blacks have complained rightly about inequality in all the above areas specifically for the betterment of our situation here in America. But not once have we realized that without an organized underground crime ring such as the Mafia, Free Masonry, a long history of

organized political corruption, and a secret Intelligence agency to sabotage the advancements of other races, we are fighting a losing battle.

How can we honestly expect to come by any generous proportion of the American dream if the American dream was not honestly acquired by those before us and among us? We've missed the point. What we lack is criminal underground operations, people. Admittedly, once led by a freedom starved Black woman by the name of Harriet Tubman who single handedly devised one of the most brilliant criminal underground operations in American history, we managed to outfox the fox by utilizing the underground railroad for years. What happened to that system?

If we continue to live in this society abiding strictly by the laws and Constitutional Amendments and practicing honest election tactics and submitting to the United States rule of law, we'll never have anything. We need a criminal regime people. We need a Black Mafia who can take control of labor unions and influence politicians to represent our interest using bribes and corrupt campaign contributions.

We need more corporate CEO's to launder money from huge corporations and swindle stock market brokers and falsify accounting information. To hell with affirmative action, we need interest groups who can distribute multi-millions of dollars to politicians for our causes of poverty and discrimination. Forget welfare, we need Godfathers, Dons, and Grandmasters to support our communities using the drug, gambling, and prostitution money and to make sure we stay protected from the law enforcement by promising money to judges and senators, and by blackmailing, black-balling, and white-washing local authorities.

Why should we continue to organize church functions and sell fish sandwiches and prayer cloths when we could be graduating from the colleges from which we've paid off the Deans of top universities and then move into positions of judges, state representatives, and congress people, so we can slap the wrist of one of our fellow brethren's teens when they are caught by one of our other fellow brethren police officers.

What have we been thinking? When will we stop protesting and marching according to the rule of law and the laws of the freedom of speech when we can be buying out television stations and promoting only our point of view that would influence society to stand behind us and ignore the rights of all other minorities. We need to resort to bribery, corruption, and possibly even assassination of high political leaders who speak up for the people's rights.

We have to organize an intelligence agency that infiltrates white and minority organizations and who can pay off those organization's leaders to sell out. And if they do not sell out we should assassinate them. We should pass laws for the country that appear to be for everyone but are actually for certain races only. We have to pull together a secret agency that devises schemes to ship tons of Viagra and Ritalin into suburban communities and into white schools.

We need elected officials that make sure our Black schools get all the first funds for their budgets from the government and worry later about the minority schools. Then we should make sure to air how poorly these schools are doing not because we withheld funding from them, but because they are just stupid and intellectually inferior.

We should build more prisons by allotting the correctional departments with much more money than they really need just to assure that they have enough to incarcerate those white youths who walk the streets rapping that dag-blasted hip-hop music. This is what we need to do.

This is how America came to be. This is the real American way. America was not built by the hands of honesty and hard work, but with corruption and bribes; thievery and murder, lynching, police brutality, and ghetto confinement. When will we see that if we want to have something in this country and stay ahead of everyone else, we must confine groups of certain races to projects and stereotype them as delinquent throughout the country and world. We need to suppress and undermine, withhold and divide. That's the only way we can make. That's how whitey made it.

Wise up people. We need to organize a criminal regime to rise out of oppression and poverty. We need a bold and ruthless leader who will take us to the top through racial bigotry and prejudice. We need to realize that we are the superior race and that everyone else comes second. So let's get us some Uzi's, some sheets (maybe not sheets but something with a bit more taste), a group of self-centered, money hungry, insensitive Black leaders and pass them off as special so we can get a real piece of the American pie.

2003 by Cartel Q

## **Blacks As Victims Theory**

Conservative firepower take shots at Blacks by suggesting that all Blacks, not most, seem to carry a victim mentality thereby attaching an image of wretchedness to our lifestyles and efforts to succeed. This assumption has tainted the Black image in America and around the world thus bringing disdain against us from people and cultures that have no knowledge of what a Black person thinks. Who started this negative image and why is it prevalent?

It starts with acts of misguided Black leadership and is magnified by conservative loud mouths and the neo-liberals caught up in the illusion of political correctness, that's who. When Black leaders (and no names will be withheld) like Jesse Jackson, Al Sharpton, and other media/COINTELPRO government appointed Black leaders are placed before a camera and given talking points, they call themselves speaking for Black America against social and institutional racism.

Every time an issue arises where Blacks have a run-in with a big business or institution, regardless of how wrong that Black person is treated or of what insulting blows they have taken from the undertone of American racism, we are somehow regarded as playing the role of victim. Well, excuse the hell outta any reasonable mind, but what is a person considered nowadays when they are attacked unfairly by a main power structure without any recourse?

In the case of Katrina, after all was said and done, the media and the conservative voices somehow attached the image of victimology to Blacks, regardless of any truths. When Black men are beaten by

police, when Black youth are profiled on purpose, when corporations hang signs, advertise stereotypes, or segregate demographics to make a dollar: When little Black girls or boys are arrested, hung, and shot by law enforcement, in order to not fulfill the stigma of victimology or be considered whiners, Blacks are suppose to sit back and say nothing? That is asking way too much of a people that daily endures the antics and frat house racist games of a supposedly civilized, race-tolerant people.

To hell with the accusations of the victim mentality. If someone or company says or does something completely ignorant or racist toward a Black family, man, woman, or child, be damn sure that it is going to feel the lash and cry of injustice, and draw a crowd with every right to demand simple respect. Call us what you want and tag us with every negative stereotypical image you like, never should Blacks hold their tongue at the act of racism or bigotry in this country.

Granted, there are false claims and none more prominent than the media-made voices of the Black leaders paid to make those claims, just so whites can have a reason to call Blacks whiners. This game is sick and twisted and if Blacks or whites believe that it will continue to fly in the face of all-knowing Black minds, they need to seriously rethink and rewrite their political correct handbook or shut the hell up.

January 2006 By CR Hamilton

## **Reasons for Not Voting**

When election time rolls around, vote recruiters hit the street corners vying for the publics vote. In suburbs, urban areas, and rural, campaign signs litter yards and off ramps advertising the name of a candidate and passersby subconsciously absorb most of the names. However, having knowledge as to whom these candidates are, what they stand for or even what they look like escapes the mind of the average citizen. On November of every other year, the polls open and voting booths fill throughout the day, and out of the 270 million people in America, maybe half register to vote and only a quarter of those registered actually vote. The question is, if only half of the country actually voted this election, what goes on in the minds of those who do not vote? According to political experts the top three reasons people do not vote are 1) the registering process 2) political disconnectedness in the average citizen and 3) the Rational Ignorance Factor, a condition in which people purposely decide not to be educated in political matters so they choose remain ignorant. However, besides the lack of time, interest, and information, people just do not trust politicians.

The registration process may seem menial to many; simply go into the local registration office, usually the driver's license bureau, city hall, or maybe the grocery store, fill out a card and there it is, voter registration. But others feel that that process is an intrusion into their time and it escapes the memory too easily during hectic days, even during lax ones. The registration is somewhat simple and requires a three-month lead-time before the election date, which normally does not make a person's normal, everyday to-do-list. Proper ID and proof of residence (at least 30 days at present resident) are the credentials needed; things people carry around with them everyday. Drivers license, social-security card and a utility bill of

some sort would qualify as proper credentials. These criteria are not difficult to come by, it is the extra task of taking the time to register that people shun.

Some political experts disagree with the "time" factor as a deterrent to low voter turnout and attribute it to other, sociological reasons, such as political disconnectedness. They believe that most people are not as politically concerned as they should be but are more socially concerned: socially concerned as in gathering with friends, family, or coworkers to discuss everyday life matters, problems and situations. People know more about television programs than they do about political affairs. They know more about their neighbor's business than they do the president's business, which they find less interesting than the neighbor's. They daily face work dilemmas and home dilemmas as well as relationship dilemmas and they are use to handling these situations themselves and without help from the president and his staff, therefore, politics does not play an immediate role in their lives but a distant role. Politics is last on their list of things-to-be concerned about. In fact, 1200 people were asked whether they are interested in politics, 40 percent said they were very or fairly interested, 31 percent said they were somewhat interested, and 27 percent said they were not interested in politics at all.

The third factor that contributes to citizen apathy is the Rational Ignorance Factor, the condition in which people choose to remain ignorant of government affairs. While politics may arise in the socially active person's conversation, it is not standard for those who choose to ignore it. The core reason they choose to ignore politics is that they feel their vote will have no effect on the country. Though politically active people stress constantly how untrue that philosophy is, it does not seem to pierce deep enough to the heart of one who is unconcerned about politics. When 1250 people were asked if they agree or disagree that what they say or do has an effect on what the government does, 47 percent agreed that they have no say so over government affairs, 37 percent disagreed and believes that their voice is heard, and 14 percent were neutral.

According to the big picture, it seems logical to one who sees millions of people voting for one man to change their lives for the better, that their vote does not count. Many feel that large interest groups and corporations have cornered the political market and determine who gets into office and what policies are passed, which are normally only in their interest and not the average citizen. Much of what the Rational Ignorance believer believes appears rational in the big picture, but other demographic factors contribute to why people do not vote, such as education and income level, as well as ethnic background and gender. Before we go into demographics, we will search a little deeper into the physiological factors that contribute to citizen apathy then we will be better able to understand other variables such as race, income and education.

Another survey of 1200 people reveals that 66 percent believe the Federal Government has too much power, 29 percent say the government's power is about right and 3 percent say the government does not have enough power. This means that the Rational Ignorance Factor has a higher probability rate of effecting voter turnout among all likely voters. Though people may vote, however, they would probably believe that the government has too much power. More numbers reveal that out of 1200 surveyed and asked whether they trust Congress, 48 percent said somewhat, 44 percent said they have hardly any trust, and only 7 percent said yes, they do trust Congress. Therefore, in addition to believing the Federal Government has too much power, the average citizen does not trust the government.

This analysis leads us to realize that there is more cynicism against the government in the country than there is support for the government, which takes us more in-depth of our study. Regardless of America's present (or so-called) economic prosperity and global superiority, many of her citizens have grievances against government politicians and the way they run the country. However, many other variables contribute to this mentality besides politicians. There are also declines in church membership, social club membership, and community involvement and identity. People are more in tune with themselves than with others and other's activities and problems, and the spirit of individuality plays a large part in this new social isolationism. Technology and entertainment draw far greater crowds than anything does with political, social, or community significance. With all the conveniences of home at the fingertips these days, mobilization and socialization suffers.

Because many different social situations play a role in whether people vote or not, these same situations are a direct reaction to what policies, laws, and regulations are passed by the government. Politicians swear to change unwanted laws and policies to make life easier for the average citizen, but too many times politicians aim to please too many people all at the same time. This is where interest groups play a role. Whatever the issue, be it abortion, education, social security, or racial issues, the most powerful groups with the most money are usually heard more than the average person. Therefore, individuality has its difficulties and benefits, unless collectively, whatever activities benefit the majority benefit the politicians also.

The mainstream mentality among individuals of interest groups undermines the mentality of those who choose not to vote. Whenever the subject of voting comes up and someone admits to not participating in the election process, voters will tell them they should not complain about the country or their own situation if they are not participating. However, the average citizen, whether they vote or not, pays taxes and they have every right to complain as well as the next person. There is a division between those who hold the "do not complain" mentality and the nonvoter and this division is demographics, the rich, the poor, the educated, the uneducated, the black, the white, and the other.

2002 by C.R. Hamilton

## **Media Portrayal of Atlanta March Degrading: Why Do We Need the Right to Vote if We Are American**

There are always two sides to any story, but not where it comes to the media's portrayal of Black America. CNN and other major cable news channels ran the story of the march in Atlanta over and over on national and world television complete with liberal slant: a slant that places Blacks in the infamous position of political sambos, deprived and needy of whitey's attention.

With the camera angled to demonstrate supposedly thousands of Black people walking to freedom, the media has once again kept Blacks in their place. If the world can see us dependent on what the laws of the

country will do in favor of our best interest instead of us as a people being equal to the rest of American citizens, then the world will always see us as second class and that is how we will be treated.

This grand mockery of Blacks was an insult to many although a proud moment for a few, the few who are trapped beneath the spell of the Democratic Party. The Democrats (white and Black ones) were there behind the scenes and in front of the cameras to confirm Black dependency by proclaiming to the world that renewal of the voting rights acts was vital. As if lawmakers have a choice.

Over and over, Aframerica has said that first of all there is no need for the act if America is truly a democracy and country of fairness. If this were the case, why in the world would we need laws to make it so?

If Blacks have to have written permission to vote then we truly are a displaced, second-class people who cannot do for ourselves; and as long as we allow ourselves to believe we need help, we will continue to be and treated as second-class.

John Conyers and John Lewis were front and center in the media defending what they believe is a right of Blacks when it is not a right of Blacks to vote, it is a given. All American citizens should be able to vote so why should it be a right for Blacks? This is outrageously insulting and the Blacks who are supporting and following this facade are doing nothing more than trying to relive and revive the 60s.

Where is the other voice of Black America before the media that asks, "Why do we need the 'Right to Vote' if we are Americans?" Where are the warriors of Black America that will expose this political staging for what it really is, which is a way for the Democrats to regain the Black vote.

Conyers attempted to assure Blacks that this is something we need to do as he and Jesse Jackson both spewed rhetoric such as ""Don't give up, don't give in. Keep the faith, keep your eyes on the prize." And "The right to vote does not endanger, but we must protect it against discrimination," These words are for losers and dependents, not self-sufficient, intelligent beings.

The media has succeeded in disillusioning Blacks by putting them in their place. As long as we march around demanding rights from white America whereas we should already have those rights, we will be treated like and seen as idiots and retards.

To put the icing on the cake, the commentator of the report says that the marchers were told what the states must do in order for the law to be reinstated, which were nothing more than red-tape proceedings that should have happened in a closed door meeting without a march, thus giving the illusion of victory for those who marched. We (Blacks) should not have to do a damn thing about the so-called right to vote. We should already have it!

However, once the law passes and 'Wees' can vote again, the marchers will shout for glory thinking they won a victory and the white media will have succeeded in what they do best, belittling the intelligence and dignity of Blacks by promoting the man-made Black leaders. This is a damn shame.

The trick of the Democrats is further exposed when they attempt to turn the issue toward the Republicans as the ones who are standing in the way of Black progress. The report says, "Organizers said they hope

the "Keep the Vote Alive" march will pressure Congress and President Bush to extend key provisions of the landmark law, which expires in 2007."

While Republicans sit back and laugh at the efforts of the Democrats to gain votes using this weak and demeaning strategy, knowing all the time that the whole issues is nothing more than red-tape, Blacks are being portrayed by the media as fools, and this is defeating because white America have a tendency to see all Blacks the same.

Again, where is the voice of Black America to stand before the media and give the other side of the story? Not all Black people feel this way, at most, the majority of Black America know better or could care less about this political chess game played by the two most racist groups in the world.

This whole façade is an embarrassment and a strike against the dignity of Blacks who have any dignity. Thus further proving the barbarian society in which we live. Race matters and white American media and their Black pawns are the main ones who keep race as a major factor.

Source From: <http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/08/06/voting.rights.ap/index.html>

August 2005 By CR Hamilton

## **Conspiracy Theory, Documented Fact**

Why is it so difficult for anyone to believe that conspiracy is a possibility? How deep in denial and state of ignorant bliss must one be to cast off the fact that someone may hate them so much that they will plan the death and annihilation of them even if it takes years to do so. Furthermore, what better motive would one have to have but the actual historical record of physical mutilation and degradation? Who could prove otherwise that one people would have graduated to a more civilized form of humanitarianism only after 30 years of a 200-year reign of terror in the form of racial oppression?

Only after the release of the Jim Crow stronghold did the thought of a supreme conspiracy theory become a reality. Black movements throughout the country gathered intelligence for themselves to warn the Black-American that there were actual plots and schemes that would either further divide or destroy the Black presence in America to a mere minority without one bit of power in the American social, political, or economical system.

In the mist of the struggle to educate Blacks, there was an equal amount of struggle to discredit the thought of a conspiracy. The more Black leaders attempted to educate Blacks the more white-America and Uncle Tom Black leaders attempted to uneducated Blacks and implant serious doubt in their minds, to the point of public ridicule of any such theory. Well there is documented proof that there was and still is such a conspiracy.



The following memo comes from the National Security Council addressed to The Secretary of State, The Secretary of Defense, and The Director of Central Intelligence (CIA) back in 1978 speaking on the subject of Black Africa and the U.S. Black Movements, such as The Nation of Islam, Black Nationalist groups, and all Militant movements. As you read this please remember that the concept then remains to be the concept to this day.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL MEMORANDUM-46

2004 By AfroStaff

**BEGIN MEMORANDUM**

This Document is Exhibit 10 of U.S. Supreme Court Case No.00-9587

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL MEMORANDUM-46

MARCH 17, 1978

Presidential Review Memorandum NSCM/46

TO: The Secretary of State

The Secretary of Defense

The Director of Central Intelligence

**SUBJECT: Black Africa and the U.S. Black Movement**

The President has directed that a comprehensive review be made of current developments in Black Africa from the point of view of their possible impacts on the black movement in the United States. The review should consider:

1. Long-term tendencies of social and political developments and the degree to which they are consistent with or contradict the U.S. interests.
2. Proposals for durable contacts between radical African leaders and leftist leaders of the U.S. black community.
3. Appropriate steps to be taken inside and outside the country in order to inhibit any pressure by radical African leaders and organizations on the U.S. black community for the latter to exert influence on the policy of the Administration toward Africa.

The President has directed that the NSC Interdepartmental Group for Africa perform this review. The review should be forwarded to the NSC Political Analysis Committee by April 20.

(signed)

Zbigniew Brezinski

cc: The Secretary of the Treasury

The Secretary of Commerce

The Attorney General

The Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

INTERDEPARTMENTAL GROUP

FOR AFRICA

STUDY RESPONSE TO PRESIDENTIAL SECURITY

REVIEW MEMORANDUM NSC-46

BLACK AFRICA AND THE

U.S. BLACK MOVEMENT

Objective of our policy toward Black Africa is to prevent social upheavals which could radically change the political situation throughout the area. The success or failure of our policy in the region depends on the solution international and internal issues whose importance of the United States is on the increase.

Notice: article I excluded

## II. A. U.S. INTERESTS IN BLACK AFRICA

A multiplicity of interests influences the U.S. attitude toward black Africa.

The most important of these interests can be summarized as follows:

### 1. POLITICAL

If black African states assume attitudes hostile to the U.S. national interest, our policy toward the white regimes; which is a key element in our relations with the black states, may be subjected by the latter to great pressure for fundamental change. Thus the West may face a real danger of being deprived of access to the enormous raw material resources of southern Africa which are vital for our defense needs as well

as losing control over the Cape sea routes by which approximately 65% of Middle Eastern oil is supplied to Western Europe.

Moreover, such a development may bring about internal political difficulties by intensifying the activity of the black movement in the United States itself.

It should also be borne in mind that black Africa is an integral part of a continent where tribal and regional discord, economic backwardness, inadequate infrastructures, drought, and famine, are constant features of the scene. In conjunction with the artificial borders imposed by the former colonial powers, guerrilla warfare in Rhodesia and widespread indignation against apartheid in South Africa, the above factors provide the communist states with ample opportunities for furthering their aims. This must necessarily redound to the detriment of U.S. political interests.

## 2. ECONOMIC

Black Africa is increasingly becoming an outlet for U.S. exports and investment. The mineral resources of the area continue to be of great value for the normal functioning of industry in the United States and allied countries. In 1977, U.S. direct investment in black Africa totaled about \$1.8 billion and exports \$2.2 billion. New prospect of substantial profits would continue to develop in the countries concerned.

Notice: article III excluded

## IV. BLACK AFRICA AND THE U.S. BLACK MOVEMENT

Apart from the above-mentioned factors adverse to U.S. strategic interests, the nationalist liberation movement in black Africa can act as a catalyst with far reaching effects on the American black community by stimulating its organizational consolidation and by inducing radical actions. Such a result would be likely as Zaire went the way of Angola and Mozambique.

An occurrence of the events of 1967-68 would do grievous harm to U.S. prestige, especially in view of the concern of the present Administration with human rights issues.

Moreover, the Administration would have to take specific steps to stabilize the situation.

Such steps might be misunderstood both inside and outside the United States.

In order to prevent such a trend and protect U.S. national security interests, it would appear essential to elaborate and carry out effective countermeasures.

### 1. Possibility of Joint Action By U.S. Black and African Nationalist Movement.

In elaborating U.S. policy toward black Africa, due weight must be given to the fact that there are 25 millions American blacks whose roots are

African and who consciously or subconsciously sympathies with African nationalism.

The living conditions of the black population should also be taken into account. Immense advances in the field are accompanied by a long-lasting high rate of unemployment, especially among the youth and by poverty and dissatisfaction with government social welfare standards.

These factors taken together may provide a basis for joint actions of a concrete nature by the African nationalist movement and the U.S. black community.

Basically, actions would take the form of demonstrations and public protests, but the likelihood of violence cannot be excluded. There would also be attempts to coordinate their political activity both locally and in international organizations.

Inside the United States these actions could include protest demonstrations against our policy toward South Africa accompanied by demand for boycotting corporations and banks which maintain links with that country; attempts to establish a permanent black lobby in Congress including activist leftist radical groups and black legislators; the reemergence of Pan-African ideals; resumption of protest marches recalling the days of Martin Luther King; renewal of the extremist idea national idea of establishing an "African Republic" on American soil. Finally, leftist radical elements of the black community could resume extremist actions in the style of the defunct Black Panther Party.

Internationally, damage could be done to the United States by coordinated activity of African states designed to condemn U.S. policy toward South Africa, and initiate discussions on the U.S. racial issue at the United Nations where the African representation constitutes a powerful bloc with about one third of all the votes.

A menace to U.S. economic interests, though not a critical one, could be posed by a boycott by Black African states against American companies which maintain contact with South Africa and Rhodesia. If the idea of economic assistance to black Americans shared by some African regimes could be realized by their placing orders in the United States mainly with companies owned by blacks, they could gain a limited influence on the U.S. black community.

In the above context, we must envisage the possibility, however remote, that black Americans interested in African affairs may refocus their attention on the Arab-Israeli conflict. Taking into account; the African descent of

American blacks it is reasonable to anticipate that their sympathies would lie with the Arabs who are closer to them in spirit and in some case related to them by blood. Black involvement in lobbying to support the Arabs may lead to serious distension between American black and Jews. The likelihood of extremist actions by either side is negligible, but the discord may bring about tension in the internal political climate of the United States.

Notice: number 2 excluded

### 3. Political options

In the context of long-term strategy, the United States cannot afford a radical change in the fundamentals of its African policy, which is designed for maximum protection of national security. In the present case, emphasis is laid on the importance of Black Africa for U.S. political, economic and military interests.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

In weighing the range of U.S. interests in Black Africa, basic recommendations arranged without intent to imply priority are:

1. Specific steps should be taken with the help of appropriate government agencies to inhibit coordinated activity of the Black Movement in the United States.
2. Special clandestine operations should be launched by the CIA to generate mistrust and hostility in American and world opinion against joint activity of the two forces, and to cause division among Black African radical national groups and their leaders.
3. U.S. embassies to Black African countries specially interested in southern Africa must be highly circumspect in view of the activity of certain political circles and influential individuals opposing the objectives and methods of U.S. policy toward South Africa. It must be kept in mind that the failure of U.S. strategy in South Africa would adversely affect American standing throughout the world. In addition, this would mean a significant diminution of U.S. influence in Africa and the emergence of new difficulties in our internal situation due to worsening economic prospects.
4. The FBI should mount surveillance operations against Black African representatives and collect sensitive information on those, especially at the U.N., who oppose U.S. policy toward South Africa. The information should include facts on their links with the leaders of the Black movement in the United States, thus making possible at least partial neutralization of the adverse effects of their activity.

## V. TRENDS IN THE AMERICAN BLACK MOVEMENT

In connection with our African policy, it is highly important to evaluate correctly the present state of the Black movement in the United States and basing ourselves on all available information, to try to devise a course for its future development. Such an approach is strongly suggested by our perception of the fact that American Blacks form a single ethnic group potentially capable of causing extreme instability in our strategy toward South Africa. This may lead to critical differences between the United States and Black Africa in particular. It would also encourage the Soviet Union to step up its interference in the region. Finally, it would pose a serious threat to the delicate structure of race relations within the United States. All the above considerations give rise to concern for the future security of the United States.

Since the mid-1960s, when legislation on the human rights was passed and Martin Luther King murdered, federal and local measures to improve black welfare have been taken, as a result of which the U.S. black movement has undergone considerable changes.

The principle changes are as follows:

\*Social and economic issues have supplanted political aims as the main preoccupations of the movement and actions formerly planned on a nationwide scale are now being organized locally.

\*Fragmentation and a lack of organizational unity within the movement.

\*Sharp social stratification of the Black population and lack of policy options which could reunite them.

\*Want of a national leader of standing comparable to Martin Luther King.

Notice: letter A excluded

## B. THE RANGE OF POLICY OPTIONS

The concern for the future security of the United States makes necessary the range of policy options. Arranged without intent imply priority they are:

(a) to enlarge programs, within the framework of the present budget, for the improvement of the social and economic welfare of American Blacks in order to ensure continuing development of present trends in the Black movement;

(b) to elaborate and bring into effect a special program designed to perpetuate division in the Black movement and neutralize the most active groups of leftist radical organizations representing different social strata of the Black community: to encourage division in Black circles;

(c) to preserve the present climate which inhibits the emergence from within the Black leadership of a person capable of exerting nationwide appeal;

(d) to work out and realize preventive operations in order to impede durable ties between U.S Black organizations and radical groups in African states;

(e) to support actions designed to sharpen social stratification in the Black community which would lead to the widening and perpetuation of the gap between successful educated Blacks and the poor, giving rise to growing antagonism between different Black groups and a weakening of the movement as a whole.

(f) to facilitate the greatest possible expansion of Black business by granting government contracts and loans with favorable terms to Black businessmen;

(g) to take every possible means through the AFL-CIO leaders to counteract the increasing influence of Black labor organizations which function in all major unions and in particular, the National Coalition of Black Trade Union and its leadership including the creation of real preference for adverse and hostile reaction among White trade unionists to demands for improvement of social and economic welfare of the Blacks;

(h) to support the nomination at federal and local levels of loyal Black public figures to elective offices, to government agencies and the Court.

This would promote the achievement of a twofold purpose:

first, it would be easier to control the activity of loyal black representatives within existing institution;

second, the idea of an independent black political party now under discussion within black leadership circles would soon lose all support.

Notice: last parts excluded

Source From : [http://www.directblackaction.com/MEMO\\_46.htm](http://www.directblackaction.com/MEMO_46.htm)

END MEMORANDUM

## **Understanding the Electoral College**

Before misunderstanding and dispute arise about the role of the Electoral College in coming elections, Aframerica is publishing a text book explanation of how the Electoral College works. From this point, there should be no confusion among voters and or debaters, and Black America will have ammunition for the coming deliberations at the office.

This explanation comes from an academic text on the United States Government and defines the Electoral College, how the choice of electors are made, how the Elector's govern themselves, criticisms of the Electoral College, and proposed reforms.

### **Text Begins**

#### **The Electoral College**

Most voters who vote for the president and vice president think they are voting directly for a candidate. In actuality, they are voting for electors who will cast their ballots in the Electoral College. Article II, Section I, of the Constitution outlines in detail the number and choice of electors for president and vice president. The framers of the Constitution wanted to avoid the selection of president and vice president by the excitable masses. Rather, they wanted the choice to be made by a few supposedly dispassionate, reasonable men (but not women).

#### **The Choice of Electors**

Each state's electors are selected during each election year. The selection is governed by state laws and by the applicable party apparatus. After the national party conventions, the electors are pledged to the candidates chosen. The total number of electors today is 538, equal to 100 senators, 435 members of the House, plus 3 electors for the District of Columbia (subsequent to the Twenty-third Amendment, ratified in 1961). Each state's number of electors equals that state's number of senators (two) plus its number of representatives.

## **The Elector's Commitment**

If a plurality of voters in a state chooses one slate of electors, then those electors are pledged to cast their ballots on the first Monday after the second Wednesday in December in the state capital for the presidential and vice presidential candidates for the winning party. The Constitution does not, however, require the electors to cast their ballots for the candidate of their party.

The ballots are counted and certified before a joint session of Congress early in January. The candidates who receive a majority of the electoral votes (270) are certified as president-elect and vice president-elect. According to the Constitution, in cases in which no candidate receives a majority of the electoral votes, the election of the president is decided in the House from among the candidates with the three highest number of votes (decided by a plurality of each state delegation), each senator having one vote. The selection of the vice president is determined by the Senate in a choice between the two highest candidates, each senator having one vote.

It is possible for a candidate to become president without obtaining a majority of the popular vote. There have been numerous minority presidents in our history, including Abraham Lincoln, Woodrow Wilson, Harry S. Truman, John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon (in 1968), and Bill Clinton. Such an event can always occur when there are third-party candidates.

Perhaps more distressing is the possibility of a candidate's being elected when the opposing candidate receives a larger share of popular vote. This occurred on three occasions—in the election of John Quincy Adams in 1824, Rutherford B. Hayes in 1876, and Benjamin Harrison in 1888, all of whom won elections without obtaining a plurality of the popular vote.

## **Criticisms of the Electoral College**

Besides the possibility of a candidate's becoming president even though his or her major opponent obtains more popular votes, there are other complaints about the Electoral College. The idea of the Constitution's framers was to have electors use their own discretion to decide who would make the best president. But electors no longer perform the selecting function envisioned by the founders, because they are committed to the candidate who has a plurality of popular votes in their state in the general election.

One can also argue that the current system, which gives all of the electoral votes to the candidate who has a statewide plurality, is unfair to other candidates and their supporters. The unit system of voting also means that presidential campaigning will be concentrated in those states in which the outcome is likely to be close. All of the other states generally get second-class treatment during the presidential campaign.

It can also be argued that there is something of a less-populous-state bias in the Electoral College, because including Senate seats in the electoral vote total partly offsets the edge of the more populous states in the House. A state such as Alaska (with two senators and one representative) gets an electoral vote for roughly each 183,000 people (based on 1990 census), whereas Iowa gets one vote for each 397,000 people, and New York has a vote for every 545,000 inhabitants.



## **Proposed Reforms**

Many proposals for reform of the electoral college system have been advanced. The most obvious is to get rid of it completely and simply allow candidates to be elected on a popular-vote basis; in other words, have a direct election, by the people, for president and vice president. This was proposed as a constitutional amendment by President Jimmy Carter in 1977, but it failed to achieve the required two-thirds majority in the Senate in a 1979 vote. An earlier effort in 1969 passed the House, but a Senate vote defeated the proposed amendment due to the efforts of senators from less populous states and the South.

A less radical reform is a federal law that would require each elector to vote for the candidate who has a plurality in the state. Another system would eliminate the electors but retain the electoral vote, which would be given on a proportional basis rather than on a unit (winner-take-all) basis. This method was endorsed by President Richard Nixon in 1969.

The major parties are not in favor of eliminating the electoral college, fearing that it would give minor parties a more influential role. Also, less populous states are not in favor of direct election of the president, because they feel they would be overwhelmed by the large urban vote.

Source from: American Government and Politics Today, Schmidt, Shelly & Bardes, 2000

2004 By AfroStaff

## **What the Government Knows About You**

The federal government collects billions of pieces of information on tens of millions of Americans each year. These are stored in files and gigantic computers and often are exchanged among agencies. You probably have at least several federal records (for example, those in the Social Security Administration; the Internal Revenue Service; and, if you are a male, the Selective Service).

The 1966 Freedom of Information Act requires that the federal government release, at your request, any identifiable information it has in the administrative agencies of the executive branch. This information can be about you or about any other subject. Ten categories of material are exempted, however (classified material, confidential material dealing with trade secrets, internal personnel rules, personal medical files, and the like).

To request material, you must write to the Freedom of Information Act officer directly at the agency in question (say, the Department of Education). You must also have a relatively specific idea about the document or information you wish to obtain.

A second law is the Privacy Act of 1974, gives you access specifically to information the government may have collected about you. This is a very important law, because it allows you to review your records on file with federal agencies (for example, with the Federal Bureau of Investigation) and to check those

records for possible inaccuracies. Cases do exist in which two people with similar or the same names have had their records confused. In some cases, innocent persons have had the criminal records of another person erroneously inserted into their files.

If you wish to look at any records or find out if an agency has a record on you, write to the agency head or Privacy Act officer, and address your letter to the specific agency. State that "under the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 522a, I hereby request a copy of (or access to) \_\_\_\_\_." Then describe the record that you wish to investigate.

If you have trouble finding out about your records or wish to locate an attorney in Washington, D.C., to help you with this matter, you can contact the following organization:

Source from: American Government and Politics Today

2004 By AfroStaff

## **Government Intrusion into Family**

Ever wonder what life would be like if the government had no say so in divorce court or parental rights? Ever wonder what entity would recognize your marriage if marriage licenses were not distributed by the states? Well let us look at a more civilized and actually freer society if these things were so.

For census and for medical reasons, the U.S. Government ordered the issuance of marriage licenses for couples after marriage, which is understandable in any heavily, populated country. Keeping tract of who is living with whom and what children belong to who is necessary in large societies. Furthermore, with the outbreak of certain diseases in history, society found it necessary to immunize and protect couples and any children from those diseases.

The federal government gave these powers to the states and the states conveniently enforced them by allowing judges to marry couples right in the courtrooms. However, this is as far as the government and state authorities should have ventured into the lives of married people. Yet, the power of the states has exceeded far beyond any reasonable legal authority and has crept deeper into the lives of couples. Into employment status, living rooms, child rearing practices, and even into bedrooms, the state governments have gone too far.

Take the most popular issue of married life, divorce, and imagine this. Imagine that instead of a couple airing their business before a judge, who has legal authority to determine the rest of the couple's life, the couple goes before a mediator or religious leader to abide by and agree on mandated social rules and procedures. Procedures approved by a "jury of community peers."

For example, instead of the judge presumptuously awarding the wife with alimony and afterward sentencing the husband to court orders, the presiding mediator, following the rules of community

procedure and who would better know the couple's situation, pronounce judgment according to the actual situation.

Many husbands and fathers have been tight-caste into positions of deadbeat and neglectful by the courts based on the old common law of the traditional family. The woman should get the alimony because she is the weaker vessel and dependent on the man as provider. Well no one has to proclaim how times have changed. Women themselves proclaim loudly how times have changed and they should be treated as equal to men and more independent than dependent. Thus, the alimony laws should be changed to meet the times.

Imagine for a moment that the state government turn over the decision of child rearing of wed and unwed couples to the community mediator who decides along with the actual couples themselves, how they will raise their child. No child support orders, alimony payments, income garnishing, or estate claiming by the government. But the decision would be based on what the couples work out for themselves not what the state decides.

There are actual countries that practice divorce and child custody laws this way. Such as Sweden, who has lower divorce rates by far than America, lower juvenile crime, lower child poverty rates, and lower court cost than America does. This is because they allow the couples to work their problems out with a community mediator instead of the state governments. This is what is called "personal responsibility."

Because the state has interfered into the lives of families, many fathers and husbands have suffered at the hands of court orders that seek nothing more than to collect money and not for the actual well being of the child. Many fathers and husbands have been stripped of their rights as parents, and stripped of opportunities to spend quality time with their children based on court orders that allot too small amounts of time for visitation. This destroys parent-child relationships more than anything does.

A couple could actually work out a broken marriage better than the courts if they were given the opportunity to do so. If this is not the case in America, it is because Americans have been trained to depend on the courts to decide their lives for them. No one has a mind of their own anymore that they can use to think for themselves and use in the best interest of the children.

State government laws and practices are biased simply because they are based on laws written when women were dependent and they have not changed these laws to meet the times. Hundreds of thousands of men have wound up in jail or in the poorhouse because they could not meet court orders. It can be safely assumed that many of these men were not naturally negligence fathers and husbands but were frustrated to an extreme.

The news media refuses to report the many cases of child kidnapping by parents because they do not want to confront the real problem. That they are too lazy to modify the laws on the books and are too afraid of the modern day feminist too do so.

If married couples remember that they do have the option of working through their problems themselves, without the help of money-hungry lawyers and biased courts, they could possibly have a better future for themselves and for their children. Leave the government out of your bedroom.

2003 by C.R. Hamilton

## **A New Black Manifesto**

By C. R. Hamilton

In 1969, in the city of Detroit, Michigan, the National Black Economic Development Conference held by many prominent black leaders of the United States was seized by a group of militant brothers who submitted a document demanding reparations to the Afro-American people of the country. The Black Manifesto was directed primarily "to the white Christian churches and the Synagogues in the United States of America and to all other racist institutions".

The sum of \$500,000,000 was requested (which at the time amounted to \$15 "per nigger" for the population of 30,000,000 black Americans) from the above organizations and from the American government. The Manifesto asked that the money be dispersed to the advantage of the development of blacks around the nation, which included educational and training facilities, banking, media, and publishing establishments, and financial institutions.

The premise of the demands of the Black Manifesto was "total control as the only solution to the economic problems of black people". During the revolution of the 60's, after blacks began to break free from the prolonged fetters of suppression and poverty, naturally, anger was felt.

Because of anger - as it would be in any being suppressed to thus kind of treatment - black movements reacted in violence and aggression, charging their oppressors to recompense the black race to the fullest degree. Of course, the American government apathetically winked at the demands by passing laws that attempted to, and succeeded in, appeasing the race.

Now that the country has advanced slightly morally and to more adequate economic conditions to the point of greater recognition and opportunity for all, there is need of an alternative means in establishing a power structure for the black race. Below is a more modernized rendering of demands from the American government not based on any sum of money as reparation - for money can never repair the damage - but rest on moral, humanize terms that every man should receive from his fellow human being.

### **Brothers and Sisters:**

It is apparent to the masses of Afro-Americans in the United States of America that racism and discrimination continues to with-hold mass economic development from the black race and that civil liberty laws created for reasons of opportunity are benevolent yet deterring gestures. We also realize that the economical, political, and cultural degradation of the Afro-American is second hand agenda to the social prosperity of the Anglo-American.

Consequently, there are many blacks who have adjusted to the ways of the American life and culture convinced that there is no longer a black problem in the country except for those who are late adjusting.

It is clear there is a class division among Afro-Americans, some attributing to the conservative traditions, and some leaning more toward the liberal.

It would further equip the Anglo-American's strategy of divide and conquer if one sector of the black community alienated themselves from the other. Segregation of the black race from the black race is not the answer. If, as a people, we begin adapting the mentality used to divide us, the race as a unit will never rise. There must be a common agreement among all members of the black race. To accomplish this, all blacks must realize their own identity.

To war against the mentality of capitalism is not an impossible feat. Nations today have commenced to destroy the imperialist born government. The Israeli Jew has little to do with the position of the black man in this country; they only seek to gain power for their own. The Afro-American's problem involves whites and whites only. They are the nation that initiated the enslavement of Africans in addition to depriving us of opportunity, and the nation that have sought to control the world. For our war is not with Israel but with America.

The idea of Afro-American families migrating back to African for the purpose of improving Africa's economic situation is one of nonsense, we have yet to improving our own here in America. The leaders of Africa are aware of the situation of the colored people in the world: how the imperialist American government exploits them; therefore, they must also agree with other nations - including the blacks of America - that this form of rule must soon end. An attempt by America to defer this arrangement will occur as in the past, but her enemies are great and far reaching and are not as weak as before. It is a chance America will take.

Placing the lives of Afro-Americans in danger by talk of a furiously violent overthrow of this government is suicide. Violence brings only poverty and lost hope. Afro-Americans should never turn to the strategies of the enemy but seek to out-think him. Using whatever means necessary to gain what is rightfully ours lack reason and creativity and is a primitive expression of desperation. The gun barrel brought us to America and forced us to slave, yet it shall be by the point of a pen and the answer to our prayers, by which we shall stand, or leave.

The vision of Afro-America liberating all colored people throughout the world is a possibility but only one that can be accomplished if those same Afro-Americans free themselves from the mental and spiritual bondage of American illusion and imagery. Before the horrid days of slavery, the black man was, content and secure in his own land. They lived by virtue and the standards that make great kings. The lie of the white man that blacks was uncivilized and savages were merely uncivilized and savage words. Fear of the black man was then, as it is now.

Blacks are not the only nation of people that the white man fears, nor who are his enemies, therefore, blacks have nothing to fear where it pertains to the white man's censure of his demands or request. The world and we watched America's senseless murder of the Vietnamese and his destruction of the Japanese with the A-bomb. We constantly observe his bombings of smaller, defenseless countries that pose no more than idol threats, and afterward the way they scurrilously drop care packages from airplanes upon the disabled nation. All, and God, see the arrogance of America in all her glory yet her time is not come. Until it comes, the Afro-American must not, and cannot risk any more of its royally descendant, pseudo-American blood.

The revolution that approaches will not be initiated by the black man but will come naturally, as an act of nature and history, and in the aftermath a nation will rise contrary in economic, political, and cultural policy to that of America. Thus, the objective must be well thought out in the mean time. We must devise or agree on a system now that will appropriate and provide for the future rulers and citizens of that new nation.

If capitalism and imperialism is what we seek to destroy, and all manner of prejudice and discrimination, and all injustice and bigotry which were all what this country was built upon, then to this day it must subside. If indeed this is what fueled America's strive for world dominance then the Afro-American must rid himself of all these immoral attributes and think clearly as to what we truly want.

Resist! The suggested concepts of emulation of the white race. We no longer need to rely on what the white race wants and what the white race thinks and imagines, for we have come to a maturity of our own. We have our own wants and our own needs and desires, why concentrate on how to integrate among people that practice deception, trickery and oppression. We are not a people destined for world dominance and destruction, but for world peace and equality. We must practice the fruits thereof; then, we must understand the plight of all people who have been subjugated beneath the cold arms and thoughts of the white race.

Our fight remains against racism, but heightens against classism and greed of the capitalist mind. Capitalist reasoning lust abundance and the monopolizing of the world's resources regardless of whose land it comes. We must respect a nation's land, resources, culture, and god, unlike the white man that spits, pisses on, and smites the face of any race other than the white.

Yet the theology of the Afro-American as a New World power must respect others and be sure to recompense the world its due. We must see God as he is and not as we define him to be. We must trust in him wholly and not as the nation before us, who mockingly capitalized from His name vainly. Therefore, brothers and sisters, we have a theology, one of faith, love, and righteousness.

Harmony amongst the white, or any race that attempts to reconstruct the capitalist government can never be obtained, therefore, we ask that no black or any colored man or woman seek to build along side as a token of harmony, with one who envisions oppression. Compromising and bargaining the Afro-American self-worth in the likeness of money can never pay retribution for the millions of souls, mothers and fathers; children and grandparents, that were sacrificed upon American soil.

For we, the Afro-American does not ask for blood tokens of murdered souls; nor, do we seek plots of this stolen land. Neither of the above will compensate. What can a people impart, or say, to a nation stripped and robbed of her identity and heritage since the year of 1619? The answer is based on a concept and the concept is recorded in the following Manifesto:

- (1) We call for love, as opposed to \$500,000,000 amounting to \$15 a nigger.
- (2) We call for respect, as opposed to \$200,000,000 for a Southern Land Bank.
- (3) We call for justice, as opposed to four major publishing and printing companies.
- (4) We call for truth, as opposed to four major futuristic television networks.

- (5) We call for honesty, as opposed to a research skills center to psycho-evaluate black people.
- (6) We call for distance, as opposed to a training center for community development.
- (7) We call for liberty, as opposed to welfare programs.
- (8) We call for peace, as opposed to \$20,000,000 to establish a National Black Labor Strike and Defense Fund.
- (9) We call for spirituality, as opposed to \$20,000,000 for the International Black Appeal Fund.
- (10) We call for faith, as opposed to \$130,000,000 for a black university.

**To bring these demands to past we realize that we must have the support of people, therefore:**

- (1) We call upon believers of God, as opposed to racist.
- (2) We call upon the masses, as opposed to the elite.
- (3) We call upon the worker, as opposed to the rich.
- (4) We call upon the humble, as opposed to the arrogant.
- (5) We call upon the meek, as opposed to the proud.
- (6) We call upon the individual, as opposed to the title.
- (7) We call upon the heart, as opposed to the mind.
- (8) We call upon the soul, as opposed to the emotions.
- (9) We call upon the sinner, as opposed to the saved.
- (10) We call upon God, as opposed to any man.

Brothers and Sisters, we were a people born into anguish and distress, yet we are now full of love and aspiration. We no longer have to bow our heads and hush our voices to the man that watches so intently over our labors. We no longer have to hustle, or lie, or cheat to survive this life. We have a refuge above us, one that is always a place of promise. There are no washouts or shiftless or incompetents within, there are only those who see nature work for and not against them. Let us see no blood nor violence or hostile communities nevermore, but the promise is of unity for all that believe.

If death is our destiny then let it be so, for we know that what lies beyond life is even better. Who knows what this world will amount to some day, and who cares if it never establishes peace the way we see peace. If there is one thing our eyes have seen, it is the calling of our names to where we must go, to

where we once were. Our land is one that need not be purchased for it has already been redeemed for us to dwell. We know that our brothers all over the world wish and pray the same prayers that we say, and we know that very soon the answer will be heard loud and clear by us, and by those contrary to the one who answers.

Let not faith in God be destroyed by mere words based on lies, discouraging actions in place of decent behavior. We know that the Christian Anglo-American misused the words of God in his conquest for the world, yet, my brothers and sisters, there is a God that looks beyond the faults to our needs. Our needs, as stated before, are met, brothers and sisters, it is the needs of those that have mocked God in all His glory and excellence that must be addressed, and speedily. Vengeance is mine saith the Lord, wait, I say, on the coming of the Lord. Love is not a thing to be trampled, so know, people, that it is a power more intelligent than force. Reason with him that is foolish in heart and never compromise dignity for lust.

The objective in this Manifesto is to reach out to the white race, and all others who suppose that the deprived and the oppressed are voluntarily lazy and shiftless without realizing that the position to rise from was not one of choice, but of circumstance. Oh, that it is asked for reason from a people that sit high above all reason, or to expect an ear that hears without injustice laid at its root. So humble are the demands above that once read and conceived may fall through the mind yet drop to the heart, convicting that which was long dead. The demands are negotiable, yet should not be ignored. If so, what we plead as a cause that grew from the soil of the earth as natural, will be what judges the opposed on the day he realizes that all we say is true. We live by the words:

There is one God

There are one people

We speak the truth

We speak in Love

2004 By AfroStaff

## **Those who Make the Rules, Win**

The hub of the African-American struggle dwells within and in between the lines of the grayish/white interpretations of the American Constitution. Whether to submit or not to the written law that once withheld nearly all human rights from our ancestors has always haunted the minds of many a black man and woman throughout American history, causing many to resist those laws and for some to accept them. We must admit, many more learned to accept them than resist, but those who did resist are those who have gained the most recognition and respect.



The ones who refused to surrender themselves further to the lash and the ones who decided not to wait on freedom but chose to die on the escape route to freedom are the ones we read about. Those who chose to stand in the face of the organized terrorist who burned our homes and churches and hung our children, soldiers, and prayer warriors, and the ones who decided not to abide by the laws of Crow and submit to the oppression of government charity, are the ones we admire. Of all the blatant acts of injustice blacks have experienced throughout American history, all were laws directly or indirectly enforced from the hazy interpretations of the American Constitution.

Today, we are no longer in bondage to any Constitutional Amendment written to thwart the rights of Black-Americans, nor are we terrorized by any secret, war-faring tactics of racist-extremist - at least that we know of; however, the gist of the struggle today seems to be geared toward being able to brighten up the grayish tint of the Constitution. In other words, when can we write something on the paper of the American Constitution? As long as we are merely made to abide by the laws but not be able to make or change the laws, we lose.

As stated earlier, African-Americans had no choice of whether or not to willingly accept the rules of the American Constitution; we were here when it was written. Other cultures of American society had that choice. Many tell the stories of how their grandparents came over from their home country to settle here in America and begin a new life. That is because they were willing to accept American rule. Just as the Africans of today who come to America, and the Chinese, and all other peoples of different lands. They come to have a better life and they have no problem with the contents of the American Constitution. But African-Americans were never that fortunate, we had to accept it, or not, and that same written law which forbade us to own anything, participate in American lifestyle and politics, did not even consider us to be whole humans beings. How could we have won?

How are we winning now? We are not winning, we are not even playing the game; we are just learning the rules. Some are attempting to play and some continue to resist. Those who resist are those who the ruling authorities feel should be locked behind bars, undermined by the media or hindered to poverty. Even if they are willing to accept American rule, the authorities still believe they should be either of the above. It does not matter if the person is repairable or even if they deserve their recompense, American justice says that they need rehabilitation, counseling, or social rejection. This also, is on the books, indirectly from the American Constitution.

So how do we play? Politics seems to be the only way black leaders believe we can get in the game and play "on a level playing field." But we are not even in the game if we cannot make any rules that would equate the field. Those who make the rules know the game and can change the rules when they want especially if they want to win and do not want certain people to have a chance. This is not our game, the game of America politics, justice, and economic policy; this is the game of the ones who made the game up.

Now this brings us to the question of who are those willing to play and who are those who resist. If politics is the answer to the black man's struggle, and filling the voting booths to support another team player of the rule-makers, then how can we ever expect to get in the game and make the rules if we continue to support the ones who made the rules? This is the difference between those who have accepted the rules and those who have not. Resistance to the ruling authority and their laws and rules is resistance to the specifics of the game, which is participation in the game with hope of ever winning the game.

Remember, we did not make the rules and cannot change the rules. If any of the rules have been changed (and they have) to benefit us, it was not us who changed them but it was the ones who wrote the rules, he has the power to make and to change the rules, and we do not. The only power we have is to resist the rules and bring about a change. This is how the brave black contenders of then caused change to come about, by resisting the rules. It did not take a mass of people pouring into booths and upon the steps of halls to bring about the change, but only one or two people. It did not take protest, petitions and marches; it took courage from the ones who resisted the rules and then the death of those valiant souls.

2002 by CR Hamilton in the Valley of Revolution.

## **Spreading Democracy**

What is the difference between convincing other people to try and accept your method of government and convincing people to try and accept your method of religious worship? The concept behind not imposing religion upon people should also apply to imposing governing on people. Well, the United States has failed to understand that concept.

Spreading Democracy is a popular pretext used by the United States when they want to financially capitalize from or shall we say, "politically" influence or reside in another country. They believe that Democracy is the only way to operate a successful society because they argue it offers people individual civil rights, freedom of choice, and gives the people a chance to place into government office whomever they want theoretically by popular vote. But how credible is this method when taking into consideration the current state of American politics?

In other words, how can the United States convince other countries to practice Democracy when the one used in their own country is not yet perfected? That is like laying claim that your religion is the only truth when there is no single absolute way to prove it. Thus, to use the term "Spreading Democracy" in an attempt to create a model society in other countries is questionable against the backdrop of American society.

A gem of wisdom would suggest that a person must mature in order to give advice to another, yet the United States is still wet behind the ears where it pertains to operating a society. Now, they are wise to make money and to make it work for the good of certain people in that society and for the economic engine of that society, but the actual concept of Democracy as practiced on behalf of individual rights, equality, and fair elections, is definitely open to discussion.

When certain countries break into civil wars or there is an oppressive leader abusing the populous, America suddenly sees an opportunity to "Spread Democracy," yet only when they have a current or future interest pending in that region of the world. And they will only advance their agenda on weaker nations or ones that have no military power equal to their own.

They recently had nerve to suggest Democracy as a form of government and Catholicism as a form of religion to one of the oldest dynasties in the world, China, who operates and have operated on their own without Democracy for thousands and thousands of years. Yet, here comes America with an infant form of social operation called Democracy, which they feel is best for the whole world to model. But let us take a closer look at the ramifications of Democracy in America.

1. There is a caste system where there are rich people and poor people
2. There is a caste racial structure that has yet to bloom into a true Democracy
3. Suspicions run high for politicians and government systems
4. Not even half of the United States citizens vote in any given election
5. Only white males have been Presidents of the country in its whole existence
6. One race dominates the entire culture of the so-called melting pot and there is no sign of change
7. Though America is financially rich through the practice of capitalism, it is morally bankrupt
8. The United States is hated by more countries than they are liked
9. The United States have not risen above using violence as a means to get what they want
10. Only in the past 50 years have they stopped physically oppressing certain people

In number 10 of the above list, if China, Japan, or Russia were seen over world news spraying Black people with water hoses, siccing dogs on them and separating them into certain sections of the country, America would have taken the bullhorn to the world and shouted "Ethnic Cleansing! We need to teach them Democracy!" And they would have sent Air Force bombers like they did in Kosovo.

Though there is no more blatant ethnic cleansing going on in the United States, it is still practiced in more subtle ways, such as in the corporate environment and on a large economic and social scale. So why have not another country invaded America and decided to teach them another form of governing? Because other countries understand that one should mind their own business and take care of their own country first as opposed to forced compliance on another.

The irony of what the United States does is uncanny. They go to Iraq right, topple their leader based on claims of civil oppression and world threat, start a war and a civil war between the cultural factions, make money doing it, call it "Spreading Democracy," and yet maintain one of the largest caste systems in the world on the soil of an indigenous people they literally forced into camps.

To date, Fidel Castro falls sick and they are already making plans for a Democratic Cuba. The appetite of greed is so obvious in this sad claim of "Spreading Democracy," it is laughable.

Below is an example of the arrogance within the attitudes of many Americans who believe that the United States is God's gift to the world:

"Sixty-one years after the end of World War II, Japan is on the verge of becoming a mature, responsible democracy.

Once Japan's troops raped and murdered their way across China, enslaved women as prostitutes for their soldiers, and starved, beat and worked Allied POWs to death. Taken with Germany's blitzkrieg and Holocaust, it stands as history's most naked, unbridled and unprovoked case of aggression.

At war's end the Japanese, finally brought to submission by two atomic bombs, got religion. It was a rebirth, one of the most remarkable transformations of history, as the chastened Japanese and Germans forswore the projection of military might. Their once war-obsessed people became some of the noisiest advocates of peace in the world, presuming even to lecture the United States on the subject, ignoring the glaring irony that the United States had been compelled to level their cities to end their warmongering and then took on the costly burden of defending them from the Soviet Union and the People's Republic of China.

Japan was content to allow the United States to handle its defense for six decades, while Japan prospered and assumed the appearance of a leading nation in the world. Japan was in fact a nation-sized factory and merchandising operation. With the exception of some aid programs, Japan's primary contribution to world stability has been to act as a convenient naval port and airfield for American forces off the coasts of North Korea and China." - Mr. Jules Crittendon, a Boston Herald reporter, currently embedded with the Army's 3rd Infantry Division.

This mindset is embedded within many American's psyche and their leaders' when they deal with other world leaders and their government. Unfortunately, these people have not been in existence long enough to understand the after effects of world conquest and domination, which many ancient civilizations have already learned.

As a result of their ignorance to life and human nature, the United States have become known as the world police and now have to live up to that label. Therefore, they spread themselves too thin around the world policing countries they actually have no business in and many people of those countries become irritated at the presence of US soldiers.

It would be naïve to think that another country is going to militarily destroy America and end its little game of world monopoly, which is too primitive a thought. These countries are wise enough to allow America to destroy itself. And once it and its mother country, Europe, fall to a defenseless state, the resources of the world will be up for grabs to the next most powerful country.

Conspiracy theories of the infamous Illuminati, a secret society controlling world events, gives too much intellectual praise to Europe and its offspring the United States. Talk of controlled wars, economic manipulation of the world economy, controlled weather patterns and covert political operations are attributed to European powers from historical times, however, there is only one actually in control.

One event from the Creator can overshadow and destroy all that the so-called secret societies have put into place, and one event in time by God, will. One day can change the future of the world's direction.

One person can do one thing ordained by God that would send continents and countries to the level of starting over, regardless of any system of government.

The lack of respect for any Divine power higher than man's has cause men to believe they are left to their own devices to control the direction of the world and the people in it, yet men cannot create nor do they understand their limits where it pertains to anything not concrete or that they cannot see. Human nature is not only a science, but also a supernatural phenomenon beyond the scope of man's knowledge and there is no set system of control or government that serves all mankind collectively, however, Europe and the United States cannot seem to comprehend this fact.

Leave people to their own destiny and allow purging of society as natural selection deems fit; let nature take and run it own course. Control of humanity is a responsibility no one person or group of people should ever accept as their own because without a complete understanding of all things, they will neglect many in favor of some, experiment prematurely, and ultimately fail humanity miserably. The United States needs to mind their own business.

August 2006 By CR Hamilton

## **The Triangle Continues: The Rum, Tobacco, and Slaves of the 21st Century**

During the peak of rising Capitalism in the fourteenth century, Europeans took part in the most vicious and sinful world trade system in history. Vicious because human life meant nothing in the mist of the prosperity movement of that time and sinful because the goods they produced and used for this prosperity required a lifestyle of drinking, smoking, and we can concluded, cursing.

The rum they transported from Europe kept them in a drunken state as they ventured to the African coast for slaves, and once they reached the slave plantations of the Caribbeans and the America's, the tobacco crops tended by the slaves filled European smoke pipes adding sophistication to their lifestyle. Though there were other commodities in the trade, these were the most profitable. Agreements between England and the Colonies to transport these goods became what we know of today as American Capitalism. The American political system sprang from the decisions made by the founding fathers, wars were fought, and laws were passed, and among the distension, Democracy reared its head.

Democracy: a concept based on Christianity. "Freedom to the world and a prosperous life be unto you." With the concepts and stipulated definitions of it, Europeans justified themselves as world leaders, civilization developers, and supreme businessmen. They believed that Christ ordained the white race to organize the world and that God's face shined down upon them while they obeyed. Thus, whenever they conquered a new land or encountered a new people, they introduced Christ as their Lord and commissionaire. To this day, peoples of the world relate Christ and the Holy Bible, with the domination of the white man.

The descendants of the slaves that tended tobacco crops, and who suffered in the bowels of slave ships, and who ran desperately through the lands of Africa from the slave hunter, whether they realize it or not, continue to live in a analogous triangle of American Capitalism. Rum, tobacco, and slaves are not the commodity today. There are new commodities now that contribute to the vicious and sinful lifestyle of the white-American. We have to equivocate what rum, tobacco, and slaves mean today. Furthermore, we must interpret the intended mission of Jesus Christ for humankind and not just the white man to dispel in the minds of the world that ugly picture of the White Jesus who dominates the world.

Rum is a strong drink and strong drink makes a rage of a man. There is not much discerning to do to understand that strong drink remains to be a pleasure among the white race. In fact, an overwhelming percentage of white-Americans drink compared to any other form of pleasure in any area of life, however, this fact is not as studied or reported. For example, more people drink alcohol than they do use tobacco. Though there are campaigns to curb both, tobacco seems to be the dominant focus, and alcohol is second in terms of drinking and driving. The death toll for both is high and diseases brought about by both are high also, but tobacco remains to be a trend.

To have the slave mentality in today's society means to discriminate. Blacks are not physically subject to the whip per se, however, they are subject to social, economical, and political brutalities that mentally confine us. Regardless if the law says that there is to be no discrimination against blacks or any other minority group, laws cannot dictate the mindset of a white person who believes differently; and personal beliefs is what we are up against now and have always been up against. These beliefs were etched into the minds of whites then by misinterpreted concepts of the Holy Bible and were passed down into the minds of following generations, who continue to hold to many of these beliefs today. Though people now know that Jesus was not actually a white man and that His mission is not for one race to enslave another, the picture remains etched.

To dispel this myth, by equivocating rum, tobacco, and slaves with drinking, smoking, and discrimination in terms of the white man's lifestyle today, we can see that the triangle continues. The commodities are not rum, tobacco, or slaves; however, the method of control remains that same, which is both Capitalism and Democracy. To better explain this triangle, one must understand what makes white men happy: power, money, and pleasure. So, what is there in today's society that equates these three things and to what end? Capitalism gave them power and prosperity, slaves brought them tobacco and superiority, and rum and domination over the black man (and his woman) gave them pleasure. But, where does Christ fit into this triangle?

Christ was and remains to be, the white man's excuse. He is their justifiable reason to pursue his lustful desires. Did the white man make up this supposed Christ? No, he did not. Christ was here long before the white man; the white man merely uses, and continues to use, Christ and His words (by dangerously misinterpreting them at that) as his tool to world dominance. Do not misunderstand this; he does not use Christ as blatantly as he did then, by claiming that Christ has ordained blacks to be slaves to the whites, but he now uses Christ more to colonize and democratize the world. He does not tell his victims that Christ has ordained them to rule over them, no, he does not possess that kind of audacity any longer; however, he thinks this, and he would that everyone else think this also.

To supplant these thoughts in the minds of his victims, the white man draws pictures that send subliminal messages to their minds. The weak mind will accept this message, connect it to the white man and Christ

as his Lord, thus resisting the words and will of Christ. If the victim has no real knowledge of Christ's words and will, then he will fall as a victim to this indoctrinating delusion. Now, one must know God and know that the creator of humankind does not mean one race to be subject to another. Nor does He desire humankind to engage in lifestyles that are detrimental to his mental and spiritual abilities, such as drunkenness and the use of controlled substances.

God wills that all men live peacefully in this world. No one race dominating the other. There is no inferior race and no superior race. Time and chance happen to all. All people must know that God is not of a specific race and the ruling authorities should make an effort to educate all to this truth. The world is a place for humankind to grow into and learn about, and to use its resources adequately, not selfishly, and controlled by one race in time. If the white man cannot think to abide by the laws of human nature and the supreme laws of God, how can he live any longer in a world where people are not as ignorant as what he may think? To further justify the point of the triangle, consider the ATF Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms agency of the American government: all things that makes the white man supreme, with Christian Capitalism as a base.

The triangle continues.

2003 by AfroStaff

## **Democracy: People With The Power**

1 a: Government by the people; especially: rule of the majority b: a government in which the supreme power is vested in the people and exercised by them directly or indirectly through a system of representation usually involving periodically held free elections.

Definition according to: Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law

Vesting power in the people requires giving authority to the people and rights to the people. America, being a Democratic Republic that promotes the concept of Democracy throughout the world even to the point of overthrowing other governments by means of war in the name of Democracy, cannot technically lay claim to practicing true Democracy, not as long as the majority lack true power.

If the people (the majority) had power, there would be no poor. The people with the power are not a majority but a minority [with wealth] in America so again, technically, this country is not practicing true Democracy, even defined by its own politically correct communications specialist, Webster.

One of their own Western philosophers, Plato, distinguished the system of oligarchy from Democracy like thus: The oligarchical state to a Greek meant a state government by and in the interest of, the well-born among whom the possession of property is heredity, while a democratic state was one governed by and for the "many" who have neither birth nor property (A History of Political Theory, Sabine).

In America, wealth determines power, and since the wealthy are a mere 1 - 5% of the country, they hold the most power, which is completely contrary to the concept of Democracy. The powerless are the majority of the country and though there may reside in the middle class sector on the economic scale, they actually have no say-so in how the country is run, neither do their supposed elected officials.

If the social-political atmosphere of America were truly controlled by the people, there would be more money in the pockets of the average worker thus less need for government intervention in personal affairs and civil rights, less government control of taxes, less military spending, less sexuality and violence across the cultures, and virtually no class or racism determining who's who.

Because the power lies in the hands of a few wealthy, they must protect their interest, which is money. Whatever social phenomena that draws the most money, however, the powers that be allow it. If the people were truly in control, this would not be because average people will agree more on a moral level than on a political one.

Politics and money control America, not Democracy. The powerful seek to enlarge their purses through a quest for more money and power regardless of what people or culture their efforts destroy. As the time draws nigh for the world to come under the rule of One, the masses will only suffer more at the hands of America's Democracy, the wealthy.

2005 By CR Hamilton

## **Why White America Fears Black Liberation Theology**

For 6 years Africa has promoted the theory of Black Nationalism, the original doctrine of the new Black Liberation Theology. Recently, through the media montages of Rev. Jeremiah Wright we have learned that America fears the words he speaks and the concept behind those words, which is a form of Black Liberation Theology. For clarification purposes, and to all those (Blacks and whites) who are ignorant to the meaning of BLT, here is a summary:

Historically put, the BLT originated with the desires of African slaves in the south wanting freedom from slavery and oppression. They believed that they were just as worthy to life and liberty as whites and tried numerous times, although unsuccessfully and in the face of brutality, to gain this freedom to live.

As time passed and the restraints were more legally structured, Black men and women of the BLT mind-set organized abolitionist groups and movements to break free from racial oppression and begin their own social community, ideology, and education for Blacks in America, even to the point of leaving America and returning to Africa, yet again, unsuccessfully because of racial jealousy, hatred and terrorism.

Over time and into the last century, Blacks have fought for political, economic, and educational rights to America's opportunities, with some proven success, yet nowhere near that of white America. And unto this day, Black America continues to face racial inequality, which is rooted in slavery, race discrimination



and oppression. The BLT, suggest that Blacks not depend on white America and its political system to bring liberation and equality, but to depend on itself and to work hard and in unity to bring forth success based on Black effort alone.

Now, BLT is not a separatist theory or a racist one, it is the practice of self-sufficiency on the part of Blacks to develop themselves along side other cultures in America, such as Asians, Jews, Native Americans, and other ethnic groups who bind together to support their communities. The problem, however, is that there remains a faction of Black America - the Civil Rights crowd - that have not yet grasp the BLT practice but continues faith in the American government for its liberation while other ethnic groups have moved on.

White America likes this dependency because it forever pacifies their ego to the superior status they so miss during Jim Crow and slavery. So they ignore the BLT because it would mean Blacks would no longer need their services or promises, but will at some point come to support themselves. So what they do in mainstream media is demonize BLT and focus on Civil Rights doctrine to convince the nation and Black America that the Civil Rights movement is the best thing going for them.

However, BLT is the best for Black America because it requires self-sufficiency and non-dependence on government and it also requires faith in your own people and trust among one another, something that has been tarnished by reason of this very division of Black America.

The Black Liberation Theology is not a threat per se, nor is it a hate doctrine, it is its own tough-love movement that will break the dependency on white politics, funding, and promotion and require Black leaders to think and do for themselves, something they have no knowledge nor training in because they have been educated and indoctrinated in the ways of white political dependency.

Nor does BLT promote hatred toward whites, but is a doctrine based in truth and reality. It does not promote victimization by teaching Blacks to complain about race problems, but encourages them to stand proud of who they are and support one another in all our efforts.

Black Liberation Theology and its doctrine of self-help is a conservative-based agenda that would liberate Blacks from dependency, so the liberal faction of white America reject it and cast it off as reverse racism and have taught Blacks of the same liberal mindset to do the same.

The white conservative faction of America fears BLT because it would exalt more Black men to independence and power and present a direct challenge to white men who insist on maintaining control of American society. Though southern Democrats supported slavery, northern white conservatives discouraged it but supported supremacy. They freed the Black man but kept him subjected to lower-paying jobs of menial position.

So Black Liberation is a threat to white America because it would mean they have lost control over the last stock of Negroes they have, the Civil Rights faction of Black America that are too weak and faithless to do for self and who would rather sit beside whites in equality instead of directly compete with them from across the street.

Mar. 2008 By CR Hamilton

## **True Black Nationalism: An Alternative to the Civil Rights Movement**

The hypothesis white America fears most is the theory of Blacks governing their own nation, especially Blacks from America. There have been - and continue to be - rigorous efforts to thwart the development of an entirely Black-run nation within the U.S. and even Africa - where whites directly or indirectly rule through actions of imperialism.

Back to Africa movements have been attempted from the days of slavery with Paul Cuff (1759-1817), who made the first attempt to establish a black American colony in Africa, transporting several dozen people to Africa, to the early 20th century with W. E. B. DU BOIS who developed a sophisticated rationale for a Pan-African movement that would join blacks in America and Africa; In 1910, The leader Marcus GARVEY, recruited thousands into his Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA) and its goals for a black nation oriented toward Africa but controlled by black Americans.

Later in the 1930s, under the leadership of Elijah MUHAMMAD, the Nation of Islam exchanged the goal of a separate nation outside the United States for one of independence and autonomy within the United States. Each of these movements was resisted fiercely by either white supremacist or the Federal Government, who would that Blacks live dependently and lazily under their rule under a modern-day indentured servitude.

Other movements since the late sixties have tried to advance into the concept of Black Nationalism but with little success. However, the Civil Rights Movement grew large beneath the wings of the US Government simply because it was, and is, a movement whites can manipulate with Black leaders they engineer.

The Black Panther Party, another Black movement that functioned under the concept of Black Nationalism, wanted nothing more than to control and protect their own communities against police brutality and occupation. This, the white establishment could not allow because it meant them relinquishing control of the dependency of Black ghettoism, where Blacks are assured social-economical destitution.

Among the devices used to stave-off the building of a Black nation or enclave, was either terrorism, practiced by white supremacist to burn crosses and kill Black men and rape and degrade Black women without justice, military force, police force backed by laws and the prison system, capitalist land-developers, banking policies, bureaucracies, and to this day, psychological warfare played upon the many Black minds who dream the American dream.

Many, if not all, of these tactics are still used to stop the building of a Black nation. In one form or another, whites make sure that a self-regulated and maintained Black Nation does not come to pass, even if it means killing off or publicly destroying the minds who conceive such plans for their Black people.

Black Nationalism, defined for today, is the idea of separating from the greater white society - where we are not welcomed to an extent - and beginning a economical, social, and political system controlled by Blacks and Blacks only. Theoretically, Black Nationalism is just that, a separate Black Nation, either within, or without America, much like Indian reservations, where the predominant people run the political, cultural, and economic systems.

Physically, there have been attempts and suggestions to return to Africa and or stay here in the US and occupy one of the 50 states. The reality of both may seem far-fetched only because many Blacks think we have to get "permission" from whites and that they would deny us of such efforts. This is why many Blacks feel reparations is necessary because it would give us the financial means to begin anew or to develop within.

Reparations, is a demand of today's Black thinkers and intellectuals who presume that once awarded them, Blacks could rise, but again, reality sets in and comes the question of whether the US Government would award such a demand. Apparently, the US Government has no present or future intentions of doing so simply because the issue of reparations is not top priority on Congress's list of things-to-do.

If Reparations were a major issue with Congress and the US Government, there would be media coverage on every cable news channel in the world and a plan set in motion to compensate. What white America would have Blacks to believe is that we are not ready for reparations even if the agenda did interest Congress, thus giving the illusion that Black leaders do not have their stuff together, in other words, too many question are left unanswered.

Questions such as, in what way will reparations be distributed and to whom, in checks, tax breaks, land, institutions, what? Most whites argue that the quest for reparations is nothing more than an attempt from Blacks to beg for a handout. This is the thinking preferred by whites because they refuse to face the fact that they actually owe Blacks from years of free labor, brutal slavery and modern-day oppression.

Keeping a sane mind by Blacks about the situation is important. We must know that whites do owe us for years of free labor, and they owe us one way or another, how ever they feel is best to repay is up to them. So they leave us with the task of making the suggestions so they can continue to undermine any suggestions we make and attribute them unreasonable.

If they had any decency or sense of humanity, they would know they owe us and make efforts to repay us for the suffering we have endured and we do endure, but that is not their nature. The nature of whites and the US Government is to control all they can and reduce any people to a people who cannot rise against them in any area, economically, socially, or politically.

Thus, the fight for reparations is a mute fight simply because the guilty must first confess to guilt before they repay the victim rightfully and justly. If not, the guilty will always look on the issues with apathy.

Black Americans who seek justice for the crime of slavery and oppression must first realize that there is no empathy for our plight, and we must do what we will do ourselves. We must unite with an agenda that will address all the problems of Black America on every level and for every breed and stock of Black America.

There are many kinds of Blacks in America, and they all have their own interpretation of what reparations should be. Many Blacks do not see the importance of a solely governed Black nation and are just as content living on the white man's porch. But those who do see the need should gather all the opportunities there is that will relieve them from under the foot of white influence and oppression.

Blacks must also know that to begin something of this magnitude must be done tactfully and with a plan, much like the ones began in our history. We must also realize that there will always be efforts by white rule to thwart any efforts at building Black, thus we must prepare for that fight, be it psychologically and physically.

Civil Rights is not Black Nationalism, it is white passivism disguised as recompense to Black Americans. They feel that as long as we fight for those rights and they give us a portion of what we ask for, that we are happy and will continue to follow their lead. Civil Rights and the Black leaders who preach them are Black men and women made by the white establishment to thwart any thing other than that.

As long as Blacks remain Civil Rights, sambo, Uncle Tom people, Black people as a whole will never - by way of help from those Blacks - have the incentive to build. However, Blacks who see through the façade of white oppression can begin by simply knowing that we are not alone, many have tried in our past, and many are still trying today, with even more opposition, and many will continue to try in the future.

Let us continue to build and not stop.

2005 by CR Hamilton

## **Breaking Free: A Plan For Black Empowerment**

If Katrina did not awaken Black America to the weaknesses of Government and the continual dependency on American politics, then the next disaster could be deadly enough to wipe us off the map of the continental United States. If the perks of the Civil Rights Movement was to empower us on the political front, and all we got are mayors scattered throughout the US without a pot or window, meaning powerless, then maybe we should have followed the Black Panther's plan of action, which was self-empowerment and defense.

This should be the focus of Black leaders from this point on. The crisis of Katrina and what pissed Black America off the most - whether they could express themselves correctly or not - was the fact that our people were helpless when left to fend for themselves. There was no Black Power structure to come to our aid, this is a major wake-up call.

There should have been a Black security/rescue squad to descend upon New Orleans like flies coming from all over the United States. From cities like LA, New York, Chicago, Detroit, Atlanta. There should have been brothers and sisters there the next day with artillery, rescue equipment, and food and water

from reserves mounted over the years. Instead what did we have to fall back on...the freakin US political system. To hell with Civil Rights, Black Empowerment and Power is needed NOW!

Where were the Crips and the Bloods. Where were the gangster OG's of the Rap world and their hardcore styles? Where were the hundreds of thousands of Nation of Islam brothers seen in every major urban city when we really needed them. If the US Army or National Guard is not willing to help then we should help our damn selves and they should have no reason to complain or be afraid, especially if we are in the "help ourselves" mode.

Now, there needs to be a solid structure set into place in case something like this was to ever happen again. Now, there must be at least 7-12 Black men and women sitting at a table to take control of Black America. Where are the best and the brightest of Black America to prepare for our collective future, now is the time to bring forth these Black leadership roles:

- Security and Rescue Operations - to be there the next time something like this happens
- Political and International Affairs - to strike alliances with other African countries
- Minister of Health and Well-being - to enlighten our Black women and children on health
- Educational Expert - to regulate the learning process of our children
- Business and Economics - to gather the rich and prosperous of our people and invest in our future
- Community Squads - to protect our young brothers and sisters from dope and trigger happy white-boy cops
- Family Affairs - to break free all brothers and sisters subject to the US courts and train them in family
- Media and Entertainment - to control our national image and regulate our sports contracts
- Spiritual Leader - to put faith, identity and hope back into our Black minds instead of taking our money
- Legal Advisors - one who can keep us out of the white man's prisons and from his debt

There should be leaders taken from the above who can put together a team of Black talent and brains that will see these things through. No more should we depend on this system to direct our paths, for we see what 40 plus years of Civil Rights Legislation has gotten us...humiliation and broken hearts, dreams, and dependency.

Is this a Democrat / Republican thing? Hell no...this is a Black thing. Why should we continue to enslave ourselves and our future and our children's future to political madness and believe in a half-baked Democracy that really (and I mean really) does NOT have their Black constituency at heart, or any constituency for that matter. How can government help us?

We need our own political party. We need to break free from dependency and begin a life for ourselves and tie it to our mother land. There are many African nations willing to help us in America, why do we continue to put our faith and money into the hands of whites? Someone explain the hell out of that to us at Aframerica.

It is time to Empower ourselves!

September 2005 by CR Hamilton

**FROM: Staff and Supporters of Aframerica at Aframerica.com**

**TO: America's Black Leaders and Representatives of the People**

**SUBJECT: THE UN-REPRESENTED BLACK AMERICA**

Contrary to your obviously restricted view and understanding of the state of Black-America, not all Blacks vote Democrat or are liberal or conservative at heart. In fact, according to the 2000 voting results and the overall social and economical make-up and lifestyle of Black-America, more than the majority of Blacks did not vote nor do they comprise the majority of the middle class, therefore, by all common logic Black-America cannot be lumped together under neither party nor the educated elitist.

Because the actual split of Black-America's social and political make-up came after the Civil Rights Movement of the late sixties, and because whites and the media chose the Black leadership to represent what they wanted to believe Black-America should be, this action did not include hundreds of thousands of Blacks who then and still today continue to resist the system of American democracy.

So we ask, who are you to speak for a people you have no understanding of? If you had an understanding you would not be where you are today because you would not be allowed there. See, the Black-America that society cut from the publics eye was a militant Black-America led by courageous Black leaders who refused to be brought by the American media and political demagogues.

If you will not throw off the cloak you wear for white America and represent the real Black-America, then we ask that you step down and never speak again for what you suppose to be "Black-America." If this is a request that you cannot honor, then we ask that you publicly announce through your media comrades to the entire nation that you do not represent all of Black-America and that there is another faction of Blacks that have yet a voice to speak for them.

If you find the latter action beyond what your dignity can handle, then we will send this letter to your media friends and hundreds of other government representatives, local, state, and national, by which they can know for themselves that there is another Black-America that lives within these states gone un-represented. A Black-America that will not vote neither Democrat nor Republican, will not march or protest on Washington because of it's outdated tactics, will not succumb to the political demagogues that continue year after year and decade after decade to undermine and compromise Black efforts.

From the date of this letter you have 3 months to make a national public speech that will distinguish you from the real Black-America by way of announcing that you DO NOT speak for the entire Black population in America and that there is another who would like to make demands of their own, and that

you represent a certain political/elitist faction of Black-America and them only. DO NOT name this "other" Black-America by any means. We are a Black people already named.

Peace, and think unity despite your political background

CR Hamilton

Afromerica

2003 by AfroStaff

## **(POP) Party of the People Needed Now**

In the wake of global civil unrest in reaction to the West' and America's foreign policies; the poor, the oppressed, and the underdeveloped in many parts of the world need a victory against the apathy of the rich and powerful, and an abrupt rise from the grassroots of America's people could set that victory in motion. The Political Party of the People (POP) should arise now. Afromerica nominates the Black mind that shares the vision.

As President Bush's approval ratings continue to drop because of the War in Iraq; as the American people continue to feel second-class to millions of illegal immigrants pouring over the border and obtaining rights not even natural born Americans have: as Black America continues to experience the sting of racial intolerance: As many South American countries, presidents and peoples, protest the United State's so-called Free Trade agenda: as low-income immigrants of Muslim and African descent continue to riot in the streets of Paris, France, let us and the world realize that change is imminent.

Change against the New World Order must take place or the agenda will arise and things will only get worse. Lewis Farrakhan spoke at the Millions More Movement of a suggestion from other speakers that the poor of the people begin their own political party, the POP, Party of the People. This is exactly what is needed to get any respect from the left or the right of the American power structure.

Not to suggest that violent uprisings by rebels and insurgents of other countries against the oppressive government regimes is an idea worth considering in today's current geopolitical environment, seeing America has no problem with siccing "their boys" on a helpless populous. But a hard swing away from the two major political parties to an independent party representative of the people, is the best, and possibly, last chance the powerless of this country may have.

The problem comes with choosing the candidates. The people do not need a presidential candidate that will do nothing more than bring worn policy from either of the two dead parties. The people need an original agenda that the rest of society would vote for while the other two no longer appeals to their political or social needs.

Now is the time to design that agenda, when the America voter is disgusted with both parties and would otherwise vote for anything that addresses their needs or even sounds good to their ears. This should not be difficult for a potential candidate to design seeing how uneasy and untrusting the public is with current domestic and foreign policy.

The candidate in question would have to be completely unbiased to either party, the Democrats and the Republicans, would by all means have to separate themselves from the agendas of both, and would have to see the true needs of the people: employment, civil and social justice, affordable health care, protection against corporate fraud and exploitation, a foreign ambassador that seeks peace with other nations instead of seeking to colonize their lands. They would have to realize the people need decent education and community bonds instead of government interference. Most of all, the people need spiritual direction; one not diluted with traditions of men or the agendas of autocratic doctrines.

This agenda should be put into place before the next presidential election, therefore, finding candidates now is of the essence. Because the people are restless and seeking action instead of talk, this is the action that must be implemented now. Therefore, Aframerica ask that you submit your nomination for the People's Presidential candidate in the contact form below and we will begin sending it out to all Black and national leaders for review and consideration.

November 2005 By CR Hamilton

## **Regulating Racism is not the President's Job**

By C.R. Hamilton

America's racial problem will not change by the words of the President, any president, nor any laws passed by Congress, or any speeches, protests, or marches. In fact, by no political means can America restrain racism. This is because racism is not a political problem; it is a social problem, so who cares what the President has to say (or did not say).

Who cares if the President decides to appoint some assumed racist judge to the bench, or if he cuts taxes for the already wealthy, these have no direct effect on the issue of racism in this country.

Racism is enforced in America by other extremes that nobody seems to have the aptitude to perceive or the dignity and back-bone to confront; institutes of higher learning and their research studies on Blacks and whites, Hollywood and their tight-casting of racial stereotypes, and the ever-present television and radio news media who do nothing more than subtlety instigate racial tension.

These mediums are the ones who implant racism into the subconscious minds of America, which in turn brings forth attitudes, ideas, and actions. Racism is a moral problem not a political, legislative problem. When will people realize that laws cannot cure the ills of racism? No matter who is in office and to what



party they belong, neither Clinton nor Bush could ever do anything about the beliefs of the people; it is the people's natural right that God himself will not allow to be regulated.

So why blame and make decisions and choose sides about who has done what or could be doing anything about racism in America? As stated earlier, this is a problem that touches each and everyone of us daily through mediums we come in contact with daily, and the President and members of Congress are people we rarely or never see.

Open the morning paper and turn to the Op-ed section, flick the channel to one of the cable news shows, read a scholarly magazine or simply watch your favorite television show and you will become conscious of the people who influence race relations tremendously. Acknowledge Hollywood and the University of such-and-such study on race, and the Post or the Press, it is they who are the perpetrators here. It is their cryptic words and hidden images that speak the language of racism. Protest them. March on them. Go to Congress and the President about them.

Academic institutions publish newsletters and journals that are only available to paying subscribers, therefore, the general public cannot read their words and know their secrets. Their secrets are for the elitist of their clique, who sit around lounges and discuss the rich and the poor and which are the cause of poverty and how come poor people cannot get it together.

They discuss the differences between Blacks and whites and then decide to leap from their chairs and run to their labs and conjure up some plan to study these phenomena of racial difference in the area of intelligence, personality, cognitive ability, music choice, health effects, heredity, height, weight, and the secretly perverted question of size and stamina.

It is they who then gather together a group of social misfits to practice their theories on and to come to their hypotheses and then to jot down their observations and then to write long, drawn out theses about some damn difference. While the child of one of their own, who can look at a Black person and a white person and see that there is a difference, and who sits at home alone a statistic of the latch-key study from the University across the courtyard.

These people publish their works and pass it along to the people who can afford their criticisms of the social make up of race. They then send these "reports" to friends who know somebody who know somebody who know somebody who works down on the Hill, and when this...study, makes it to the desk of one of these...people, they look at it and conclude, "There is a difference between Blacks and whites."

And they then realize that the study says that Blacks are "more susceptible to, more likely to, more at risk than, are below, are less than, unequal to, outside of," anything contrary to the supremacy of whites, which always come out on top, unless it is negative.

The study floats around in the mind of these people and they carry it with them allowing it to alter their thought patterns and every time they look at a Black person, they think - "more likely to, more at risk than, are below, are less than, unequal to" - and they assume automatic superiority when they think of the stats of their own. This is institutional racism and if they do not let another Black into their school it is probably better for the Black.

What can the President do about this person who walks around thinking racism, and what can he do about the people who sit and study racism? What can we say to the President that would make him pass a law that would infringe on the God-given right for someone to think what they want, even if they are all lies fed to them by liars.

Honestly, what can we say about an entire corporation that capitalizes off of mental laziness? Hollywood. Fed from the millions of empty minds that sit for hours entrenched in simulated images of stereotypes like middle-class white families, small towns, businesspeople, career women, the glorious lawyer, the Black neighbor, the one Black person and the one Asian person and the one Hispanic person among the small majority of whites in every television commercial.

The Black guy and his white friend, the white guy and his Black friend, the Black female doctor and the all white staff; the Black shows and the babies mamas, and the rap fiends, and the tramp girls, and the teen idol sluts. These images are all stereotypes and these images creep deeply into the minds of millions of people controlling and driving their belief systems, thought patterns, opinions, morals, and even the next words that come out of their mouths.

Talk of television violence and its effect on children and the promiscuous sexual lifestyles of primetime and their effects on young women. Talk about "dare TV" and its effects on adventurous kids; advertise promos about safe sex, not smoking, no to drugs; then advertise the orgasm effect of shampoo, the coolness of the Tekken smack down, the sleekness of the debt-promising Mercedes. Then show the gay sitcom, the fornicating cohabitants of the friends' sitcom, and the all sex, no life in the city and the organized crime ring of lower Manhattan dramas, and you got yourself a teenager with a limited and disturbing (but real) view of society.

Do all these things and complain about them and how this crap is destroying our children but say nothing about the racial stereotypes. The Blacks in prison scenes, the Blacks selling drugs scene, the Blacks in the project scenes, the Blacks in poverty scene, the Blacks as tokens scenes; not to mention the sad attempts at racial equality in television and film.

The President cannot change this and no one should expect him to. Congress has no real control over this and the Constitution does not make them responsible to take control. Again, this, racism is not a political problem but a social problem; therefore, no one can base their political beliefs and agenda on whether the President did or did not say about this issue; it is not his department. Do not march on Washington because of the mental images television and the media has placed into the minds of America.

Do not protest the economic agenda of the Administration because a recent study has determined that more Blacks are below the poverty line than the year before. Do not lobby Congress for new laws and more government support for the poor because the news media ignores the voices of Blacks in poverty and promote the Blacks in the elite who tells America what is good for Blacks, which is what America wants to hear. No, go sit in front of your local news station.

The news stations that reported on the story of a Black man who was caught doing something no one thought a Black man was capable of doing, like sniping people, an age-old agenda of crazed white boys. The news station that profiles a Black person and what they have to say and then come behind him and profile a white expert in the field who undermines what the Black person had to say. Do not protest

Congress about this matter, no. Go down to your local television station and speak to the manager (as if they would listen. Maybe they would like the expert in his field would).

The news station that owns itself and can say whatever they want about whatever they want to the people who will believe what they say and live by what they say. The station that will not give the same kind of media exposure to a Black person to say whatever they wanted about whatever they wanted to whomever they wanted. Do not dismiss the President and chose your political party based on the news station and their impact on racism.

When the news stations want the Black opinion they will go to the Black people they have chosen to speak the words they have taught them to speak. They will go to the Black Negro leaders and the Black leftist extremist who promote the same things as prime time television. They will go to the uniformed Black and the community college Black and the Black who has accomplished what they said Blacks could accomplish if they did it the white way.

They will profile the racially profiled and exposed the scandalously exposed; they will front the fool, flash the fiend, and make light of the evil. They will not give way to the reasonable, the opposing, or the informed. Is this reason to confront the government? Even after the news stations report what the scholar has studied and what Hollywood has exploited, this is no reason to blame the President.

The solution to racism is not in the President's briefcase or in his speech, or in the halls of Congress, the solution is in what we see everyday. The mind is a powerful thing and whatever goes into it dictates what a person will believe, and say. Some of the bad may be thrown out and some of the good kept, but when the bad comes constantly in the form of presumed innocence and empathy, it gets difficult to discern the good from the bad.

After so long a time, the bad seems not so bad and the good seems otherwise. Moral development begins with an individual's surroundings. Like a child that watches television all day, to what do we owe his life; and the student of a persuasive liar, what will be his end, so is the moral development of America. As long as race is a factor, it will always be a factor.

2004 by Afro Staff

## **Voices of Black America: Who Speaks for You**

Taking notice of the stats below, there is no wonder Black-Americans continue to face discrimination, and whites (mainly policy-making and gate-keeping mainstream whites) continue to undermine and disrespect the wants, needs, and ideas of Black-America.

The names below do not represent all Blacks; they represent the more liberal, elitist Blacks and not the masses. When asked, "Who do you think is the leader who best represents the interests of the black community today" this is what 266 polled Black adults had to say:

Jesse Jackson	40%
Colin Powell	20
Coretta Scott King	15
Al Sharpton	6
Louis Farrakhan	6
Charles Rangel	3
Clarence Thomas	1
Other/None (vol.)	5
Not sure	4

Out of the seven names given as Black leaders, four of them are Democrats and make up 64% of the Black voice. The rest equal 27%, which cannot be accurately deemed as voices of the Black masses. Farrakhan is the closest voice to the Black masses the list describes and his voice does not fully count because he speaks from a Black Muslim perspective, which leaves Black Christians and other sectors out; the other two, Thomas and Powell, represent only the elitist of Black conservatives, which is a very small percent of Black-America. Furthermore, because these candidates are political in nature and only half of Black-Americans are registered voters that leaves an entire slice of Black-America underrepresented.

There is a huge difference in interest including political, economical, and educational interest between the masses of Black America and the ones represented. For example, from the Democratic side, the voices of Jackson, King, Sharpton and Rangel protest white political agendas that undercut Black interest and they use old protest tactics, such as marches and boycotts, to achieve these goals. These tactics worked during the Civil Rights movement but are no longer needed or as effective.

White America and the majority of Black-America know that these tactics do not work so they have moved on with the times. And because these leaders practice the same tactics for purposes reflecting their own political interest, the wants, needs, and ideas of the real Black-America are ignored. The masses of Blacks do not share the same interest as these elitist liberal Blacks, if they did they would vote. There would be larger turnouts of Black-Americans at rallies, protests, and marches if this is what the average Black felt would accomplish their agenda, so as a result, protest and voter turnout are low.

Black needs are not taken seriously because people believe that most Blacks do not care, which causes law and policy makers as well as corporate decision makers (as far as hiring practices and wage laws are concerned) to assume Blacks will take whatever is thrown their way. However, Blacks do care, the problem is that the voices speaking for us have the wrong strategy for accomplishing our agenda. They care more about how to fulfill their own agendas and how to draw as much media attention to themselves as the models of Black-America than they do about the real problems of Black-America.

Concerning the other voices of Blacks, Thomas and Powell (the conservative Black-America), and Farrakhan and his crowd, there must be a distinction made between the two ideologies. Not many Blacks vote Republican though this is not to say that most Blacks do not believe Republican ideology, many of them do but do not yet realize it because of the indoctrination of liberal thinking imposed on them from popular mainstream media representation of liberal Black leaders. In other words, if more media attention were given to the Black conservative perspective, more Blacks would be involved as well as heard and more Blacks would realize that Democratic ideology is not Blacks primary nature.

For instance, Blacks believe that Democrats can help them economically and that Democrats care more about blacks as a minority in this country, yet the Republican reputation for racial harmony is tainted by past political decisions and by some racist overtones Republicans have assumed over the last 50 years. Believe it or not, at one time - during the late 1800's - Blacks were overwhelmingly Republican. Democrats were deemed as the Southern racist that pushed for Jim Crow type oppression and Republicans were the bunch that would give migrating Blacks to the north a job if they showed any type of desire to work.

But then came the Wars and Blacks fell to the bottom of the survival chain. Though all America pretty much hit rock bottom during the depression and during times of economic hardship, blacks caught the most hell. Then when Roosevelt - who was a Democrat - came along with the New Deal, curved laissez-faire capitalism and enacted welfare, this action reached out to support all slow-to-rise citizens economically. However, blacks rose a bit slower than the rest of society and the power of welfare latched on to the Black community and Blacks latched on to welfare.

When it came time for Blacks to loose the hand of welfare, there was a struggle. After so long a time, people get used to a certain lifestyle and with social prejudices and discrimination practiced on Blacks, it took them longer to leave the welfare role and blend into the working America. The fact that Republican leaders protested the fight of welfare and would vote to stop the program, Democrats would use fear tactics during political elections to convince Blacks that Republicans did not have their best interest at heart. As a result and over the last 50 years, Blacks have seen Republicans as racist bigots and have pored over to the Democratic side.

The Nation of Islam has done more for the masses of Black-America where it pertains to the real needs of Black-America than Democrats and the government ever has. This is because the problems of Black-America are not only political and economic alone, but are extremely psychological in nature. The Black Muslims reached out to Black families of urban ghettos and public housing and offered them a psychological means of escape, not a political means.

Without the self-esteem and confidence to first rise from the oppressors grip, no nation of people can ever have the motivation, hope, or know-how to compete in America's racial stratosphere. The strategy of the Nation of Islam was to first build the mental condition of the Black community and then venture out into the political realm. On the contrary, the liberal strategy is to build a political foundation to fight but neglect the mental condition of the Black masses. Thus, they loss half of the Black political base because of a lack of knowledge and moral support needed from the Black community. So how does one reach the masses of Black-America?

First, let us define Black-America. Considering the obvious division of Blacks - which we will refer to as the liberal sector and the masses - the nation of Black-America was seriously divided during the Civil Rights movement and the Black Power movement. Martin Luther King represented the liberal movement, and Malcolm X (as the Black Muslim and later as the reformed Malik El-Shabazz) in addition to the Black Panther Party Movement, represented the masses.

The reason the two are separated as such is because as of today, the most popular leaders according to the above poll possess the liberal ideology and the least popular is made up of a small percentage of the conservative ideology, which barely represents the masses of Black-America. However, to show why half of Black-America does not participate in politics or fully support the liberal leaders we must give the other percentage of Blacks an identity, thus they will be the masses, the underrepresented sector.

The reason they are underrepresented is because, firstly, of fear. The conservative, Black Power ideology is feared throughout America by whites and have been abandoned by elitist Blacks because of fear of association to just that ideology, which would damage their political standings. Since they (the liberal Blacks) cannot afford to be associated with the Black Power ideology, they do not represent or speak for that sector of Black-America. Therefore, the Black-America that do not support liberal ideology (half of them) are considered the masses. So what is the Black Power ideology? Resistance, meaning non-conformity; unity, meaning segregation and independence; and development, as in family and community structure, education, and economics is the foundation and strategy of the Black Power ideology.

Today's liberal voices do not uphold these objectives of the Black community. They practice and preach conformity (to the American political system by pushing for more Black voting turnout), integration as in "we want what they got," which does more damage than good in the ways of psychological identity and morality, and they encourage underdevelopment (by lobbying for more government programs in areas of family and community, education, and urban economics, which all require more Black dependency rather than independence).

This agenda is counter-productive for Black interest and especially for demanding respect for the average Black individual. It places Blacks in the stereotypical world of welfare dependency, low educational achievement, and broken families, which all lead to environments of ghettoism, crime, single-parent families, children in poverty and labels on Black children as underachievers.

White-America fear the true Black Power movement because it firstly sought the right of self-defense against police brutality. The Black Panther movement brought the American judicial system to its feet and in fear when the Black Panthers decided to take up arms against police in Black communities. This caused changes in laws about the right to bear arms. In addition, white-America feared the positive movement of the new Black nation Malcolm X was about to lead before his assassination, which movement brought about a sense of pride, dignity, and self-awareness in the minds of millions of Blacks who were not following the Civil Rights movement.

Because the Black Power movement was cause for alarm in the development of Black-America, white-America swiftly put an end to it, first with assassinations of the leaders and then by promoting conformist Blacks (house Negroes) who gladly accepted a media place and presence in America as spokes-people for the new and improved Black-America. With a gradual process of liberal indoctrination and the slow

elimination and undermining of the Black Power ideology, America accomplished the most brilliant scheme of destroying the identity and self-confidence of a race of people in modern history.

As long as the above type of Black leaders represent Black-America, and there are custom-made Blacks ready to support these knock-offs, there will be no real Black-America; it will only be an American-made shell of a people stripped of all dignity and intelligence and slotted on a shelf in the American archives for all future generations to mock at. The Black leaders of today DO NOT speak for the real Black-America; they speak for themselves in the words white-America wants to hear.

Poll source from: NBC News/Wall Street Journal Poll conducted by the polling organizations of Peter Hart (D) and Robert Teeter (R). March 2-5, 2000. N=266 black adults nationwide.

2004 By CR Hamilton

## **Pushing Pro-Choice on Iraqi Women? No Way**

Already, some liberal lobbyist is trying to get a piece of the Iraqi pie. It is not enough that the pro-choice crowd is pushing abortion on America (where over half the country disagrees) but they are now attempting to impose this feminist ideology on Iraq women, whom most will not accept. One of the main reasons half the world dislikes America is because of their state of morality.

Monday, April 7, 2003 11:23 a.m. EDT

Planned Parenthood: Iraqi Women Need Abortion Rights

NewsMax.com religion editor Fr. Michael Reilly comments on the abortion industry's plans for postwar Iraq.

What do Iraqi women need now more than ever? According to Planned Parenthood, if you answered food, water or medicine, you're wrong.

Instead, the pro-abortion advocacy group sees the liberation of Iraq as an opportunity to extend abortion and birth control to yet another civilization.

"If we are fighting for freedom in Iraq, then most surely that freedom should extend to women globally and in the United States," says Planned Parenthood President Gloria Feldt, in a statement posted on the group's Web site. "The most fundamental freedom is the freedom of reproductive self-determination."

But the Bush administration, Feldt complains, is standing in the way. "In mid-February, a leaked State Department memo indicated that the administration intended to extend the global gag rule to cover all health programs, including reproductive health programs for refugees."

Imagine the outrage on the "Arab Street" if the U.S. war of liberation became a genuine liberal culture war, with a victorious America imposing abortion on Muslim women of a conquered land.

How might the Muslim world react, for instance, to the words of abortion crusader Margaret Sanger, who favored the practice of eugenics to limit "inferior" populations?

"We are paying for and even submitting to the dictates of an ever increasing, unceasingly spawning class of human beings who never should have been born at all," Sanger wrote in a screed she titled "Pivot of Civilization."

"The wealth of individuals and of states is being diverted from the development and progress of human expression and civilization."

If the Bush administration decided to take Planned Parenthood's advice, observations like Sanger's would surely fuel Arab speculation that the U.S. is more interested in aborting Iraq than rebuilding it.

## **Black America Need to Rise above Politics**

Scrupulous evaluation of the political environment of Black America reveals little change to the state of Black America. If the National Urban League can print the same statistics on Black America from year to year, and in some cases even worse statistics, how can anyone in their right mind believe that politics has helped Blacks in this country?

If more Black men are entering prison, more Black women and children are in poverty, more Black schools are doing worse, Black families are disappearing, Black health is declining, and unemployment for Blacks remain stagnant, and all these statistics mirror 1970 stats, prove to the nation that the political offices held by Blacks, the Black mayors and council people, are making a difference.

Like a lie that works no more, politics and Black America is simply not mixing. Laws are not there to help Blacks, but most are there to neutralize Black progress, be it in business, personal, housing, zoning, or whatever would bring progress to the Black community, laws are made for the advancement of whites, not Blacks as a whole.

The two-party system in this country ignores Black needs to fulfill their own. Congress is all white, the Senate is all white, the Supreme Court is all white, the states governorship is all white, and the president's administration is all white, and if there is a Black face in the mist of any of the above, it means nothing because the agenda is all white.

Katrina revealed this truth, that America, including its politics, is a system based on white needs, not Black ones. Black so-called leaders who encourage us to vote for either of the political parties are wasting their time and energy trying to change the hearts and minds of a people determined to feed their own before any others. And why should Blacks depend solely on government to do anything for them anyway.



Black America should design and built their own system of politics, justice and economics alongside the white one. Many other cultures in America do it, why not Blacks? Why are Black people so dependent on the American government. Many believe that this government owes Black America something for the crimes of slavery and the disenfranchisement of the Jim Crow era and rightly so, but this government in all its whiteness will never concede or give in to our demands, let alone pay reparations.

Politics is not the answer for Blacks in America, a communal movement is necessary led by Black men and women who can rise above the dead ends of politics. Something new must be implemented if Blacks want to improve their quality of life and for every Black person in this country to have an equal chance at survival.

Here are 10 basic suggestions:

1. Start an independent minority party, including Hispanics, Indians, Asians and other
2. Design and run minority television and news channel and bring racism to the front
3. Control the means of a needed product for income, i.e. power, food, or automobiles
4. Control a needed service and make it affordable, i.e. health/home insurance, private education
5. Develop a minority stock market and involve the working poor
6. Begin a banking and loan system to combat the Federal Reserve
7. Network and do business with foreign countries by import and export
8. Design and implement a system of alternative justice to offer our youth a second chance
9. Design a national program that train the young in the ways of family and social relations
10. Establish military-type training for students fresh out of high school, yet not as an army

These efforts will not be the efforts of many different organizations, but of one national organization. There will be various branches of the organization but only one central base. With the right leadership and vision, this can work because it has worked in times past for other peoples who felt the pressures of government oppression.

This concept may sound far fetched now but in years to come, when there is a white organization that implements this because they feel the oppression of the U.S. government, then Blacks will want to join in, but this will be a mistake because once again they will fall under white rule.

It may sound far-fetched now, but when survival mode is needed in this country, many will see that communal unity and group camaraderie will come in handy. It is best to lay the groundwork for this organization now than to wait and allow the resources to be taken by another group of people who share the same vision.

Black America has to wake up from their slumber and begin to build something contrary to this sad system of American politics. We cannot depend on it any longer to look out for us; we need to look out

for ourselves. Contrary to popular opinion, beginning a system such as this does not mean we are separatist, it means we are fed up with being separated.

July 2007 by CR Hamilton

## **Understanding the Black Democrat**

A most disturbing reality exposed the main beliefs of Black-America in the last presidential election. Out of the entire population of black-America, half of them are registered voters and 90 percent of those voted for the Democratic Party. A negative stigma called "racist-bigotry" has attached itself to the conservative label in the eyes of blacks, and conservative views have become a transgression among them. Yet, if we resort back to the traditional meaning of conservatism in its ethical sense it means "old fashioned ways" in respects to family lifestyle, self-respect and respect for others, and an honest and decent days work.

Only one explanation can account for this overwhelming lopsidedness in black-American ideology, which is the perplexity in defining minority rights. The sixties were the decade for minorities, i.e. black-Americans and women, and they were causes worth fighting for against the domain of the oppressive white-male. However, the eighties and nineties have brought about groups of minorities who also want rights and blacks and democrats - in order to show their fairness - must fight for them. These new groups (and its unclear whether they are actually minorities in the sense of race, gender, creed, religion, or national origin) such as homosexuals, pro-abortionist, pro-sexual promotion, pro-animal (dog, cat, mouse et.) as well as the anti-crowd such as anti-religion in schools, anti-two parent family - pro-single mother, anti-parent responsibility, pro child-rights, et. are all proclaiming a doctrine contrary to decent traditional values.

Though the above issues of democratic society are against everything a decent, God-fearing human being would support, the supporters of the former issues also fight for equality, an issue that deserves a fight in a capitalist society. Equality in matters of economic stratification, educational and equal housing opportunities no doubt deserve response in the most prosperous country in the world, (in deed the war against the oppressive white male persist); however, when did social equality take precedence over morality in the minds of and on the political agenda of black-America? The overwhelming support of democratic ideology among black-Americans is frightening.

To this day, the black family is in shambles because of a so-called shortage of black men and the rise of black working mothers. The birth rate of black teenage girls has doubled since the seventies, and the young black male is incarcerated twice as much as their white counterparts. Though college enrollment is up among blacks, and a slight rise in black families over the poverty line since the seventies is factual, everything negative increases with only a small increase of the positive. These issues do not need political policies or government sponsored mandates, these issues need addressing through morality. Family structure, community bonding, and strong spiritual leadership through community churches are a beginning. Take notice that the moral issues are traditional ones, ones that give structure and direction.

Living moral-free and allowing rights to every so-called minority that claims human legitimacy encourages an ungodly society.

To condemn conservatism means to condemn traditional values, without the added oppressive mindset of the white-male. In other words, thinking conservative and voting conservative does not mean that one supports racism, though that is what most of black-America believes. In contrast, thinking democratic and voting democratic does not necessarily mean that one is anti-family or anti-Christ, though this is what most republicans believe. Yet, liberalism in the form of democratic ideology is surely beginning to support lifestyles that many deceased black ancestors and leaders would denounce. Confusion of this sort, especially in the mind-set of a people so large in voice, needs a logical approach. One or another fear must subside in black-American belief, either trust the world political system and forever battle racism, or trust God and stand in the liberty of His Word by courageously reproofing immorality.

2002 by Cartel Q

## **The Congressional Roots of American Inequality**

The blatant hypocrisy, indifference, and arrogance possessed by the white folks in the United States Congress are unbelievable. Below is a list of privileges afforded to the members of Congress - already wealthy individuals - while average working people pay for each of these privileges and services out of daily hard earned dollars.

### **Special Benefits**

Members of Congress benefit in many ways from belonging to a select group. They have access to private Capitol Hill gymnasium facilities; get low-cost haircuts; receive free, close-in parking at the National and Dulles airports near Washington; and get six free parking spaces per member in Capitol Hill garages-plus one free outdoor Capitol parking slot.

They also avoid parking tickets because of their congressional license plates and, until 1994, were not required to comply with most labor laws in dealing with their staffs. They eat in a subsidized dining room and take advantage of free plants from the Botanical Gardens for their offices, free medical care, an inexpensive but generous pension plan, liberal travel allowances, and special tax considerations.

Each of the above services cost the average person an extensive amount. Ironically, they suppose that the economy is doing well so they cut public funding for social programs that assist the under-privileged, and impose taxes, fees, and criminal threats to anyone who does not pay them. Yet while real people live and work each day, "In the nearly 10 years since the minimum was raised, Congress has voted itself nine pay hikes totaling nearly \$35,000 a year, while a full-time minimum wage worker's annual pay has not budged from \$10,712."

Furthermore, while average citizens are suspect by local, state and federal policing agencies, these spoiled, white people enjoy amnesty from prosecution in many areas. Ain't this a bitch? Is this not inequality, hypocrisy, and white privilege or what? One can bet that some of these privileges do not necessarily extend only to members of Congress, but to other political figures such as governors, mayors (Black and white), as well as judges, federal agency workers, and anyone living among the governing forces of America.

### **Privileges and Immunities under the Law**

Members of Congress also benefit from a number of special constitutional protections. Under Article I, Section 6, of the Constitution, they "shall in all Cases, except Treason, Felony and Breach of the Peace, be privileged from Arrest during their Attendance at the Session of their respective Houses, and in going I and returning from the same; and for any Speech or Debate in either House, the -shall not be questioned in any other Place." The arrest immunity clause is really an important provision today.

Why do the America people accept such inequality and indifference? Because we have been indoctrinated to believe that people who do special jobs such as this should have special rights and privileges, but if they make it harder for the rest of us to live, how can anyone justify the supposition they have earned those privileges?

**STOP BLATANT INEQUALITY - DEMAND RESPECT!!!**

Sources from: <http://blog.aflcio.org/?p=871>

Aug 2007 by CR Hamilton

## **Rush Limbaugh's Influence on American Racism**

For those of you who are uninformed or who could even care less, this commentary is to enlighten you as to one of the many reasons why in the new millennium Blacks and other minority groups continue to face prejudice and discrimination in today's society.

The inconspicuous influence of the conservative talk show host, Rush Limbaugh, and all the "ditto heads" that believe in and practice his ideology contributes largely to the subtle bigotry in the country. The reason his influence is so pervasive is because it is wide-spread, spilling from the top of political and cultural influence and trickling down to suburban families in upper class areas, who are people that make up most of corporate management, a large amount of local and state government, and vote overwhelmingly Republican.

Limbaugh, however, is not necessarily a racist, but in politically correct terms, a gatekeeper of pluralists' imperialism in the first degree. His method is to appeal to the good ole' boy patriot, who practices elitist

Protestantism and with a strong dosage of the capitalist spirit, the main ingredients in the ideologies of the founding fathers and the blatant racist in early America.

What appealing to this mind-set does is maintain a sense of sacred superiority amongst white males of prominent stature. The untouchable politicians and lawmakers that are supposed to represent the masses of the people, the ones who are difficult to reach and impossible to get an appointment with, and are the ones who decide the direction of America despite the demands and wishes of the people.

He promotes rugged individualism, which over time requires an unwavering amount of selfishness in any person. He uses subtle innuendos of racism in his dialogue speaking in an elitist-coded language that he believes only a select few are supposed to understand. He insinuates continuously, undermining the capabilities and reputation of the Black race. He never has anything positive to say about Black people or any Black leaders, politicians, sports figures or any Black figure that makes the news.

He condemned the judgment of Colin Powell repeatedly during Powell's reign with President Bush, implying animosity between Powell and Bush each time. This embeds negative images into the minds of his listeners who apparently have not the ability to separate one Black person from another, and he uses this method purposely and in every area of Black efforts.

When he speaks of Blacks, he speaks in generalities all the time. What this does is categorizes the Black race as incompetent and incapable of simple duties and responsibilities of citizenship and every other negative stereotype he can conjure up that day. In turn, the many thousands of his listeners come away with a general attitude about Blacks all together.

As stated earlier, many of his listeners are entrepreneur type, small business owners and corporate fat cats who have the ability to hire and fire from high government positions down to local community businesses. He is not a blatant racist as the liberal media would have people to think, but he is a subtle bigot with widespread influence over many white minds.

This man has millions of dollars and access to many influential people who make decisions for the rest of America. His followership consists of mostly whites and some Uncle Tom Blacks. Capmag.com is comprised of Limbaugh flunkies and one that regurgitates the ideologies of Rush Limbaugh and the ideologies minorities fight against to this day and in the coming future.

Clear Channel Communications carries his radio broadcast over 1500 + radio stations in the United States and has done nothing to stop this man of inciting race hatred and instilling animosity against Blacks, Hispanics, Asians and other minorities in this country. Someone needs to confront Clear Channel execs to stop promoting race-hatred propaganda. But no politician seems to have the guts to challenge them, simply because they are subtle racist themselves.

The children of Limbaugh's listeners absorb subtle racist concepts from their parents and attend all white suburban schools with children of similar character. These enclosed groups of untouchables are many in number and are growing stronger because of Limbaugh's teachings and influence on the political and racial culture in America.

What Black America must do is know this fact and learn to recognize this mentality when confronted with it. Though one will not confront an individual with this mind-set any one day, you live and breath it

daily simply visiting every day places. It is not outwardly apparent, but the disease thrives on the inward parts of many white Americans. Know that the attempt to keep Blacks subservient is still procedure to Euro-elitist, only in a more devious form.

2004 by CR Hamilton

## **Divide and Conquer: the Denouncing of Michelle is Next**

The American racist power structures have again succeeded in another psychological game of divide the Black man and conquer his achievements. Looking beyond the surface of this political deviation from true Democracy, the larger picture appears. When Barack Obama began to win the white vote and turn topsy-turvy the predictions of Clinton's easy victory, racism and age-old resentment took hold of the American whites in power.

Jeremiah Wright did not hit the public media until after Obama gained overwhelming support from Black and white voters, and when he closed in on Clinton in Texas, this struck a fear in the cold hearts of racist that they could not shake; then surfaced the Wright videos and the continued association between Obama and the rhetoric of Wright.

The media knew that if they could connect the sermons of Wright to the credibility of Obama, they could sway the "gun-totting" Christians of working class white America, and even some gullible ones of the educated sort. They knew that if they loaded the guns of primetime media bigots with enough racial and hateful artillery, they could launch a campaign throughout America against Obama that would soon cast doubt and bring him down.

Fox news and conservative talk show host took aim and fired at the relationship of Wright and Obama implying that Obama must be a racist or at least some kind of Black radical if he stayed at Wright's church for 20 years in agreement with what Wright taught as gospel. Rationalism escapes these media-educated Ivy-league white boys and gals when it comes to judging people and their character and denial becomes a major factor regardless of what truths and facts surface.

Obama's speech of racial harmony, unity, togetherness and building a stronger country meant nothing to them but instead infuriated them because it meant he would win more of the people who desired race harmony, and they just could not have that so they stepped up the attack by suggesting Obama could be anti-American, weighing him against Sadam Hussein, Osama Bin Laden and connecting him with terrorist organizations such as Hamas and other Islamic radical groups.

Jealousy tore at their core and they strategized to recycle Wright's words, pounding them into the ears of voters even to the point of ignoring their own political process and the issues that should have been discussed, revealing that they have no respect for their own system when it comes to maintaining a supremacist rule.

When Wright came out and spoke in public, he spoke truth. Nothing he said can be refuted as illegitimate and this really stirred the flames of hatred against not only Wright, but against Black America even more. This was a call to step up the pressure against Obama. Not even days later, Obama was broken and reduced to groveling at the feet of these egomaniacs by denouncing Wright and indirectly, the Black side of himself. Yes, these racist would not rest until Obama denounce Wright, his own Blackness (what they fear most) and his mother if she were to ever have had a negative thought against America. Thus, it will not be long before these vampires force Obama to denounce and leave his wife.

Once they have enough fire power against Michelle, they will slowly and slyly question her motives and make Obama and the rest of America believe that she is a threat to national security and if Obama does not leave her, they will suggest that he will drive America into a ghetto-type, baby-mama driven Black world if he becomes president. They are vicious, hateful and only happy and smiling when they have brought the nearest Black man, who would dare have more sense than either one of them, to their ashy knees.

Amazingly, the Uncle Toms that work for racist America are a shame to all the self-liberation our ancestors have fought for. There are 3 mainstream Uncle Tom whores that the media refer to for opinion before they say anything negative about Blacks: Juan Williams, Larry Elder, and Jesse Lee Peterson. These are not real Black men so can never be considered as being a serious representation of Black America. White media wish that all Blacks were this easy to control and are this agreeable so they convince themselves that these men are the intellectual best of Black America and they refer to them not as intellectual people with valued opinions, but as scapegoats to solidify their own sick and twisted views and racial superiority.

Honestly, it would be better for Obama to concede from this sick game of America politics before he single handedly becomes the cause of the race war that is coming to a clean boil and soon to explode. Even if he becomes president, by the time his first year is done, he will be nothing more than a battered Black slave recovering from the beatings of modern-day slave catchers. Michelle, beware; because they are coming for you, your man, and your children next. Hopefully, the office of the United States presidency does not mean more to Obama than his wife and children.

Apr. 2008 by CR Hamilton

## **Who Gives Us Rights, God or the US Government?**

Let them have Affirmative Action and Relinquish our so-called Right to vote

By CR Hamilton

All over the Internet Black web sites and message boards are filled with fears that the government is going to do away with Affirmative Action and possibly the Black right to vote in 2007. Black leaders are

pressing constituents and rallying supporters to stand against these actions and to fight, fight, fight for Affirmative Action and to renew the law that gives us the right to vote. And what if the government does banish these laws and any other so-called rights, what would this society be like afterward? Should we even care?

Hell no, we should not care. Why do we need these programs anyway? Why do we need laws that give us (Blacks) rights? Who is white America to dictate what rights and privileges Black America should have anyway? Let them keep their race-related laws and programs that do nothing more than undermine Black intelligence and capabilities. Let them do away with whatever rights they believe Blacks should and should not have so they can fulfill the role they are so well meant for, which is racist.

If the government was to expel Affirmative Action and discontinue the Black voting right so what? Are we expecting society to return to the 1950's where we are barred from the voting booths and so obviously passed by for jobs and bank loans for housing purchases? Are we afraid that we will become slaves again? That is not even possible, not even in this new millennium. Whites would never have the audacity to resort to such a barbarian society once again. The world is too developed for a new modern form of slavery.

America would be deemed a little Iraq if they were to confine and oppress the Black population in America again. The world would see America as it really is, a racist country and any other country in the world would have the right to bomb America and overthrow its dictatorship regime. It would be considered a nation that commits genocide and acts of cruelty on its own people. Does anyone in their Black (right) mind believe they would resort to that and that any sane Black individual would even allow another new age form of slavery? Think not my friend.

Many Blacks wish white America would attempt to bound and confine them to house servitude or field servitude. What type of Black people do we think we are living among, a bunch of cowardly yezza-mazza's? Think not, again. There would be such uproar throughout America and throughout the world that white America would have to come to grips with reality. That no man, woman, or child should be treated as underlings just because of the color of their skin.

Be not afraid to let the white man take away Affirmative Action or even the right for Blacks to vote. They cannot afford to look like the dictator they are currently overthrowing.

However, let us look at the worst-case scenario. Say that by the year 2008 all the laws have been expelled and Blacks are not allowed to vote and millions of Blacks are jobless and can only find work at the homes of rich whitey. And say that large companies have laid off or fired Blacks and have not hired any Blacks because they want to give the good jobs to whitey. Let us say this. How would this look? Would any whites in the land of America be able to deny that they are racist? Would any white person be able to ever again say that America is the land of freedom to whomever and the land of opportunity for the world to love? Think not, again.

The best-case scenario. After there is no more Affirmative Action and no more laws that say Blacks can vote, would society continue to function? Probably so. It would be safely assumed that when a Black person approached the voting booth that they have a God-given right, not a Constitutional right, to vote for whoever the hell they want to vote for. It would be safely assumed that when a Black person goes for



a job interview that they will be judged by their experience and skills instead of the color of their skin (at least that is what we are told now, that Blacks are not viewed as Blacks but as real people).

This gives whitey a chance to prove that they are not racist and if they pass the test, then more power to them, we will not hold a grudge. If Mr. and Mrs. Black go for a bank loan to purchase their starter home and the bank lends them the money because they are an accredited family and are not turned down because they are Black, then praises be to that bank (and to the Lord). If these things can happen then what the hell do we need with Affirmative Action and the Constitutional right to vote for?

Think about it and let the world know what the fear is all about. Do not support fear mongers who try to rally up your vote to support these government handout programs. Do not support any Black elitist who - although they are where they are because of these programs and laws - attempt to preserve what got them to where they are not actually based on their skills or intelligence, but on some law that says they should be given a chance.

Do not support these laws Black people, they are nothing more than welfare programs written by a people who believe that you do not have the ability to make it on your own. Though we face the reality of racism, let them prove to the rest of the world what they are really all about. In other words, we have to have faith in the God who sees all and not in the god who only sees color.

## **Pimps and Prostitutes: Democrats and the Black Vote**

Embarrassment is not a strong enough word to describe the sentiments many Blacks should feel during any election year. Dishonor mingled with shame may be a better description of the Blacks who see through the pretension of political duplicity when the Democrats seek to solidify their Black, dependency base. Using methods of fear and lies, the Democrats always seem to persuade Blacks that the Democratic Party is on their side.

When will we wake the hell up and see this crap for what it really is? Instead of Black voters actually using their God-given minds to decipher the obvious tactics used by Democratic politicians to win their vote, Black voters simply fall in step with the same ole' same ole' sorry Democratic agenda.

What is more sad is that Black leaders recruit rappers and Black actors and actresses to appeal to the young Black potential voter, an obvious marketing tactic used to manipulate the start-struck minds of zombie Blacks who allow their minds to be controlled by the media. Supposedly, rappers are hard-core brothers and sisters living real on the bling, bling, but in retrospect, they are no more smarter than a campaign sign on the front lawn simply because they are being exploited just like they exploit one another.

Can we not see that regardless of who the President turns out to be, the Black agenda will always come second to last on the American social and political issues list-to-do. Can we not see that Blacks are used

only as a weight to tip the scales toward one politician (Democrat) just so the other (Republican) will not be elected?

What issues have actually been addressed to a complete solution since Blacks have been voting Democrat? We still see racial profiling. We are still discriminated against in the housing market; we are still by-passed during job promotions, screened from the best higher learning institutions, segregated into urban holding cells, targets of the justice system and number one victims of the American poverty cycle.

We are doing better than 40 years ago yes, but how much longer will it take and by what means will we get to where we naturally should be? Just because some Blacks - and let it be said very few - have made it into the mainstream media and are portrayed as a part of the American dream, there are a hundred more Blacks to that one Black who continue to live below the average income level and poverty line.

Many Blacks still have not the real opportunity to attend whatever college they want, not even whatever elementary school they want. Many Blacks still struggle from paycheck to paycheck, live in underdeveloped urban communities, and make less than a white person doing the same job. How have Democrats changed either of these?

Black church leaders who claim the wisdom of God certainly show ignorance in this area and should be ashamed if not feel convicted for their participation in political matters of the world. Inviting or accepting an invitation from political leaders to speak to the congregation is a marketing swindle that should never take place in God's house, but in the political arena. In the Black church's attempt to go into the world and save people from the world, they have gotten caught up in the world. This is NOT God.

Black people have fallen hook, line and sinker as prostitutes of the Democratic Party. What is more disgusting is that politicians do not even have to actually do anything for them or fulfill the promises afterward, but all they have to do is show concern and make an appearance at Black functions, which plays on the Black leader and voters need for attention from white America.

Once the infamous politician is seen in the neighborhood church or at the Black organization's conference, they have shook a few hands and cracked a few jokes, these Black prostitutes have not only opened their legs from the east to the west, but did not even collect the money owed them. And once again, the Pimp walks away smiling that white smile and from then on turns to slap his bitch whenever he wants.

**WE NEED OUR OWN PARTY!!!**

2004 by CR Hamilton

## **The Evils of American Politics**

Election of politicians is supposed to be based on choosing someone who represents the majority of the people's interest. It should never be based on obligation, revenge against the opponent, or adoration for a candidate's personality. The coming presidential election of 2004 will evaluate voters on each of the above points, as well as influence the course of America's morality.

Voting for one of "the lesser evils" sums up America's overall position on morality, whether it be continued world dominance and pursuance of an all white society by way of the Republican Party; or it be promotion of anti-biblical values from a Democratic perspective. Participating in the America political elections calls one of the two characteristics from within the average person.

Why the negativity when describing the choices? Because this is how the candidates portray one another in the last few months of the race. Negative ads and cynical supporters of either sling mud, throw blows and air laundry during these times. But regardless of how they accuse and portray their opponent, the American voter must consider the future of the country and our children's well-being.

We have learned the hard way that neglect of our children and the dissipation of the American family have birthed indifferent and disrespectful youth. Laws that protect abortion and gay marriage, policies that encourage free sexual expression and social gatekeepers that promotes violence, drugs, and sex through television and movie theaters to our children all fall under the umbrella of the Democratic vote.

If the above lifestyles were ones that the Democratic voter believes in and feels would advance society, then by all means vote Democrat. However, when all hell continues to break lose in areas of teen pregnancy, drug use, and school and gang violence, there is no need to sit before the American public to analyze the problem; your vote for these values would be the reason.

On the other hand, if your concern were truly for the future of our children and solidarity of American culture, then your vote would go toward a more traditional party. Not that the Republican Party has the answers to the future of America or can solve any of the current problems overnight, however, they do promote and believe in traditional family values and the laws of Supreme authority.

Another of the immoral Democratic ideologies has tainted the image of the conservative agenda, which is lying. They would that Democratic voters (especially Black ones) believe that Republicans are racist, homophobic, womanizers, who have the intentions of imperializing the world under American control, and Democrats will use any means necessary to place fear into the hearts and minds of their supporters to make believable the extremity of these lies.

Though it is not wise to believe everything one hears, consideration of the premise should not be counted out either. Republicans are comprised of majority white males who think along these lines, but not to the extreme that the Democrats would have one to believe. And vice-versa. Not all Democrats live and breathe immorality.

It is the basic agenda of the two parties that actually count, yet the Democratic agenda promotes values that are contrary to almost everything that is decent and that once held together the traditional family before the revolutions of the 60s. Whereas, the agenda of the Republican Party is against all that is equal, fair, and just toward every race and culture in society. It would rather have an all white society, if at all possible.

So, the question remains whether or not to vote for either of the two evils. Depending on what the voter wants to see in the future of this country should the answer be based. Fighting against an all white society requires the Democratic stance, and fighting for morality requires a Republican stance.

Since the average person can have a little of both running through their blood stream, maybe this is why voters vote based on the shallow reasons stated earlier, obligation, revenge against the opponent, or adoration for a candidate's personality, because the meaning of the people's interest is lost. And again, maybe this is why more than half the country does not vote at all, for lack of a better choice from the evils of American politics. Even more reason the world needs a Savior.

2004 by CR Hamilton

## **To the Left, To the Left; Black Democrats in the Box to the Left**

Like a relationship gone badly, a woman scorned and abused, the Black Democratic team and its followers are blind to the abuse they take from the left end of the American political system. If Bush can ignore top military officials in the Pentagon, the American people, and Congress, and go in at Iraq alone, what makes Black Democrats think that the U.S. Political system would ever focus on anyone but their own?

When a woman is being abused and battered by a man and her friends plead with her to leave that man yet she cannot seem to hear or see beyond the swollen ears and eyes because she believes in his narrow vision, she is considered in serious denial and needs professional help.

Well, Black Democrats and those who think that politics is the answer to Black America's woes are in the same type denial; they believe in the political system to the point they cannot think outside the box they have been placed into.

Though hatred for the Republican Party, Bush, and conservatives fuel Black Democrat's behavior toward voting, they have yet to realize that dependence on and association with the Democratic agenda is not the answer.

"Contact your representative," is their solution to Black problems. March, protest, vote, get inside the system to change the system, are all strategies used by Black Democrats and is considered "doing something," or as action or progress. Some even believe they have a chance to be president and could make a difference.

The system can be altered for the benefit of a certain agenda, such as in the case with Bush and Iraq. He has no plans on listening to the people, Congress, or even top military officials; he is going to do what ever he wants for his own interest and the interest of those in his conservative, capitalist, American circle.

Self-sufficiency is the key to Black America's problems, not dependency or complacency. We must establish our own party, policies, and capital, not trust the Democrats to do it for us. We must withdraw our troops from America's arena and regroup an army of Blacks who believe in themselves, not in a system that has no intentions on supporting Black America.

Liberal ideas and policies that promise diversity yet uphold a classist system of elitist cliques, arrogance and indifference toward the poor is a ideology that will lead to nothing but a larger-divided class structure based on color, money, and credentials. This is a small box of supremacist concepts that should have folded and put away long ago.

Mar 2007 by CR Hamilton

## **White Media Finding Black Traitors**

They are desperate. White America is in need of a quick-racial-justification fix that will ease their conscience. Therefore, they are seeking out ignorant Black leaders and guilt-ridden media hounds to undermine or justify the leveled-oppression Black America faces. The following story exposes this antic.

It is scary to see, hear, and read the following remarks coming from a Black man, Mychal Massie (pictured left) who the media has given a condemnable moment of 15-minute fame, though they will never, ever give the time of day to a strong Black mind, so they must give it to the weak-minded.

"Project 21's Massie on Parshall radio show: Blacks "who curse America are cursing God" because God created slavery to bring them here On the October 18 edition of the syndicated radio show Janet Parshall's America, Project 21 national advisory council member and columnist for conservative website WorldNetDaily.com Mychal Massie declared to host Janet Parshall that African-American succumbed to hatred" and "disobedience to God." Massie went on to proclaim that "the black people today that curse America are cursing God because if God had not permitted the Ashanti and Dahomey tribes of ancient Africa to trap other Africans and sell them to Muslims, who sold them to Europeans, we would not have what we have today." Parshall praised Massie for his "straight talk" -- the name of a program Massie hosts on the conservative website Righttalk.com -- and called him "brother."

Project 21, which bills itself as a "National Leadership Network of Black Conservatives," is a creation of the conservative think tank National Center for Public Policy Research (NCPFR). NCPFR's executive director, David Almasi, oversees Project 21's daily operations. Almasi, who previously worked for the Reed Irvine-created right-wing group Accuracy in Academia, employs spokesmen from a "variety of careers" throughout the country to promote Project 21's agenda through the media, academia, government, and business communities. Roll Call reported on October 19 that Jack Abramoff, a former NCPFR board member, used the organization to funnel \$25,000 he received in lobbying fees from an Internet gaming company "to pay for foreign trips for Members of Congress and staff." Roll Call noted,

as did The Washington Post, that this money was used to help fund a May 2000 golfing trip to St. Andrews, Scotland, that included former House Majority Leader Tom DeLay (R-TX).

From the October 18 broadcast of Salem Radio Network's Janet Parshall's America:

MASSIE: There's a reason that the black family is in the state of destruction that it is today. At the close of the civil rights era -- and I submit that the civil rights battle has been won. It is over. Black people can do anything they want to do. There is no restrictions, apart from those that are self-imposed.

Afromerica

This is exactly what they want us and every optimistically, guilt-stricken white mind and gullible Black mind to believe, that racism is dead. Even after the truths of Katrina and how too many Blacks are at the lower end of the American economic ladder. This is demented thinking and should be seen as just that, an excuse to continue a shadowy-type undercover racially motivated oppression.

PARSHALL: Mm-hmm.

Afromerica

(Parshall - the white woman showing white indifference)

MASSIE: At the close of Jim Crow, at that closing of that civil rights era, of those battles, the black family -- 87 percent of black households were two-parent married families. Eighty-seven percent. Forty percent of the black households were business owners. Forty percent.

PARSHALL: Wow.

MASSIE: And, in 40 years, we have 90 percent black-on-black crime. Seventy -- a high 70 percent, near 80 percent, black illegitimacy. We have, in 40 short years, 10,000-plus children born in Philadelphia in 2001, 9,700 and change black abortions. We have three out of every five black pregnancies ending in abortion. Now, how did we go from a period of Duke Ellington to a period of Tupac Shakur?

Afromerica

Yes, things were at least decent in Black America (as far as black unity was concerned) during segregation until the government intrusion of the Civil Rights Movement began and integration was forced on the country. Maybe segregation was the best thing for Blacks because when integration came along and the whites could choose what Blacks they wanted to come into the fold, it left many other Blacks to the mercy of the government, who shuffled them into government housing aka ghettos and placed them on a fixed income aka welfare.

PARSHALL: Mmm.

Afromerica

More white indifference.

MASSIE: How did we go from Nat King Cole to a 50 Cent, or Mystikal, or a Snoop Dogg? How did we go from dignity and honor and an impetus -- an importance placed on education to a thuggery? Rap and what we see today, you can't even call that gangster rap.

Afromerica

How did we go from there to here? Maybe it was because of the lack of parenting from the baby boomer generation, (your and Cosby's generation Mr. Massie) Maybe the generation today would not be as screwed up if parents had not cared more about making money and their careers, sex and drugs, than their own freakin kids.

PARSHALL: Mmm.

Afromerica

More...

MASSIE: Because the gangsters -- and I'm not going to ask you to date yourself, but I'll date myself -- I remember the gangsters being those that were meticulously dressed, that wore the finest of shoes and set the fashion trends. These things today are street trash -

Afromerica

Street trash? And the gangsters then in your day were what...social icons and heroes? You hypocrite a\*\* Uncle Tom Negro. You are selling your soul as you speak trying to appease the white trash gangster you are talking to defending white gangster thugs you are speaking of.

PARSHALL: Mmm.

Afromerica

And more...

MASSIE: -- that have drug the family down, and the church, and Janet, I will go on record and I will not win any popularity awards, but it is the fault of the black church and the black community because the preachers have succumbed to hatred; they have succumbed to a disobedience to God. There is a parallel that I think needs to be pointed out. Had Joseph not been kidnapped and sold into slavery, he would not have been in a position to help his family in their time of need.

Afromerica

Though the pastors today have sold out as you have, they are not slave owners, they are but mere tokens, as you are, who have no knowledge of the true God and can only see price tag's to sellout the very people they are born to represent. The slavery of the Hebrews was not right in the sight of God but was a crime against humanity and reparations were paid and the Hebrews received their reward and the slave-oppressors their just due. What America wants to do is escape the horrid truths of the past and the responsibility of reparations due to many peoples and move on, and it seems they will get the chance to with ignorance spewing from people like you who attempt to justify their crimes against the Africans and many other races.

PARSHALL: Hmmm. Wow.

Afromerica

Extreme indifference from the white woman.

MASSIE: The black people today who curse America are cursing God because if God had not permitted the Ashanti and Dahomey tribes of ancient Africa to trap other Africans and sell them to the Muslims, who sold them to the Europeans, we would not have what we have today.

Afromerica

And what do we have today? Equality? Respect? Wealth? What?

Slavery is a blessing? Is that what you want to convince white and Blacks folks of? You idiot? Slavery of no kind is from God or God ordained or God ignored. It is a crime against any race or creed of people and the enslavers will pay dearly whether by their lives or by their deaths.

Black people who see America for what it really is - an imperialistic curse to the world are no more wrong to judge their past sins as you are to condone them. The truth is in what is just and right, not what sounds good as an excuse, you complete idiotic, tokenized Negro, Mr Massie.

PARSHALL: Mychal, thank you very much. There is a reason why you host a program called Straight Talk, because you just gave it to us. God bless you, brother, appreciate you very much. We'll take a break, folks, and come right back.

Afromerica

God bless you? What god? The god of this world obviously or the god of white people who feel bad about what they have done but cannot own up to it, because the God of Creation has not and never will ordain slavery or any thing close to it as a blessing. This is a demonic attempt to clear the consciences of white America and do not be surprised if this spreads across the Internet and mainstream media news as some kind of truth.

White America is desperate and will try and or do anything to clear themselves of their guilt against the crimes against Blacks. But because of this atrocity of a news story, Afromerica is going to fight even harder and expose the liars and humanitarian criminals to the fullest.

Posted to the web on Thursday October 20, 2005 at 5:28 PM EST

October 2005 by CR Hamilton



## **Black Voters Beware**

If you vote regularly in presidential elections, beware that the mainstream media is attempting to pit Blacks against Barack Obama by reporting all over and in almost every news media, that not enough Blacks support Obama and that the other (white) candidates may have more support from Black voters.

According to a poll from Blackpeoplespeak.com, 74% of those polled said they would support Obama as opposed to only 11% for Hillary Clinton. But of course the media do not care what “real” Black people think, only those made in their world.

Claiming that Hillary Clinton and John Edwards have closer ties with Black voters, this strategy seeks to persuade Blacks away from Obama and toward traditional voting patterns. If Black voter advocates eyes were open they would see this and encourage Blacks to support the ‘Black’ candidate.

Instead, many so-called Black leaders are wanting Obama to kiss their ass before they endorse him or act as some kind of filter for Black voters. Obviously, those Black leaders are more concerned with politics and their own prestige than the betterment of their own people because whether Obama is Democrat or Republican, Blacks should vote toward themselves whether through a candidate or in an independent party format.

The so-called Black leaders are in a tight situation. One that is a result of how twisted their thinking and leadership skills have become as a result of getting too deep into the one-sided American political game. They cannot see that if they do not support the Black candidate, they are defeating their own purpose to the struggle for Civil Rights.

But because they want all eyes on them and the Black candidate is not a part of the old traditional Civil Rights clique, they will push him aside and push Blacks toward white candidates. This is certainly twisted thinking.

Even more ironic in the thinking of Black leadership, two of the media’s favorite so-called Black leaders are supposed Reverends and these so-called Reverends are for abortion rights, homosexuality, and many other liberal forms of cultural evils that are destroying our children. How can one claim true righteousness yet support immorality, or even the Democratic Party at all?

Again, the Black leadership is so confused as to what they think and believe that they are going to help disillusion Black America to believe that supporting Obama is a mistake because he is not ‘Black enough,’ or because “we don’t know enough about him.”

White America thinks they have Blacks where they want them if they can convince enough of them that they should support Hillary or Edwards because everyone else is supporting them. And if they can convince Blacks that their so-called Black leaders are in opposition to Obama, they know that the ones who follow the ignorant Black leaders will shoot themselves in the foot and vote for the white candidates.

To defeat this strategy, Blacks should support either Obama, vote independent, promote their own leader, or do not vote at all. As the race heats up, the media will try as hard as possible to shine the light of

goodness on Clinton and Edwards while continuing discord between Obama, Blacks, and the so-called Black leaders.

Apr 2007 by CR Hamilton